Motivational Factors for Knowledge Sharing and Trust

Ashwini.P, Susan Chirayath

Abstract — sharing knowledge is transmission of knowledge (implicit or tacit) from an organization, group, or person to another one. Through sharing knowledge, organizations are able to improve their effectiveness, saves cost of training and moderate risks due to lack of certainty. While managing knowledge, organizations find it difficult to motivate employees for sharing knowledge with others. Therefore, it is essential to recognize the elements impacting information sharing and trust. This paper attempts to understand trust and persuasive variables that impact information sharing conduct in associations. It is huge that there are a not many investigations because of inspirational factors on information sharing conduct through trust as an arbitrator. Right now, specialist proposed a hypothetical system that consolidated inspirational elements with Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) to depict the relationship among inspiration (extraneous and inherent), trust and demeanors toward information sharing. This paper will be important to the experts as it gives a premise of understanding persuasive elements for information sharing and trust.

Keywords— Knowledge sharing, Trust, Motivational Factor, TRA

I. INTRODUCTION

Successful information sharing inside undertaking groups is basic to information escalated proficient assistance firms. Earlier research contemplates demonstrate a positive relationship between trust, social-subjective components, and powerful information sharing among collaborators. Trust has been viewed as a precondition for information sharing. As necessities are, a variety of resourceful plans and authoritative measures had been made to make a feasible circumstance for this activity. Close to the start, it becomes every day essentially like a database the administrators (see Matayong, Mahmood 2013) and nowadays – as a wide-spreading society of sharing statistics and potential (Chang, Lin 2015). It is certified that records sharing grants association no longer handiest to make certain a tenacious movement of imperative statistics yet however keep advantageous dating inner association (Cano-Kollmann et al. 2016) and its circumstance (Kwahk, Park 2016). In a more and more extensive setting, while gift-day social requests are shifting quickly in the direction of the economy of sharing, the interconnection among believe and records collaborating in affiliations has increased another degree of centrality that is dismembered at this moment.

Ashwini.P, VIT Business School VIT Vellore, India. E-mail. ashwinipalani08@gmail.com
Susan Chirayath, VIT Business School VIT Vellore, India.E-mail. susanchirayath@vit.ac.in.

Extensive research has been led to comprehend what decides successful information partaking in associations. One of the most well-known issues is identified with representatives' tendency for information sharing. Information sharing is portrayed as an association where representatives give others center information about the procedures and layouts (unequivocal information) just as offer understanding and expertise (implied information).

Considering this traditional separation, as exhibited by Polanyi (1966), the propensity for sharing unequivocal and inferred data is great. As unequivocal facts are formal and viably recordable, it's miles considered as an advantage. As established through this strategy, the personnel have to share records as a bonus for the duration of the time spent paintings (Un, Asakawa 2015), and statistics the reputable structures are made to guide this normal plan. The take a look at rises up out of recommended information sharing. As confirmed through Gubbins and Dooley (2011), implied facts are dynamically large as it's far greater confusing and harder to impersonate than unequivocal records. It is also steadily irrefutable and intuitive and now not imparted definitely as properly. Data sharing ends up being extravagant and stuck as statistics coding and sharing get the present day [14]. Delegates percentage their understanding by means of looking at, collaborating, or on any occasion, looking at every other. Along these strains, records sharing cannot be dwindled to just robotized procedures of acquirement, accumulating, and dissipating of facts. Data sharing is ready contextualization whilst the "searcher" contacts the "company" and collectively makes extra records (Haas et al. 2015). The work strength actions vital information thru social participation that might be viably supported (but not replaced) by using records progresses. In like manner, the specialists developed a methodical technique of statistics in an association that relies upon social collaboration and shared trust. Following this technique, records are socially advanced and took part in a place of collaboration and trust. A huge scope of trust is crucial, as an example, accept as true within the board and the brief predominant similarly as consider in subordinates and associates. Data sharing may be stepped forward whilst humans alternate information, first-class stories, practices academics, and bits of information. Trust in the administrators is one of the vital components affecting delegates' decision to percentage information (Renzl 2008; Pervaiz 2016). Trust is the potential to be vulnerable (Meyer et al. 2017), and it's far unequivocally associated with the conviction that others may not use this case for his or her own bit of leeway. Thusly, believe is an eventual outcome of renouncing interchanges: from one perspective, there is a worry of losing one's own cost; on the other hand, there's a want to collaborate. As shown by way of the observational studies, a person's investment unearths an important line of work in facts sharing as a way to undoubtedly arise in an associated problem to open up to while a man or woman is glad to present the substantial statistics (Nissen at al. 2014).

Trust can be deciphered as enthusiasm to trust or depend on an character or social occasion.

The paper proposes to contemplate recognize the key factors and the connection between the factors: trust in information sharing. This target prompts the accompanying inquiry.

1.What are the key factors that might be impact information sharing and trust?

