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Abstract: An interconnection of wireless nodes in motion is called as Mobile AdHoc Network (MANET). One of the problems facing MANET is the route failure due to dynamic movement of nodes. Route failure leads to frequent path search and extra effort for maintaining existing path. An effective routing protocol should choose an elite path for dispatching data and consume less resources. Ad-hoc On-demand Multipath Distance Vector (AOMDV) protocol can sustain more than one path between the communicating nodes and switches between them, whenever communication fails over selected path. This way, it reduces the effort of discovering new path, whenever an existing path fails. However, while choosing alternative paths the protocol only considers the hop count as a deciding factor and it does not take into consideration the energy associated with node nor the congestion along the chosen path. In this paper, we consider both residual energy and active load while selecting path for communication. Performance of both protocols are tested on NS2 simulator. It was found that, the enhancement does provide an improvement in performance than the existing protocol.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A self-organized mobile node that communicates wirelessly in an infrastructure-less environment forms a mobile ad-hoc network [19]. Such a networks are temporary as the communication between any neighboring nodes breaks, whenever they go beyond wireless range. When a link fails between any nodes fails, all the packets that are travelling along the path are dropped, resulting in the reduction of packets delivered to the destination. This leads to a surge in end-to-end delay [1]. If there are multiple paths between the nodes, they can quickly change over to other paths, when existing path fails and still continue the communication without opting to discover a fresh route. Ad hoc On-demand Multipath Distance Vector (AOMDV) protocol uses this technique to increase its performance. It maintains multiple link-disjoint path between the communicating nodes. However, it considers only hop count while deciding on the communication path and select a path with least hop as the primary path. Energy a node and congestion level are also important factors while choosing the primary path for communication. Choosing a node with lower power can lead to early link failure due to power loss at intermediate nodes. Similar, choosing congested path can reduce the throughput of the network.

II. RELATED WORK

There were many attempts made to improve the energy conservation and congestion control in MANET. A multipath protocol based on the maximum and minimum value of residual energy [2] was proposed by Yumei Liu et al. This protocol advocates use of least residual energy. It uses path with highest residual energy path for data transmission. An improvement to AODV based on the maximization of network lifetime was proposed by Liu et al. [3]. Here multiple paths are selected based on an energy cost function. The protocol optimizes forwarding mechanism by using multiple paths to balance energy during data transmission. Another modification of AOMDV based on energy constraint was proposed by May Cho Aye et al. [4]. Here route discovery procedure is identical to AOMDV, however the route transmission power is used in determining best route. A Modification to AODV protocol to handle energy and adaptability was proposed by Patil Annapurna et al. [5]. This protocol computes drain count, based on the residual energy of the nodes and uses the least drain count paths for routing packets. Such a consideration makes the network adaptive in nature. However, such a modification leads to higher control packet sand results obtained from simulation, illustrate a better throughput for AODV than the modified protocol. Salwa Othmen et al. [6] proposed a protocol based on the power and delay. This protocol takes into consideration, both life time and number of hops while routing the packets. It distributes the paths among the nodes with undue battery power. It also switches to backup path when existing path fails. The protocol executes better than SPR and MAODV, with respect to throughput, delay, and packet loss rate. One more AOMDV based protocol that considers energy while routing was proposed by Kofika Khan et al. [7]. Here the state of each node is determined by the hop count value and energy metric. Here the weakest node determines the reliability of the path and such a node is avoided during data transmission. Authors Sivaraman and Karthikeyan [8], proposed an EE-BWA-AOMDV. This protocol uses minimal energy and available bandwidth to decide the best paths. It uses the path with highest available bandwidth to transfer the data. Even though this protocol shows less energy consumption, lower packet drops and an increase in delivery of packets, it may rapidly exhaust battery, as it relies on nodes with minimum energy. An enhancement to AODV protocol was proposed by Kumaran Ragunathan and Thabotharan Kathiravelu [9]. Here both hop-count and packet travel time were used for choosing path during route discovery phase.
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With this enhancement, the authors are able to reduce the end to end delay and increase the packet delivery ratio, in comparison to AODV. An energy efficient optimized AOMDV using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) based optimization function was proposed by Aqeel et al. [10]. Here the optimization function selects route having highest energy level and least distance. Simulation results of this protocol exhibits an enhancement in performance for delivery rate, end delay, and throughput in comparison with the original protocol. Alhamali Masoud Alfrirgani et al. [11] estimated congestion window and bandwidth using scheme based on congestion control. A thorough comparison of these schemes on various window size and bandwidth are also provided by the authors. Anju et al. [12] provided a congestion detection and prevention technique based on AODV.

