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Abstract: The main focus of railways is of all economies, transporting items, and passengers. The important role of sleepers is the performance of track and rail transport safety. In sleeper manufacturing, different kinds of materials (Timber, Concrete, and Steel) are utilized. The most widely used sleeper material is Hardwood Timber. The demand for sleepers will move on lots better side in time to come back due to the failures of the Sleepers. The production and maintenance cost of the sleeper is higher. This paper discusses the different failures of classic concrete, steel, and timber sleepers and the capacity of defensive action to minimize those failures. This paper comprehensively evaluates with the recommended solutions for the three typically used sleeper materials of its failure mechanisms. In order to make cost-effective sleeper, the waste materials from industries can be used as supplementary raw material with a purpose to result in enhancing the great for the environment as properly. For the approaching years, every production activity needs to focus on sustainable engineering and this study tries to present the alternative smart solution for the destiny infrastructure engineering region.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A railway is a backbone for the development of all economies by providing means of transporting goods and passengers. A common man can go to different parts of the country with low price in railways. In India, the components of the railway track system gone through the slow development from the timber sleepers to concrete sleepers. Day by day the usages of railways are increased. For improving the rail service, enhance the trip frequency and improve the load carrying capacity [1]. In later years, there was a growing assignment in railway engineering studies. Railway tracks have been designed based totally on attention to overcome the heavier load-carrying capacity of the roads and trucks either for the time being or within the destiny. Usually, ballasted railway track which includes rails, sleepers, ballast formation, and fastening systems is proudly built for transportation in particular in a remote area.

The railway sleepers play an essential position in transferring and distributing loads uniformly from the rail to ballast to guide the rail firmly and evenly, hold the gauge of the track effectively, to behave as an elastic medium between the rail and the ballast and to take in the vibration of the trains and to align the rail properly. There is a number of sleeper production units in our country. The demand for sleepers will go on a lot better aspect in time to return. In the near future, the railways are probable to broaden as a minimum 5000 to 8000 kilometer of rail network per year, which is almost 30 to 40% extra than in past, assuming that kilometer of rail would need 1600 sleepers these plans are probable to outcomes in annual demand of about 1.3 crores of sleeper. Present manufacturing cost of monoblock broad gauge pre-stressed concrete sleeper is within the range of 2200 – 2500/-. The cement which is applied for the manufacture of the sleeper is the main raw material [2]. A worldwide survey was conducted by the International Federation for Structural Concrete [3] for conventional sleepers in the world’s railway network for annual demands and mentioned in Table 1.

Table-1: Demand of Sleepers in Railway Network throughout the World

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country name</th>
<th>Track with number of Sleepers (x 1000)</th>
<th>Sleepers Demand per year (x 1000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Timber</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>600000</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>600000</td>
<td>13000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>163500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>115000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>45000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>43000</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>34000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>20388</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>19500</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>9912</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>6150</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>5300</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>5080</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morroco</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This survey illustrates that in many countries concrete superior material for sleeper except the USA in which the timber sleeper has the primary demand. It’s far envisioned that in the railway networks throughout the world has approximately 3 billion sleepers are there. In that, more than 400 million concrete sleepers are there and due to premature failure of sleepers 2-5% of the required replacement every year [4]. This papers intention is to present the failure mechanisms of the sleepers and give some suggestions to minimize the failure of the sleeper and to make cost-effective and green sleepers by using alternate construction materials.

II. MATERIALS FOR RAILWAY SLEEPERS

The most commonly used is Timber Sleepers (Fig. 1), these days the usage of pre-stressed concrete sleepers (Fig. 2) and steel sleepers (Fig. 3) are increased. The merits and demerits of materials used for the railway are mentioned inside the following Table 2 [1].

Table- II: Merits and Demerits of Materials used for Railway

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Timber Sleeper</th>
<th>Concrete Sleeper</th>
<th>Steel Sleeper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardwood (sal)</td>
<td>Monoblock pre-stressed concrete sleepers</td>
<td>Y-shaped steel sleeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chir</td>
<td>Twinblock sleepers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing cost</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Less than a concrete sleeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merits</td>
<td>Workable</td>
<td>Longer life cycle</td>
<td>Easy to handle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy to handle</td>
<td>Lower maintenance cost</td>
<td>Life span is more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy to replace</td>
<td>Stability at high speeds</td>
<td>Free from fire hazard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demerits</td>
<td>Mechanical and Biological degradation</td>
<td>Transport Layering</td>
<td>Corrode easily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maintenance requires superior technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Sofwood sleepers soaked in coal tar creosote</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrap value</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 1. Timber Sleepers](image1.png)  ![Fig. 2. Concrete Sleepers](image2.png)  ![Fig. 3. Steel Sleepers](image3.png)

![Fig. 4. Common causes of Failures in Timber Sleeper](image4.png)

III. FAILURE OF SLEEPERS

The failure of sleepers was reviewed in 2014 [5] and in this section the detail of the failures are explained.

