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Abstract: This paper presents the Optimum Power Flow (OPF) and Optimum placement of UPFC using optimization techniques, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Cuckoo Search (CS) Algorithm. The IEEE 30 bus system is used as a test system and performance of PSO and CS algorithm evaluated with and without UPFC on test system. The optimum placement of UPFC is carried out using OPF. Further it is seen that by using CS algorithm transmission loss, generation cost are minimized compared to PSO algorithm. The optimum placement of UPFC improves the voltage profile of test bus system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With an increase in power demand and restriction in transmission line expansion causes overloading of the transmission lines. Flexible Alternating Current Transmission System (FACTS) technology plays an significant role in power flow control of power system and increases the transmission line capacity to its thermal loading capacity [1-2]. To control power system parameters such as series and shunt impedance, voltage, current, rotor angle stability and phase angle FACTS devices incorporates the Power Electronics equipped controllers to control power flow in transmission line and further increases system stability. UPFC is combined (series and shunt) controller FACTS device and it is most versatile because it has ability to control real and reactive power simultaneously or selectively [1]. In present power system for transmission line planner’s optimum power flow and optimum placement of UPFC is important which enhance the capacity of existing lines. Different methods are used in power system operation and control to various applications, such as analytical method, nonlinear programming and optimization techniques. There are different optimization techniques to solve optimization problem such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), PSO and CS algorithm etc[3,4]. CS algorithm is new optimization technique inspired by bird called cuckoo [3].

II. UNIFIED POWER FLOW CONTROLLER

Among the different FACTS devices, UPFC is versatile device because of its capability to absorbing and supplying of real and reactive power. UPFC consist of two converters, AC to DC converters (VSC2) and DC to AC converters (VSC1) as shown in Fig. 1. VSC2 converter is connected in parallel with transmission line via shunt transformer and VSC1 converter is connected to a transmission line in series via series transformer in a transmission line. VSC2 and VSC1 connected back to back with a common DC link. The series and shunt control structure measures the measured values, compares with reference signal and generates the gate signal to VSC1 and VSC2 respectively. The main objective of converter VSC2 is to supply or absorbs real power required by the converter VSC1 through DC link. VSC1 injects voltage Vpq with controlled magnitude and phase angle[1,5-7].

Fig.1. Block diagram of UPFC.

III. MATHEMATICAL MODELS

A. Optimal Power Flow

In present Power System increase in power demand puts various challenges to power system planners to utilize existing resources, in this Optimal Power Flow (OPF) plays a significant rule. Equation of optimal power flow is taken as the fuel cost and one equality constraints are used for getting the performance of the algorithm. Simultaneously Transmission loss and cost of UPFC also considered.
The objective function is given by equation 1.

\[ F_{\text{cost}}(P_{g}) = a^*\sum(x_{P_{g}}^2) + b^*P_{10i} + c^*\text{UPFC}_{\text{Cost}} \]  

(1)

Where \( i = 1,2,3 \ldots N \)

\( P_{g} \) : Generated power at generator bus \( i \).
\( x, y, z \) : Cost coefficients.
\( a, b, c \) : Multi objective weight values.
\( F_{\text{cost}}(P_{g}) \) : Total fuel cost.
\( \text{UPFC}_{\text{Cost}} \) : Cost of UPFC.

In-Equality Constraint,

\[ V_{i_{\text{min}}} < V_{i} < V_{i_{\text{max}}} \]  

(2)

\( V_{i_{\text{min}}} \) : Minimum voltage, which should be maintained at each bus (0.9 p.u).
\( V_{i_{\text{max}}} \) : Maximum voltage, which should be maintained at each bus (1.1 p.u).

Equality Constraint,

\[ P_{\text{load}} + P_{\text{loss}} = \sum P_{i} \]  

(3)

Where \( i = 1,2,3 \ldots N \)

\( P_{\text{load}} \) : Total Demand in entire power system (summation of total demand).
\( P_{\text{loss}} \) : Total line loss in entire power system.
\( P_{i} \) : Total sum of generation.

The equation 1 is objective function, which should minimize the fuel cost in constraint with voltage and power given in equation 2 and 3. The equation 1 is objective function, which should minimize the fuel cost in constraint with voltage and power given in equation 2 and 3.

**B. UPFC Model**

FACTS devices mainly used to minimize the various steady state control problem of power system. Literature reveals that FACTS devices can improve the loading capacity of a transmission line. UPFC is a combination two FACTS devices, namely Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC) and Static Var Compensator (SVC). TCSC is connected in series (VSC1) and SVC is connected in parallel (VSC2) as shown in Fig 1.