2. What is the connection among trust and information sharing?

Understanding Knowledge

Information is produced by applying an interpretation model on a set of data. It facilitates understanding of any subject in a specific context and is the basis for acquiring knowledge (European Committee for Standardization, 2004). Therefore, the information is the factor or the means to discover and produce knowledge. That is to say, information that can reactivate, stimulate or recreate knowledge. As certify by the title of Richard Nelson's constant volume on advancement move, Technology, Learning, and Innovation [24], information sharing is viewed as happening through a stand-out learning process where affiliations consistently interface with clients and providers to redesign or inventively reflect. Sharing knowledge is transmission of knowledge (implicit or tacit) from an organization, group, or person to another one. By knowledge sharing organization can improve their adequacy, decline cost of preparing and moderate dangers because of absence of sureness. For instance, organization can reduce their budget, by sending a number of people to workshops or any others seminars and conferences and then they will share their knowledge with their co-workers. Knowledge sharing, for an organization, does not merely mean to exchange information between the high level managers and their employees. In fact knowledge is shared to guarantee that the effectiveness can be enhanced and the business can take advantages of the shared knowledge. Knowledge and information are needed to be shared so that organizations can be supported and improved to achieve advancement, be modernized and decrease the unneeded endeavours for acquiring knowledge (Calantone, Cavusgil, & Zhao, 2002).

A. *Trust*

To begin with, Trust is viewed as significant in the sharing of information [11]. At a fundamental level, trust fills in as a substitute for the capacity to screen or check data. Trust has a few jobs in information sharing, both as a predecessor and as a result, of information sharing. Inside the setting of a relationship, it attempts to fortify the relationship and the relationship, along these lines, give more inspirations to trust. Trust works between individuals. It is, anyway increasingly hard to exhibit that it works among gatherings and associations despite the fact that it is required among gatherings and associations in light of the fact that as [4] remarked, the "uncontrolled data exposure may permit one's accomplice expanded bartering power in the relationship or conceivably help to make a future contender". Trust can impacted information sharing both legitimately, just as in a roundabout way through connections and culture. Reference [32] observationally attempted trust as a harbinger to information sharing and delineated a causal relationship.

They demonstrated that trust showings through shared information to impact pack execution. In concentrates, for example, [13] investigation of sports groups, in which an assortment of factors were controlled, trust was relatively connected to the success. According [22] called attention to that individuals announced having trust in people and when the entertainers were moved to new assignments, "trust was regularly lost and delayed to be reestablished." When two organizations are cooperating the loss of trust because of the adjustment in work force had an advantage in that "it decreased the hazard that inferred information would break to accomplices" [22].

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

B. Motivtion to Sharing Knowledge

As [6] stated, according to Theory Reasoned Action (TRA), there are a few perspectives and individual standards identified with sharing information and the earth of association that have effect on each individual's motivation of sharing their insight. If the organizations can organize the knowledge sharing properly, the performance will be better with higher quality and better decisions will be made. Also problem solving skills and effectiveness will improve. Therefore, the organization can take advantages (Zawawi & Zakaria, 2011). Any organization essentially needs to motivate its employee to exchange information and share knowledge to make knowledge sharing a good habit and a style in that workplace. When employees believe that the information they give to their colleagues are advantageous for their organization, they are encouraged to practice it more and more and share information. According to Wasko & Faraj (2005), the staff whose knowledge was shared by them agreed that through exchanging their information, co-workers can take advantages. In the period of information, figuring out how to urge to imparting information to others is the most troublesome issue in term of overseeing information. Hence, it is crucial to find out which factors influence sharing knowledge between co-workers (Hung & Chuang, 2009). In fact, one of the most important aspects that identify general behaviour, work‑related behaviour and information technology acceptance behaviour can be considered as motivation while some evidence shows that it is the major cause of transferring knowledge [26]; Olatokun & Nwafor, 2012).

C. Knowledge Sharing and Trust

Information sharing is the procedure by which people commonly trade information with one another and team up to make new information [40]. In addition, [12] have investigated two ideas of information sharing, to be specific information sharing frames of mind and information sharing conduct. Information sharing frames of mind are identifying with enthusiasm and ability to share information. While, information sharing conduct is the demonstration of moving or dispersing procured information with others in an association which can contribute for accomplishing authoritative objectives [41].

Information can be shared among individual, unit or gathering, inside, and even across associations [20].