III. COST FUNCTION AND ROUTE SELECTION

Energy consumed by a Network Interface Card (NIC) with 2 Mbps and using 0.5 V energy, is 240 mA while receiving and transmitting [13]. The objective function should be designed in such a way that, it gives higher weight or cost for nodes having lower energy [14]. This way, we can eliminate lower energy nodes during route selection and obtain a stable sustainable route with nodes having higher energy.

Consider a node with residual energy $e_i$ and $f_i(e_i)$ be the corresponding cost function at time $t$. This cost function has inverse relationship with residual energy of the node [15] and can be written as,

$$f_i(e_i) \propto \frac{1}{e_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$f_i(e_i) = \rho_i \times \left( \frac{f_i}{e_i} \right) \times W_i$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here, $\rho_i$ represents the power required by the node $n_i$ to transmit, $F_i$ is the maximum charge capacity of node $n_i$, $W_i$ is the weight factor whose value is based on the quality of the battery. For any intermediate node, maximum cost on a given path $P_j$ is given by,

$$C_i(P_j) = \max \{ f_i(e_n) \} \ \forall n_i \in P_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

For all intermediate nodes along the path $P_j$, the total cost can be represented as,

$$C_2(P_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(e_i)$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

If there are a set of $M$ multipath which are node disjoint, then feasible path at any given time $t$, is given by,

$$Pf = \min(C_1(Pj)) \ \forall Pj \in M$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

In other words, a path having an intermediate node with least maximum cost can be considered as feasible path. Suppose, if the source and destination have $F$ such feasible paths, then optimal path among them, is the one having least total cost among all the nodes within a path. This is given as,

$$P_i = \min(C2(Pj)) \ \forall Pj \in F$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

Congestion of a link connecting two nodes can be estimated by considering the size of the buffer [16]. To estimate the congestion along the path, occupied buffer size of all nodes along the path are added. This value can be used to choose the optimal path from the available alternative paths. [10]. Congestion Level for given path can be calculated using equation (7).

$$\text{Congestion Level} = \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \text{buffer size}(i)$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Here $n_p$ indicates the number of hops in the route $p$. The occupied buffer size for the link $i$ of the route $p$ is given by $\text{buffer size}(i)$.

IV. WORKING OF MODIFIED AOMDV PROTOCOL

AOMDV creates a link disjoint, multiple paths among communicating nodes. AOMDV differentiates the paths based on the number of hops and chooses a path with minimum number of hops for communication. It tries to select path with least number of hops. However, considering only number of hops does not always gives optimal results and we must also consider the cost and congestion during the path selection [17]. The Energy and Congestion Ad-hoc On-demand Multihop Distance Vector (ECAMDV) protocol takes into account both cost and congestion along the path. The RREQ packet of ECAMDV is enhanced by adding following fields shown in Fig 1.

![Fig. 1. Modified Route Request packet of ECAMDV](image1)

Where Hop-Count is the count of hops amid the source and current node. Similarly, changes in RREP structure is revealed in Fig 2.

![Fig. 2. Enhanced Route Reply packet of ECAMDV](image2)

Changes to the routing table includes, adding additional fields such as Residual Energy (RE), Max Cost, Congestion Level (CL) and Cost. This is shown in Fig 3.

![Fig. 3. Modified Routing Table of ECAMDV](image3)

Whenever a source-node needs to transmit data to another node, whose path is not yet fabricated, it broadcasts RREQ packets its neighbors. When such a RREQ packet is received by intermediate node, it starts a timer and stores the Cost value of RREQ field. Any subsequent route requests from the same source, which has taken different path is broadcasted only if they meet following conditions.
IF RREQ.Cost < Node.Cost THEN
  Broadcast RREQ
ELSE IF RREQ.Cost = Node.Cost THEN
  IF RREQ.max_cost < Node.max_cost THEN
    Broadcast RREQ
  ELSE IF RREQ.max_cost = Node.max_cost THEN
    IF RREQ.hopCount < Node.Advertised_hopCount THEN
      Broadcast RREQ
    END IF
  END IF
END IF
ENF IF

Before broadcasting RREQ, the intermediate node performs following tasks.