The value of reactance is the function of reactance of the line where the TCSC is placed. The transmission line impedance of is given by [14],

\[ Z_{L} = \frac{X_{L}}{\left| X_{TCSC} \right|} \]  

(4)

\[ X_{TCSC} = \frac{X_{TCSC}}{X_{L}} \]  

(5)

Where,

\( Z_{L} \) : Transmission line impedance
\( X_{TCSC} \) : Transmission line reactance (where TCSC is located)
\( f_{TCSC} \) : Compensation degree of TCSC (Coefficient)

SVC of a UPFC can be operated in both inductive and capacitive mode hence it controls bus voltage by absorbing or injecting reactive power. A shunt variable susceptance is connected at both ends of the transmission line for model the SVC.

The injected reactive power at bus \( i^{th} \) is

\[ \Delta Q_{i} = Q_{\text{SVC}} \]  

(6)

\( Q_{\text{SVC}} \) : Reactive power injected by SVC (MVAR)

\[ Q_{\text{SVC}} = Q_{\text{min}} \sim Q_{\text{max}} \]  

(7)

The limits for reactance and reactive power of UPFC are,

\[ X_{TCSC} = -0.8 \times X_{L} \text{ to 0.8 } X_{L} \]  

\[ Q_{\text{SVC}} = -100 \text{ MVAR to 100 MVAR} \]

OPF objective function is used to place UPFC optimally in test system using PSO and CS algorithm. UPFC is placed in the weakest bus of test system and heavy loaded areas with meeting all the constraints. The power flow in a transmission lines can be controlled varying magnitude and angle of the series injected voltage of UPFC by capacitive and inductive mode. control strategies can be given as,

Capacitive mode

\[ |V_{s_e}|=0.09, \alpha=-90^0, I_{s}=0.9 \]  

(8)

Reactive mode

\[ |V_{s_e}|=0.09, \alpha=-90^0, I_{s}=0.1 \]  

(9)

**C. Practical Swarm Optimization (PSO)**

Optimization is a process which improves the output, in such a way that adjusting the input. The input may be objective function, process or variable etc. There are different optimization techniques available but PSO algorithm which has flexibility, enhances the search capability and overcomes the convergence problem. PSO algorithm originally based on the behavior of organisms (Particle) like ant, bird or fish etc. which are searching for the food. If any of the particle finds the path for food rest of the particles will follow same path. Its first concept, introduced by Kennedy and Eberhart, in 1995[8]. Each particle of PSO algorithm are characterized by two parameters position and a velocity. Proposed PSO algorithm parameters are used to find objective function, shown in Table I. The general principles for the PSO algorithm are stated below[8].

**Step 1:** Chose the number of particles as 8 i.e. 1 to 6 particles are values of 6 generators of IEEE 30 bus system, 7 particle is MVAR value of UPFC and 8 particle is reactance (X) value of TCSC

**Step 2:** The initial population is chosen randomly close to 8 particle data.

**Step 3:** Set the initial velocity as zero denoted by \( V_{i}(i) \), increase the step count with iteration number as \( i=1 \) and find velocity of particles.

**Step 4:** Convenience particle position of \( j \) denoted by \( X_{j}(i) \)

**Step 5:** In the \( i^{th} \) iteration find the \( P_{\text{best}} \) and \( G_{\text{best}} \) value (\( P_{\text{best}} \) and \( G_{\text{best}} \) values are Generators and UPFC data), identify the velocity for the particles close to \( C_{1} \) and \( C_{2} \) as 2 by following,

\[ V_{j}(i) = V_{j}(i-1) + C_{1} \times I_{1}[P_{\text{best}} - X_{j}(i-1)] + C_{2} \times I_{2}[G_{\text{best}} - X_{j}(i-1)] \]  

(10)

Where \( j = 1 \) to 8 (Particles) and \( i \) = iteration count,

\( C_{1} \) & \( C_{2} \) : Individual (cognitive) & group (Social) learning rates respectively.
• Find the position of the j-th particle in the i-th iteration by following,

\[ X_j(i) = X_j(i-1) + V_j(i) \]  

where j = 1 to 8

• Evaluate the new \( X_j(i) \) with fitness function of \( F_{\text{cost}}(P_{gi}) \) using optimal load flow analysis check voltage constraint and power flow constraint.

• Step 6: Check convergence of solution, if not go to step 5 update iteration number \( i = i + 1 \) find new \( P_{\text{best}} \) and \( G_{\text{best}} \) till optimum solution.