Information sharing beginnings from the proprietor of information who moves the information deliberately or not to the beneficiary, who reacts subsequent to retaining the new upgrade [17]. There are three principle conditions for supporting viable information sharing. First is the data source must be glad to share the data, the second is the beneficiary must be anxious to get and use the data. The third is the collector additionally should see the information as being helpful for beneficiary's individual work and the entire association [1]. Trust is one of the components of authoritative culture [16]. Experimental proof uncovers that trust positively affects information sharing [9], [36]. There are two primary on-screen characters who assume job of trust, to be specific trustor and trustee. Trustor is the individual who makes the trust and trustee is the individual who is given the trust by the trustor. Trust can be described as how much an individual (trustee) makes certain about and prepared to act reliant on the words, exercises, and decisions of the trustor [28], [29]. Reference [31] says trust is ordered into three measurements, to be specific consideration, trustworthiness and capacity. Each factor is clarified as follows:

- According [28] delineate benevolence as "how much a trustee is acknowledged to need to do incredible to the trustor, next to an egocentric advantage manner of thinking". It also relates to "the acumen that trustee would keep the possible advantages of the trustor on a major level". Also, high selflessness in a relationship has the negative effect of motivation to lie. This thought is consistent with the view that benevolence accept a critical activity in the assessment of dependability [18].
- Integrity implies that the trustor has an observation that the trustee connects with to a lot of rules that are worthy by the trustor. There are four standards the trustor uses to pass judgment on the trustworthiness of the trustee: through the consistency of the trustee's past exercises, through the trustworthiness of the trustee, the trustee's activities coordinate their words, and the trustee comprehends a solid feeling of good and bad [30].
- Capacity based trust exists when an individual acknowledges that another individual has a social event of aptitudes, skills, and attributes inside some particular space. The space is explicit on the grounds that it is conceivable that the trustee is profoundly skilful in some specialized territories [30]. This idea is identified with the dread of losing face which is recognized by [2]. For instance, if an individual is seen likewise with a fitness in doing his work is lower than the ability of another individual, his inspiration for sharing his insight will be lower because of the dread of analysis. Both generosity and capability can maintain a strategic distance from "the dread of losing of face". It implies that on the off chance that somebody feels that his commitment may not be adequately significant or important, he won't be inspired to share information [39].

III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

D. Theory Reasoned Action (TRA)

Theory Reasoned Action (TRA) as a notable nonexclusive hypothesis hypothesizes that cultural conduct is influenced by conviction, disposition, and expectation. The hypothetical model right now the TRA (conviction, disposition, goal relationship) and spreads natural and outward persuasive factors as the most significant components of part's aim to information sharing. In TRA, the determinants of disposition have been inspected and shown to be significant prophesier of social goals. For example, Chang (1998) talked about that conduct expectation was altogether affected by mentality toward conduct. Furthermore, Ryu et al., (2003) guaranteed that doctors' information sharing mentalities, in individual skilful gatherings, have influenced aims toward information sharing. Recently, [6] have investigated that mentality to information sharing has positive effect on people's expectations toward share information.

IV. INTRINSIC MOTIVATIONAL VARIABLE

According to [7] reward system is allocation of benefits and compensation to employees which are according to procedures, rules, and standards. Some empirical evidence reveals that reward system has a association with information partaking in an association [1], [21]. Henceforth, the course of action of remuneration framework in an association ought to be utilized to empower information sharing among people in an association. Regarding the knowledge sharing, there are two types of reward which are usually being investigated, namely extrinsic and intrinsic rewards. Extrinsic reward means tangible rewards, which organization such as firms gave it to their employees. For example, salaries, bonuses, promotion, commissions, and an educational opportunity.

Many studies reveal that outward rewards impact sly affect information sharing [6],[8] because the rewards is perceived as a manipulative and controlling action [5]. Moreover, extrinsic rewards just have a short time effect [19]. According to [15], extrinsic rewards are categorized as formal knowledge governance. On the other hand, intrinsic rewards refer to the delight or fulfilment picked up from information sharing [37]. According to [3] intrinsic rewards can build expertise and provide recognition for feeling competent to do something. Intrinsic rewards are classified into informal knowledge sharing since [34] stated that this type of reward characterizes organization culture. Intrinsic rewards are more effective in facilitating knowledge sharing instead of extrinsic rewards. Recognition due to good work is one example of this type of reward that can encourage knowledge sharing because every person in an organization needs to be appreciated [38]. Also, expectation from a person that the knowledge which he shared will be useful for another person can also encourage knowledge sharing [5]. This concept is explained as self-efficacy, which means people perceive what they can do with the aptitudes they have. In addition, self-viability will increase when they can gain confidence based on what they are able to do [10].

Also, an individual will be all the more ready to share information on the off chance that he hopes to receive important information from someone else consequently. This idea is regularly called as common advantage or correspondence [26].

The following is the rundown of natural prizes dependent on the writing research that has a hugeness beneficial outcome on information sharing among people [37].

- Sense of having a place, by offering information to other people, people feel being associated and acknowledged inside an association.
- Sense of accomplishment and achievement, by imparting information to others in the dynamic procedure or critical thinking, people feel that they give a commitment for accomplishing association objectives.
- Sense of fitness, by imparting information to other people, people increment their ability and self-assurance on the grounds that before sharing the information, they go further into the information for better understanding.
- Sense of convenience, by offering information to other people, people feel fulfilled because of the weightiness of their assistance and handiness of their insight.
- Sense of regard and acknowledgment, by offering information to other people, people gain regard and acknowledgment from different individuals.