A. Failures of Timber Sleeper

It is necessary to minimize the maintenance value of the track and to enhance the track performance by acceptable examination for the source of untimely failures of sleepers. The Railway of Australia (ROA) [6] surveyed several states in Australia to be able to understand the reasons and mode of timber sleeper failure. For this cause, in Queensland railway tracks nearly 2200 timber sleepers were tested and discovered various causes for harming the sleeper is inclusive of decomposing due to fungus, splitting at the ends, termite attacks, still sound, sapwood, shelling, rail cut, weathering, spike kill and knots (Fig. 4). In this, decompose due to fungus (53%), splitting at the ends (10%) and termite attacks (7%) have been determined to be the main reasons for the timber sleeper failure.

• **Decompose due to fungus**: Timber is a natural material, so it is prone to many plagues to biodeterioration. Decompose due to fungus is the primary mode of failure in timber sleeper. In timber, a fungus can be prone reposing till it gets appropriate surroundings carrying H2O, O2, and nutrients. Particularly in rainy seasons, railway sleepers can take in moisture, and it will make fungus active and if it present in timber, it can affect the integrity of track’s structure by spreading from one sleeper to every other throughout nonnutritional surfaces [7,8]. The decompose due to the fungus of a timber sleeper (Fig. 5).

• **Splitting at the ends**: When the sleepers are under a huge transverse shear loading timber sleeper is failed by splitting at its [9,10]. Additionally, an acceptable fastening device which includes screw-splice, sleeper plate and a rail fastening clip is attached to the rails in every sleeper.

![Fig. 5.Decompose due to fungus](image5.png)
Splitting at the ends in sleeper due to screw-spike insertion (Fig. 6).

![Fig. 3. Splitting at the ends (9)](image)

- **Termite Attack:** Another considerable cause of timber sleeper damage is termite attacks and it has been suggested that the global value is approximately 1 billion dollars yearly for repairing structures and preventing these attack [11]. When the timber is attacked by termites, it swallows all materials containing cellulose and damages the sleeper permanently [12]. Sleepers, after treated with creosote, invasions of termites, were located in (Fig. 7).

![Fig. 7. Attack by Termites [13]](image)

**B. Failures of Concrete Sleeper**

In the 1950's the sleepers are prepared by the concrete for many advantages. These days, in the international's railway networks approximately 500 million railway sleepers are made from pre-stressed concrete. Every 12 months, the request for pre-stressed concrete sleepers comprise extra than 50% of a general demand [3,4]. In 1970, Monoblock pre-stressed concrete sleepers are started using as a sleeper and in Australia, the large part of the latest railway sleepers are made of pre-stressed concrete sleepers [14]. The results from the North American and global surveys [15] are received and ranked the maximum usual reasons for failures in concrete sleeper (Fig. 8). The result specified that the crucial source of concrete sleeper failure is rail seat deterioration within North America and the installation or tamping harm globally. But, from country to country the mode of failures may vary.

![Fig. 8. Concrete Sleeper Failures [15]](image)

- **Rail seat deterioration:** The failure in the rail seat is the most common mode of failure in modern concrete sleepers all over the world. The failure of rail seat is mainly produced either by freeze-thaw cracking, chemical deterioration, hydraulic pressure cracking, rail seat abrasion, and hydro-abrasive erosion [16] of this rail seat abrasion is the most critical one. The mechanism of rail seat abrasion was investigated [17,18] and found that a shear force is acting on the rail pad when the wheel load is transferred from the pad to the sleeper through the rail. If the shear force exceeds fatigue limit of the concrete also exceed and the deterioration will start. A number of things are liable for rail seat abrasion, including heavy axle loads, shoulder or sleeper pads, failure of fasteners, presence of water and track curves greater than 2° [19,20]. In 2010 [21], the hydraulic pressure cracking failure was investigated and results showed that the high pressure is responsible for rail seat deterioration. (Fig. 9) indicates Abrasion of rail seat region.