1) Increment RREQ.HopCount by one.
2) If Node.max_cost is greater than RREQ.Max_Cost, then RREQ.Max_Cost = Node.max_cost.
3) RREQ.Cost = RREQ.Cost + Node(Cost) that is add the value of cost function
4) If Node.cost is greater than RREQ.Cost, then RREQ.Cost = Node.cost.
5) Computer RREQ. buffer_size = buffer_size + occupied size of the buffer of link
6) Update RoutingTable.CL = buffer_size / hopcount

When RREQ is received by the destination, it computes the total cost of the path and sends a RREP through each node disjoint path. The RREP contains the cost, Max Cost and Buffer Size which can be used to by the source for data transfer. From the multiple paths, available for the source, a path with least congestion is used for transferring data packets. When an existing path breaks between any nodes, a RERR packet is generated and the route maintenance process gets initiated.

V. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The NS-2.34 simulation framework is used to compare the performances of AOMDV and ECAOMDV. Simulation environment consists of a 1000 by 1000 square meter flat area with 40 moving wireless nodes. Random way-point mobility model is used during simulation [20]. Various parameters such as Average Delay, Traffic Overhead and Packet Delivery ratio are measured by varying number of connections. Details of simulation is shown in Table I.

A. Packet Delivery Ratio

Generally, protocols that show higher values of PDR are considered to be better. It was observed that with the packet delivery ration is slightly better than AOMDV. This is because, ECAOMDV selects less congested path for transmission. As the path has less congestion, there are less chances of packets being dropped and this increases PDR. Also, ECAOMDV chooses nodes with sufficient power. This also prevents link breakage due to node failure. Finally, when the path breaks, RERR is used to restore the path. All these factors lead to a higher value of PDR as plotted in Fig 4.

B. Routing Overhead

It was observed that the routing overhead increases with time, but ECOMDV shows a lower trend in routing overhead. This may be due to the fact that the path selected by EAOUMDV are stable and does not need to be re-established due to path failure. Thus, reducing the control packets. This is obvious from the Fig 5.

Table I: Settings of simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td>1000X1000 sq. m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission Power</td>
<td>0.7 Joule / packet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Power</td>
<td>0.3 Joule / packet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Energy</td>
<td>100 Joules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Battery Capacity</td>
<td>100 Joules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight factors</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Nodes</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Connections</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Type</td>
<td>CBR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Size</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queue Type</td>
<td>Drop tail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queue Size</td>
<td>50 * 512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mac Layer</td>
<td>802.11 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Model</td>
<td>Random Way Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing Protocols</td>
<td>AOMDV, ECAOMDV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Increase of % of PDR with time

Fig. 5. Variation of routing overhead with time

C. Average end-to-end delay

The Fig 6, shows that the movement of nodes increases with time and it leads to the breakage of link.
This contributes to an increase in delay. However, it can be seen that the delay is less in ECAOMDV during initial stage and the delay converges with AOMDV at later time.

Fig. 6. Variation of End to End Delay with time

D. Residual Energy

Simulation shows that ECAOMDV utilizes lower energy in comparison with AOMDV. This is because, ECAOMDV avoids nodes with lower residual energy and distributes the load fairly across all nodes. As a result, we observe that, the average residual power of all nodes decreases steadily in comparison with AOMDV. This is evident in Fig 7.

Fig. 7. Decrease in Residual Energy with time

E. Throughput

Simulation results shows that, throughput of ECAOMDV increases with time. This is due selection of less congested and stable path. Throughput comparison is plotted in Fig 8.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The proposed, Energy and Congestion aware protocol selects an optimal path subject to the level of congestion and residual power of the nodes. It performs a fair allocation of load between the nodes and improves lifetime of the route, thereby providing better throughput for the network. Result of simulation shows that, ECAOMDV consumes less energy and delivers more packets as compared to AOMDV.
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