V. EXTRINSIC MOTIVATIONAL VARIABLES

Knowledge sharing motivations rooted in personal gain which is derived from neoclassical economic theories and evolutionary biology that put emphasis on the impact of self-interest to economic advantages and survival (for example agency theory), biological and genetic (Dawkins, 2006). In this research, theories that characterize every single human action to be roused uniquely independent from anyone else intrigue is distinguished from those that suggest the probability of self-interested knowledge sharing (Witherspoon & Bergner, 2013). Previous theories propose hypotheses about human motivation which are testable. In this research the focus is on identification of three constructs from knowledge sharing literature that are related to rewards. An extrinsic motivational perspective suggests that benefits and perceived values of an action lead individuals' behaviour. Mutual benefits or organizational rewards can promote behaviours which are the primary aim of extrinsically motivated behaviours (Gagné & Deci, 2005; Kowal & Fortier, 1999). In order to motivate people to perform requested behaviours, organizational rewards can play an important role [26]. These rewards can vary in type ranging from nonmonetary rewards including job security and promotions to monetary awards such as bonuses or salary improvements (Hargadon, 1998). So as to empower information sharing among workers, a few associations presented frameworks of remunerations. For example, perceiving 100 top information givers a gathering every year, Buckman Laboratories report them at a retreat. What's more a division of IBM which is Lotus Development sets a fourth of its assessment of client service's laborers' exhibition on the level of their insight sharing activities (Chiu, Hsu, & Wang, 2006).

E. Motivational Factors

Motivation "inspiration alludes to inside components that instigate activity and outside variables that can go about as incitements to activity" [27]. Osterloh,[33] sharing information can be inspired by outward just as inborn elements. they recognized inborn inspiration from outward inspiration of information sharing at this point representatives were fulfilled. natural inspiration fulfilled representatives need in a roundabout way. inherent inspiration is esteemed for the good of its own and seems, by all accounts, to act naturally supported" (Calder and Staw, 1975, p. 599), Even as superfluous motivation does not begin from work or improvement itself. Inalienable motivation can be actualized by using paintings or interest itself and can be an undisputed hierarchical point of interest since it brings down exchange cost and raises trust and social capital" [33]. anyway, representative's natural inspiration needs to conform to association so as to help the objective of association. in the event that workers inherent inspirations don't bolster the objective of association it may bring coordination issue up in association and decrease the productive and compelling of the association. Osterloh, [33] proposed an exhaustive elegance of work inspiration of individuals in an alliance. We understand that making use of this magnificence to the speculating of a person's information-sharing inspiration is manageable thinking about the way in which that our complement on facts sharing is related to a person's work inside the alliance. Leonard, N. H., Beauvais [25] Also, we advocate that this utility might be effective. In any case, they proposed five wellsprings of work notion: 1) Intrinsic procedure inspiration, 2) outer strength, 3) concept issue to target conceal, four) outside self-notion primarily based proposal, and 5) internal self-concept based totally concept. Second, they showed that "Individuals can be portrayed by moving profiles which reflect the general idea of the whole lot of the five sources (p.201). In this way, they understand that human beings aren't homogeneous. Past forming treats statistics sharing proposal as homogeneous among humans.[20], [23], [33]. In any case, in the theoretical version of [25], Beauvais, and Scholl (1999), the functionality amongst individuals is not picked to meddle for his or her tests. Third, they prescribed that an apparent person is beaten through a selected wellspring of thought. However person is laid low with five wellsprings of notion, there is a taught source that recognizes a determinative movement. Fourth, they combined perception issue (goal cowl and self-notion) into the game plan of someone's notion that were managed concerning the gifted career and needs factors of view. Recognition is an crucial factor that shaves someone's idea and impacts their instant as, facts sharing suggestion, and lead. Fifth, they interface self-notion based suggestion to lone air and updated our energy about person inspiration. Basing on the classic work of [25], The followings speak the five assets of motivation and their implication in expertise sharing.

923

1) Intrinsic Process

The characteristic technique idea confirmed that the reason for people to accomplish something is seeing that true instances. People are roused by way of feature manner notion while they "cost the paintings and feel repaid basically by way of gambling out the undertaking" (p.192).

This inborn process notion is just like the inherent idea of data sharing proposed endorsed that individuals overwhelmed by feature procedure suggestion will choose fascinating tasks by means of [33]. Leonard, N. H., Beauvais [25].Nonetheless, the inborn system thought proposed by means of them is not the same as the inherent idea that is drawn upon by way of pundits of change hypothesis and talked about in writing on the apartment agreement, which "pressure natural suggestion as distinguishing proof with the affiliation's key goals, proportion functions, and the pride of standards for the good of its very own" [33]. Along those traces, for a person who's beaten by using herbal technique idea, the motive at the back of he/she to proportion facts isn't always because prize or dedication, but seeing that he/she respect doing as such. Thusly, in the occasion that the statistics sharing is made into fulfilling physical games for this type of person, HE/she will be pushed to participate in information sharing circulate.