![Fig. 9. Rail seat abrasion [22]](image)

- **Derailment:** The primary causes of the derailment failure are existing impermissible defects in track and manpower fault. The track maintenance cost is increased for replacing the damaged sleepers due to the derailment. In 2012 [23], located that in Iranian railways B70 concrete sleepers have derailment disasters (Fig. 10).

![Fig. 10. Derailment of Concrete Sleepers [23]](image)

- **High-impact loading:** The flexural stiffness of the sleeper is reduced by the bending cracks at the midspan of concrete sleeper. During field inspection lots of cracks have been observed in concrete sleepers, the main cause identified is infrequent but in short duration high magnitude wheel load [24,25]. Present design recommendations are based totally on the most effective loading situations of static and quasi-static and do not recollect the excessive value of the impact load. (Fig 11) showing a crack due to impact loading on the sleepers. (Fig. 12a) illustrates the railway sleeper collapse and (Fig. 12b) the conditions of the joint in the repaired track. The investigational research conducted [26] and decided that the failure of sleeper under impact loading (Fig. 13a). A comparable non-performance sample changed into finding for the duration of an area investigated which verified
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failure of sleeper under impact loading (Fig. 13b).

Fig. 11. Cracks due to impact loading in concrete sleepers [27,28]

Fig. 12. Impact damage due to rail irregularities to sleeper [30]

Fig. 13. Splitting failure caused by impact loading in concrete sleeper [26]

- **Center-bound damage:** In a mainline railway track, the tensile fracture may occur on the pre-stressed concrete sleeper. They observed a tensile fracture on the top of the sleeper (Fig. 14a) which spread the cracks after the expecting duration of its crucial phase and “X” shape fracturing is formed clearly (Fig. 14b). A new study [30] located that a sleeper installed in the railway track before the service has failed by longitudinal cracking (Fig. 15a). During service, a further outcome like freezing of water and fine rocks existing in rawlplugs will cause longitudinal cracks (Fig. 15b). The same conclusions have been given [31] who determined that the principle purpose of longitudinal cracks in a sleeper is due to the excessive shearing tensile stress at bolt hole edge.

Fig. 14. Tensile fracture in concrete sleeper [32]

Fig. 15. Longitudinal cracking between rawlplugs [30].

- **Acid Attack:** The strong acids will easily attack the concretes that are made from OPC [33] and when hydrates in cement come into contact with the acids then CA(OH)₂ will convert into salts with more calcium [34,35]. Also, acids can attack calcium aluminate hydrate and calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H) [33,35] and the effect of acid attack (Fig. 16). The main source of acid rain is the emission of the huge amount of SO₂ and nitrogen oxide into the environment.

Fig. 16. Effect of acid attack [35].

- **Alkali-Aggregate Reaction (AAR):** The reactive substance in the cement and in the aggregates is the main difference between sulphate and alkali attacks [35]. Despite the fact is that Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) is the main supply of alkalis in concrete, on occasion, a further one is an unwashed fine aggregate containing sodium chloride and combining water is also taken into consideration inner resources [33]. (Fig. 17) Effects of AAR

The AAR is liable for sleeper failures due to the reasons of parallel longitudinal cracking at the top surfaces and maps cracking at the ends of pre-stressed concrete sleepers [36]. This was
also diagnosed by using any other investigation in China [37] investigated an affected concrete sleeper in the Shanghai area and via its (SEM/EDAX) analysis the crack is formed in the concrete sleeper due to the presence of reactive silica. (Fig. 18) AAR failure in a sleeper.

Fig. 18.Cracking due to AAR in concrete sleeper [38].

- **(DEF) – Delayed Etrringite Formation:** The deterioration of concrete is occurred by cracking. If the aggregate, soil, and groundwater contain sulfates of magnesium, calcium, sodium, and potassium. In solution, if sulfates are presented, then it will react with the calcium hydroxide and tricalcium aluminate. This reaction causes expansion and it leads to cracking [33,34] as depicted in (Fig. 19).

![Fig. 19.Effect of sulphate attack](image)

The concrete sleeper can deteriorate by DEF because of internal sulphate attack. The earliest commentary of it become stated [39], that the concrete sleepers are damaged within 10 years from its manufacture due to microcrack formation in the course of the pre-casting process in Finland. Additionally, at steam-curing temperatures fewer than 75 °C even if the systems take 16 h the failure because of DEF not occurred [40]. The cracking of pre-stressed concrete sleepers due to DEF might also come once they were in service for several years [41] (Fig. 20). Similarly, untimely failure occurs because of DEF in a Swedish concrete sleeper in 7 years after its manufacture [42].