Table 1: Data used for PSO algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Epochs</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Number of variables</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Acceleration constant C1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Acceleration constant C2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Initial inertia weight</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Final inertia weight</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Cuckoo Search (CS)

For optimum location of UPFC considering objective function CS algorithm is used which is inspired by a bird called Cockoo. Initially cuckoo lays some eggs in nests, in which some eggs grow up and matured. The eggs which are not matured are detected by host bird and killed. The general principles for the CS algorithm are stated in following steps [3].

Step 1: Begin objective function by equation 1
Step 2: Generate initial population ‘n’ host nests
[Generate initial population of ‘n’ host consist of \( x_i = (1…n) \) values i.e. n=8. Which is combination of 6 Generators of IEEE 30 bus system and MVAR, reactance (X) of UPFC data.]

Step 3: While (t< maximum generation)
Get a cuckoo randomly by Levy Flights evaluate its quality/fitness function.
[Here ‘t’ is nothing but initial iteration count and maximum generation is number of iteration count.]
Chose a nest among n randomly
If \( (F_i > F_j) \), replace j by the new solution
End
(Chose a nest means set of 8 initial values and named as \( F_i \), Selected random nest is evaluated by objective function and it is named as \( F_j \), If \( F_i \) is greater than the \( F_j \) replace \( F_j \) by new solution that is \( G_{\text{best}} \) Value)

Step 4: A fraction \( (p_a) \) of worse nests are abandoned and new ones are built.
(fraction \( (p_a) \) is nothing but probability index of worst nest means where the cost is high. Abandoned and new one are built by Levy Flights by the equation

\[ x_j(t+1) = x_j(t) + \alpha \circ \text{levy}(\lambda) \]  

Where \( \alpha \) is step size and \( \lambda \) can be chosen any value more than zero)

Step 5: Rank the solution and find the current best value, do it till the while loop get executed.

Table 2: Data used for CS algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>CSA parameters</th>
<th>Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Epochs</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Number of variables</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fraction Pa</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Step size (( \alpha ))</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Simulations PSA and CS algorithm on IEEE 30 bus test system have been carried out for with and without UPFC.

Fig.2. Voltage profile of IEEE 30 bus using PSO algorithm.

Voltage profile for IEEE 30 bus test system for PSA algorithm is shown Fig. 2. The application of the UPFC in test system has improved the voltage profile. At bus number 5 spike in voltage is because of placement of UPFC.

Fig.3. Voltage profile of IEEE 30 bus using CS algorithm.

Voltage profile for IEEE 30 bus test system for PSO algorithm is shown Fig. 2. The application of the UPFC in test system has improved the voltage profile. At bus number 5 spike in voltage is because of placement of UPFC. Fig. 3 shows the voltage profile of with and without UPFC of IEEE 30 bus system using Cs algorithm. Using CS algorithm placement of UPFC is at bus number 5 it is noticed that spike in voltage with UPFC.
The power generation of six generators of test system is presented in Table 3. By the application of UPFC and OPF using PSO and CS algorithm optimum power generation is obtained. With UPFC, CS algorithm gives better results compared to PSO algorithm. Simulation results of total generation, transmission loss generation cost are summarized in Table 4.

Table 3: Optimal generation values using PSO & CS algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generators</th>
<th>PSO Algorithm</th>
<th>CS Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Power generation in (MW)</td>
<td>Power generation in (MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without UPFC</td>
<td>With UPFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>177.88</td>
<td>176.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>49.17</td>
<td>49.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G8</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>20.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G11</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analytical results show that application of UPFC reduces transmission loss which intern reduces generation cost. With PSO algorithm voltage profile is better compared CS algorithm as shown in Fig. 4, but when multi objectives are considered CS algorithm gives better results compared to PSO algorithm.

Fig.4. Voltage profile of IEEE 30 bus using PSO and CS algorithm.

Table 4: Comparison of power generation, Transmission loss, Cost and placing of UPFC in IEEE 30 bus system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PSO Algorithm</th>
<th>CS Algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without UPFC</td>
<td>With UPFC</td>
<td>Without UPFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Generation (MW)</td>
<td>292.88</td>
<td>280.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission loss (MW)</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>6.6381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Cost ($)</td>
<td>801.97</td>
<td>792.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placement of UPFC (Bus Number)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper multi-objective function is proposed for optimum location of UPFC in IEEE 30 bus system. The optimal power flow with PSO and CS optimization techniques are used to locate UPFC. Results reveals that application of UPFC improves the voltage profile and optimum placement of UPFC minimizes the transmission loss which intern reduces the generation cost. The results of CS algorithm for UPFC exhibits a superiority in performance compared to the PSO algorithm. The CS algorithm attains better results and reduces computational burden.
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