2) Extrinsic

The accidental notion is begun by way of outside powers and difficulty to human beings "perceiving maximizers of individual utility" (Shamir, 1990, p.39). The outward proposal may be clarified with the aid of preference and the worth idea that is perceived as models of proposal dependent on trade relationships. At the day's cease, the character is persuaded by means of the unessential proposal while he/she respects himself/herself is coordinated in a trade courting and anticipates his/her exertion proper with the aid of and by using prevailing an advantageous or reasonable result for him/her. This unintended notion is in like way like outward idea proposed by means of [33]. Such a proposal mirrors one's need for physiological needs and flourishing needs in Maslow's desires precise structure idea. That is, a person can win cash for his or her physiological and flourishing need. Reference [25] prescribed that people overwhelmed by means of unessential idea will take part in the most repaid obligations (i.E., pay and movement). That is, the individual that is coordinated with the aid of outward thought will act in propensities that carry beneficial results and cut off the ominous end result

3) Goal Internalization

The thought depending on goal cover implies man or woman receives frames of thoughts and practices in light of the truth that their substance is harmonious with their well worth framework (Kelman, 1958). In the relationship setting, people are brought about with the aid of the target veil even as they understand and cover the object or imaginative and prescient of the association. Inspiration concern to goal cover may also reflect one's important for accomplishment. While alliance targets are contained with one's worth framework, one's exertion at the paintings may also drive by means of the yearning to accomplish the intention. Reference [25] suggested that individuals ruled with the aid of goal conceal will participate in assignments that are nicely at the way to accomplish the affiliation's goal. Inspiration dependent on the objective cover can also likewise reflect one of the hidden motives of promise to his/her affiliation

4) External Self-Concept

External self-thought-based motivation indicates that the "particular undertakings to meet the desires for others thru carrying on in a way in an effort to summon social data unsurprising with self-acknowledgment" [25]. Self in line with-acknowledgment reflects a man or woman's standard inclination of self. The general sentiment of self-consolidates traits, abilities, and characteristics. If an individual didn't camouflage or midway mask the traits, capabilities, and estimations of the reference collecting (when you consider that he/she had gotten negative statistics or fine but prohibitive evaluation), he/she grow to be different-facilitated. They raised that whilst the character is basically different-composed, his/her practices generally tend to pressure with the aid of out of doors self-idea based motivation. An other-guided person usually attempts to meet the longing for reference social occasion and this is linked along with his/her want to get confirmation and standing.

5) Internal Self-Concept

Internal self-idea primarily based notion derives that instantaneous is persuaded by way of the inside general set through the individual to address his/her thought self. On the off hazard that individual covered or the residences, limits, and estimations of the reference collecting (on the grounds that he/she had gotten superb and boundless information), he/she turns out to be inward deliberate. [25] Pointed out that whilst the character is predominantly internally formed, his/her practices tend to drive with the aid of interior self-thought based total proposal. Such a proposal mirrors one's need to accomplish a few prologues to deal with his/her self-confirmation.

6) Trust

Rousseau says trust is "a mental nation which includes the aim to acknowledge weak spot dependent on uplifting dreams for the expectations of conduct of every other". Without consideration, information providers do not have positive that facts beneficiaries won't make use of the facts in opposition to their advantage and, then again, statistics searchers don't have the positive that records providers will ready to provide the perfect type of data [20].In this way, agree with is a substantial factor of the connection among the facts dealer and the beneficiary [20].

Trust with beneficiary moreover reflects outside self-concept-based motivation. [20] endorsed that the affiliation among sender and beneficiary changed into an out of doors factor and agree with is a sizable component associated with the relationship. We perceived belief with the beneficiary as outdoor self-suspected primarily based inspiration due to the fact accept as true with is fashioned by using past fantastic social correspondence. Trust within the courting among people displays social trust [35].

Trust is worked thru beyond social collaboration among parties and is worried by using the individual that is commanded by way of outside self-concept concept. As expressed before, the wellspring of facts sharing inspiration for trust the beneficiary is an out of doors self-concept-based thought. Social connections give people possibilities to know one another. Through social connection, people will go to each other and find out somebody they like to speak with and, in the end, agree with. [23] recommended that points of interest of high-quality social connection subculture unite that experts could consider in greater friends and consider them considerably greater completely. Evidently social coordinated effort develops every day accept as true with amongst dealers to share information in the association.

VI.   CONCLUSION

The motivation behind this paper is to survey different writing on information sharing and trust and to land at a starter model dependent on the examination. This paper will be important to the specialists as it gives a premise of understanding inspirational elements for information sharing and trust. This is one of the basic issues for making progress in the present information based associations.