![Fig. 20.Cracking due to DEF in pre-stressed concrete sleeper](image)

**C. Failures of Steel Sleeper**

A small number of researches have been conducted for the failure of steel sleepers. However, numerous investigators detailed that the steel has risk in corrosion; rail seat region is cracking due to fatigue, high conductivity of electricity and the problem of packing it with ballast.

- **Corrosion in Steel:** When the ballast or soil is supported in high salinity area, the corrosion will take place in steel sleepers. Both rail and sleepers are made from steel, still, sleepers have high risk in corrosion due to direct contact with the subgrade materials and ballast. (Fig. 21) Corrosion failure in steel sleeper. The further causes for the steel sleeper to corrode are if the ballast is based on steel slag, continuous moist surroundings [43].

![Fig. 21.Corrosion in steel sleeper](image)

**IV. APPROACHES TO MINIMIZE SLEEPER FAILURE**

The aim of many researchers is to reduce the issues in railway sleepers. Already a number of them have been applied. A few investigators have targeted on taking responsibility of conventional sleepers even as others have brought notably recent material. In this part, the most suitable approach and great practice for reducing the failure of a sleeper and it’s maintenance work are discussed.

**A. Timber Sleeper**

If some of the remedial measures are taken to the conventional timber sleepers, it can be safe from the untimely failure. As a consequence, many researchers have advised some techniques to save timber sleeper failure.

- **Decompose due to fungus and attack due to termites:** The safety procedures in timber structure are quite similar for controlling fungus and termite attack [45], and recent time, the most common technique used is soaked with synthetic chemicals and biological prevention have been studied by many researchers. The harmful organisms in timber can be destroyed by some toxic chemicals. These chemicals were used for more than 200 years because the cost is very low. The application of chemical preservatives and disposal of the timber sleeper have become a big concern for environmental agencies [46]. Lately, it had been emphasizing the usage of chemicals used to protect the timber by biological protection techniques that deal with public worries and it conforms with new environmental policies [45,47]. The loss of dignity of timber can be controlled by biological as actual as chemical prevention and environmental safety is one of the additional benefits. (Fig. 22) diagrammatic illustration of
measures to control termite in timber structures.

![Termite attack diagram]

Fig. 22. Measures to control termites

- **Control of end splitting**: The timber splitting at the ends can be controlled by fixing a plate at its end [8, 48]. This method is applicable when the width is less than 20mm and length not more than 250mm. The limits accepted for splitting for seasoned and unseasoned sleepers are width 6mm and 3mm for 100mm long. Consequently, the sleepers beyond the accepted limit but width not more than 20mm and length 250mm, by using end plates it can be saved. (Fig. 23a) saveable and (Fig. 23b) non-saveable timber sleeper.

![End-splitting failure minimization technique]

Fig. 23. End-splitting failure minimization technique [8]

### B. Concrete Sleeper

The most essential failure mode of sleepers made of concrete is rail seat deterioration and cracks in concrete. These types of failures can be minimized and arrested by numerous methods and in this section, it is discussed.

- **Preventing abrasion in rail seat**: In North America, the most critical problem for concrete sleeper is due to rail seat deterioration. Numerous researches have concentrated on minimizing and arresting these failures. In 2003 [49], attempted by using the plates made of steel to cover the area of rail seat to minimize abrasion. There is no rail seat abrasion occurred when the experimental program of fatigue testing completed, which ran 10 million cycles at a rate of 2.5 cycles/sec (Fig. 24). However, the cost of the manufacturing sleeper is increased for additional steel plates and the water presented below the concrete will lead to deterioration in the rail seat region. While adopting this approach, the above issue should be carefully considered. Coating with Epoxy over the area of rail seat is another approach to prevent abrasion [50]. However, wearing of epoxy, epoxy curing required more labour and during the application, the track should be closed because of these reasons this method is not a convincing one. The researchers have considered some different preventive methods are: in the rail seat area the concrete with silica fume and fly ash [51]. During manufacturing, steel fiber reinforced grout introduced in the area of rail seat [49, 52]. In rail seat area placing of metallic aggregates [53]. In 2002 [54], Abrasion-resistance properties are more in High volume fly ash concrete and the areas where highly abrasion-resistant is required High volume fly ash concrete is suggested. However, the compressive and tensile strength of the concrete in the rail seat area can be increased by adding some materials to concrete [19, 51].