As far as hypothesis, this examination adds to prior examine on data sharing behaviour and trust by building a determined structure that fuses powerful components will in general impact or repress information sharing conduct and trust in past experimental investigations. This exploration covers prior examination by inspecting the immediate impact of inspiration factors on trust and information sharing conduct. This examination likewise will look at whether trust applies an interceding impact between inspiration variables and information sharing conduct at further our investigation. It is significant, since scarcely any exploration contemplates esteem trust as an intervening variable; and no realized examination looks at the interceding impact of inspiration factors through trust, onto information sharing conduct.

This study provide a direction regarding to which variables are generally critical for top chiefs to accentuation its assets on. The proposed conceptual model requires to be tested empirically, because of its helpfulness and usage in the accomplishment of knowledge sharing, as it is still at the theoretical phase. Hence, proposed model will be tested among employees in IT organization in Tamilnadu.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Alawi, A. I., Al-Marzooqi, N. Y., & Mohammed, Y. F. (2007). Organizational culture and knowledge sharing: critical success factors. Journal of knowledge management.
2. Ardichvili, A., Maurer, M., Li, W., Wentling, T., & Stuedemann, R. (2006). Cultural influences on knowledge sharing through online communities of practice. Journal of knowledge management..
3. Bartol, K. M., & Srivastava, A. (2002). Encouraging knowledge sharing: The role of organizational reward systems. Journal of leadership & organizational studies, 9(1), 64-76.
4. Baughn, C. C., Denekamp, J. G., Stevens, J. H., & Osborn, R. N. (1997). Protecting intellectual capital in international alliances. Journal of World Business, 32(2), 103-117..
5. Bock, G. W., & Kim, Y. G. (2002). Breaking the myths of rewards: An exploratory study of attitudes about knowledge sharing. Information Resources Management Journal (IRMJ), 15(2), 14-21..
6. Bock, G. W., Zmud, R. W., Kim, Y. G., & Lee, J. N. (2005). Behavioral intention formation in knowledge sharing: Examining the roles of extrinsic motivators, social-psychological forces, and organizational climate. MIS quarterly, 87-111.
7. Business Dictionary. (2016).Reward Systems.[online]. Available at: http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/reward-system.html. [Accessed 17 March 2017].
8. Cabrera, A., Collins, W. C., & Salgado, J. F. (2006). Determinants of individual engagement in knowledge sharing. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 17(2), 245-264..
9. Calantone, R. J., Cavusgil, S. T., & Zhao, Y. (2002). Learning orientation, firm innovation capability, and firm performance. Industrial marketing management, 31(6), 515-524.
10. Calder, B. J., & Staw, B. M. (1975). Self-perception of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. Journal of personality and social psychology, 31(4), 599.
11. Cano-Kollmann, M., Cantwell, J., Hannigan, T. J., Mudambi, R., & Song, J. (2016). Knowledge connectivity: An agenda for innovation research in international business.
12. Chang, C. L. H., & Lin, T. C. (2015). The role of organizational culture in the knowledge management process. Journal of Knowledge management, 19(3), 433-455.
13. Chiu, C. M., Hsu, M. H., & Wang, E. T. (2006). Understanding knowledge sharing in virtual communities: An integration of social capital and social cognitive theories. Decision support systems, 42(3), 1872-1888.
14. Chowdhury, S. (2005). The role of affect- and cognition-based trust in complex knowledge sharing. Journal of Managerial Issues, 17(3), pp.310−326.
15. Constant, D., Kiesler, S. and Sproull, L. (1994). What's Mine Is Ours, or Is It? A Study of Attitudes about Information Sharing. Information Systems Research, 5(4), pp.400-421.
16. Dabbs Jr, J. M., Chang, E. L., Strong, R. A., & Milun, R. (1998). Spatial ability, navigation strategy, and geographic knowledge among men and women. Evolution and human behavior, 19(2), 89-98.
17. Davenport T and Prusak L (1998), Working Knowledge, Harvard Business Press, Cambridge, MA in Alvesson M (2002), Understanding Organizational Culture, Sage Publications, London.
18. de Vries, R; den Hooff, Bart; and de Rider, A .(2006). Explaining Knowledge Sharing: The Role of Team Communication Styles, Job Satisfaction, and Performance Beliefs. Journal of Communication Research, 33(2), pp.115-135.
19. Dickerson, D., Clark, M., Dawkins, K., & Horne, C. (2006). Using science kits to construct content understandings in elementary schools. Journal of Elementary Science Education, 18(1), 43-56.
20. Dirks K (2000), "Trust in Leadership and Team Performance: Evidence from NCAA Basketball", Journal of Applied Psychology, 85 (6). 1004-1012.
21. Evans, M. (2012). Knowledge Sharing: An empirical study of the role of trust and other social cognitive factors in an organizational setting. University of Toronto.
22. Foss, N., Husted, K. and Michailova, S. (2010). Governing Knowledge Sharing in Organizations: Levels of Analysis, Governance Mechanisms, and Research Directions. Journal of Management Studies, 47(3), pp.455-482.
23. Gagné, M., & Deci, E. L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. Journal of Organizational behavior, 26(4), 331-362.
24. Gubbins, C., & Dooley, L. (2011). Social network analysis as a tool for knowledge management for innovation. In Knowledge management for process, organizational and marketing innovation: tools and methods (pp. 95-119). IGI Global.
25. Haas, M. R., Criscuolo, P., & George, G. (2015). Which problems to solve? Online knowledge sharing and attention allocation in organizations. Academy of Management Journal, 58(3), 680-711.
26. Hargadon, A. B. (1998). Firms as knowledge brokers: Lessons in pursuing continuous innovation. California management review, 40(3), 209-227.
27. Heejun Park, Vincent Ribière, and William D. Schulte Jr. (2004). Critical attributes of organizational culture that promote knowledgemanagement technology implementation success", Journal of Knowledge Management, 8 (3), pp. 106 – 117.
28. Hendricks, P. (1999). Why share knowledge? The Influence of ICT on the motivation for knowledge sharing. Journal of Knowledge and Process Management, 16 (2), pp.91-100.
29. Hovland, C. I., Janis, I. L., & Kelley, H. H. (1953). Communication and persuasion. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

30. Hung, Y. C., & Chuang, Y. H. (2009, July). Factors affecting knowledge sharing behavior: A content analysis of empirical findings. In International Conference of Pacific Rim Management.
31. Huysman, M.H. and de Wit, D. (2002). Knowledge Sharing in Practice. Springer, March 31, Business & Economics. Available on http://books.google.com. Pages displayed by permission of Springer. [Accessed 2 October 2016].
32. Ipe, M. (2003). Knowledge Sharing in Organizations: A Conceptual Framework. Human Resource Development Review, 2(4), pp.337-359.
33. Jahani, S., Effendi, A. and T. Ramayah (2013). Reward System and Knowledge Sharing Behaviour among Iranian Academics: Preliminary Survey Findings. International Journal of Business and Innovation, 1 (37), pp.51.
34. Jordan J (2004), "Controlling Knowledge Flows in International Alliances", European Business Journal, 16 (2) pp. 70-77.
35. Kelloway, E. K. and Barling, J., 2000. Knowledge works as organizational behavior. International Journal of Management Reviews. 2(3): 287−304.
36. Kelman, H. C. (1958). Compliance, identification, and internalization three processes of attitude change. Journal of conflict resolution, 2(1), 51-60.
37. Kim, L. and Nelson, R. R. (2000). Technology, learning, and innovation: Experiences of newly industrializing economies, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
38. Kowal, J., & Fortier, M. S. (1999). Motivational determinants of flow: Contributions from self-determination theory. The journal of social psychology, 139(3), 355-368.
39. Kwahk, K. Y., & Park, D. H. (2016). The effects of network sharing on knowledge-sharing activities and job performance in enterprise social media environments. Computers in Human Behavior, 55, 826-839.
40. Leonard, N. H., Beauvais, L. L. and Scholl R. W. ,1999. Work Motivation: The Incorporation of Self-Concept-Based Processes. In Organizational Behavior, Ott, J. S., Pasrkes, S. J. and Simpson, R. B. eds., 2002, Thomson Learning Inc, p.191−209.
41. Leonard, N. H., Beauvais, L. L., & Scholl, R. W. (1999). Work motivation: The incorporation of self-concept-based processes. Human relations, 52(8), 969-998.
42. Lin, H.F. (2007). Effects of extrinsic and intrinsic motivation on employee knowledge sharing intentions. Journal of Information Science. published online 15 February 2007.
43. Locke, E. A. and Latham G. P. 2004. What should we do about motivation theory? Six recommendations for the twenty-first century. Academy of Management Review, 29(3): 388−403.
44. Matayong, S., & Kamil Mahmood, A. (2013). The review of approaches to knowledge management system studies. Journal of Knowledge Management, 17(3), 472-490.
45. Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. The Academy of Management Review, 20(3), pp.709-734.
46. Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. Academy of management review, 20(3), 709-734.
47. McAllister, D. J. (1995). Affect- and cognition-based trust as foundations for interpersonal cooperation in organizations. Academy of Management Journal, 38(1), pp. 24−59.
48. Meyer, D., Armstrong-Coben, A. and Batista, M. (2005). How a Community-Based Organization and an Academic Health Center Are Creating an Effective Partnership for Training and Service. Journal ofAcademic Medicine, 80(4), pp.327-333.
49. Mishra, A. K. (1996). In press. Organizational responses to crisis: The centrality of trust. In R. M.Kramer & T. Tyler (Eds.), Trust in organizations. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
50. Montequín, V. R., Fernández, F. O., Cabal, V. A., & Gutierrez, N. R. (2006). An integrated framework for intellectual capital measurement and knowledge management implementation in small and medium-sized enterprises. Journal of Information Science, 32(6), 525-538.
51. Nelson K and Cooprider J (1996), "The Contribution of Shared Knowledge to IS Group Performance", MIS Quarterly, Vol.20, (4), 409-432.
52. Nissen, H. A., Evald, M. R., & Clarke, A. H. (2014). Knowledge sharing in heterogeneous teams through collaboration and cooperation: Exemplified through Public–Private-Innovation partnerships. Industrial Marketing Management, 43(3), 473-482.
53. Olatokun, W., & Nwafor, C. I. (2012). The effect of extrinsic and intrinsic motivation on knowledge sharing intentions of civil servants in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. Information Development, 28(3), 216-234.
54. Osterloh, M. and Frey, B. S., 2000. Motivation, knowledge transfer, and organizational forms. Organization Science, 11(5): 538−550.
55. Polanyi, M. (1966). The logic of tacit inference. Philosophy, 41(155), 1-18.
56. Reilly 'O Charles. (1989). Corporations, Culture, and Commitment: Motivation and Social Control in Organizations. California Management Review, 31 (4),pp. 9-25.
57. Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C., 1998. Not so different after all: a cross discipline view of trust. Academy of Management Review, 23: 393−404.
58. Ruppel, C. P., & Harrington, S. J. (2001). Sharing knowledge through intranets: A study of organizational culture and intranet implementation. IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, 44(1), pp. 37−52.
59. Ryu, S., Ho, S. H., & Han, I. (2003). Knowledge sharing behavior of physicians in hospitals. Expert Systems with applications, 25(1), 113-122.
60. Sajeva, S. (2014). Encouraging Knowledge Sharing among Employees: How Reward Matters. Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences, 156, pp.130-134.
61. Serenko, A., & Bontis, N. (2016). Negotiate, reciprocate, or cooperate? The impact of exchange modes on inter-employee knowledge sharing. Journal of Knowledge Management, 20(4), 687-712.
62. Shamir, B. (1990). Calculations, values, and identities: The sources of collectivistic work motivation. Human relations, 43(4), 313-332.
63. Sutton, M., (2006). Knowledge citizen's approach to knowledge sharing, rewards and incentive. South Arfican Journal of Information Management, 8.
64. Un, C. A., & Asakawa, K. (2015). Types of R&D collaborations and process innovation: The benefit of collaborating upstream in the knowledge chain. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 32(1), 138-153.
65. Usoro, A., Sharratt, M., Tsui, E. and Shekhar, S. (2007). Trust as an antecedent to knowledge sharing in virtual communities of practice. Knowledge Management Research & Practice, 5(3), pp.199-212.
66. Van den Hooff, B., & Hendrix, L. (2004).Eagerness and willingness to share: The relevance of different attitudes towards knowledge sharing. Paper presented at the Fifth European Conference on Organizational Knowledge, Learning and Capabilities, Innsbruck, Austria.
67. Wasko, M. M., & Faraj, S. (2005). Why should I share? Examining social capital and knowledge contribution in electronic networks of practice. MIS quarterly, 29(1), 35-57.
68. Witherspoon, C. L., Bergner, J., Cockrell, C., & Stone, D. N. (2013). Antecedents of organizational knowledge sharing: a meta-analysis and critique. Journal of Knowledge Management, 17(2), 250-277.
69. Yi, J. (2009). A measure of knowledge sharing behavior: scale development and validation. Journal of Knowledge Management Research & Practice, 7(1), pp.65-81.
70. Zawawi, A. A., Zakaria, Z., Kamarunzaman, N. Z., Noordin, N., Sawal, M. Z. H. M., Junos, N. M., & Najid, N. S. A. (2011). The study of barrier factors in knowledge sharing: A case study in public university. Management Science and Engineering, 5(1), 59.

AUTHORS PROFILES

P. Ashwini, Internal Full Time Research Scholar in VITBS, VIT, Vellore, India. Undergoing research under the guidance of Dr. Susan Chirayath, Senior Professor, VITBS, VIT, Vellore. I have published one Book Chapter, attended 3 conferences and 30 workshops. Currently I am doing research on Nursing Retention through Human Resources Management Practices and Job Embeddedness, ashwinipalani08@gmail.com

Motivational Factors for Knowledge Sharing and Trust

DR. Susan Chirayath, Professor, VIT Business School, VIT, Vellore, India. Former director, KVM Institute of Management, Cherthala, Former Principal of SCMS School of Technology and Management, Cochin, Secretary-Director, IAESTE International programs, Karuna University, Coimbatore. I have published 55 paper and Scopus indexed journals included. Participated and presented papers in national and international levels. Conducted and organized management events, faculty development programs and workshop. Won 'Best task control grant' given by Coimbatore the board relationship at CMA understudy the executives day facilitated by KPR institute of business. Won 'Pride India grant' in view of by and large accomplishment one made in his/her calling composed by Government of Jharkhand and Indian school of mines.
susanchirayath@gmail.com