![Rail seat covered by a steel plate after 10 million cycles]

Fig. 24. Rail seat covered by a steel plate after 10 million cycles [49].

- **Control of longitudinal crack**: The main reason for longitudinal cracks is due to the excessive shearing tensile stress around the bolt hole/ rawlplug. To minimize the shearing tensile stress [31] by using a special concrete within the inner part and normal concrete at the outer part of the bolt-hole region. The alternative method to reduce longitudinal crack is by changing the direction of crack and cracking planes by placing a transverse reinforced bar to strengthen the sleeper transversely and to induce pressure around the rawlplug hole [30].

### C. Steel Sleeper

To minimize the problems in steel sleeper only limited studies have been conducted. For controlling steel corrosion some recommendations are given by researchers, one of them the Australian Rail Track Corporation (ARTC) has suggested that steel sleepers usage should be avoided in the places where saltiness is high, wet regions, slag ballast, and regions with corrosive materials, including clay, mud, dirt, minerals, and coal [50]. The presence of salt is harmful to the steel which will speed up corrosion and as per ARTC steel sleepers should be avoided in the place of high salinity [51]. ARTC also recommended that the corrosion can be protected over the steel by Zinc coating. The Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP) disclosed that the ballast with the steel slags will enhance corrosion in steel and steel sleepers with slag ballast for the railroad tracks are not recommended [55].

### V. NEED OF NEW MATERIAL

Concrete, the raw material is easily available, the preparation is very simple and it can be moulded into various shapes because of its advantages it is most widely used construction material around the world. Portland cement is one of the essential elements in an ordinary concrete mix. However current literature discloses that approximately 5 % of CO₂ emission throughout the world comes from the cement industry. By 2050 the demand and production of...
cement worldwide will be increasing from 2836 million tons to a maximum of 4380 million tons. For the production of 1 ton Portland cement, the required raw materials is 1.5 tons and releasing of CO₂ to the surrounding is nearly 1 ton. OPC was used as the main construction material, even though it has too many environmental problems while the production process [56]. Because of the population increase and the need for new structures the global intake of concrete increases. In concrete, except cement all the ingredients are natural. Therefore there is a requirement in developing an alternative construction material. Now scientist and engineers have to develop an alternative binding material to replace cement. The requirement of the new materials is it should be with less environmental impact and durability. Developing alternative construction material is necessary for the future.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this review, the main problems in timber sleeper are their vulnerability to mechanical and biological degradation, together with Decompose due to fungus, Splitting at the ends and Attack due to termites which leads to its failure. The majority sleepers in railway are made of mono-block prestressed concrete sleepers because of their extra durability in the destructive environment. The fundamental problems in these types of sleepers are vulnerability to chemical attack [DEF, AAR and many others] and low impact resistance. Then again the stressful issue related to steel sleeper is due to the fatigue cracking on the region of rail seat which causes its deterioration. The acceptance of steel sleeper is decreased due to corrosion risk and different chemical attacks. Researchers have investigated some of the reduction strategies to protect the sleepers from their destructive conditions. The healing counts mentioned above are effective to guard sleepers against its premature failure. However, the price related to it is a remarkable concern. But, research and improvement at the moment are focussed on recently developed materials for sleeper manufacturing, which have the more capable to remedy plenty of issues in conventional timber, concrete, and steel sleeper.

VII. CONCLUSION

Alkali-Aggregate Reaction (AAR) and Delayed Ettringite Formation (DEF) are the main modes of concrete sleeper failure. It can be minimized by changing cement with Industrial waste cementitious materials, which will give extremely good engineering properties like protection against chemical degradation. Then again it gives high impact loading, corrosion resistance, durability, and fatigue lead them to green sleeper material. More studies required on these new materials which can be used as mainline railway sleepers because it is more economical. For installation, repair and maintenance less labour are required. The amount of energy and producing CO₂ will be minimized. A large quantity of waste material can be changed into productive use when composite materials are used. Destructive of the forest will be less by adopting composite sleepers. The properties of composite sleepers are similar to the traditional sleeper. Prestressed Geopolymer Concrete is the best solution to solve the above problems. It is made of aluminosilicate as a source material with alkaline solution. Railway produces around 1.3 crore sleepers each year and if they use industrial waste in concrete for sleepers, it’s going to reduce the value of manufacturing of each sleeper by approximately Rs 30, with the intention to imply a typical value saving of Rs 30 to 35 crore in keeping with annum for India Railways. This review paper highlights that the reuse of Industrial waste cementitious material can deal with the disposal problem and also it will make a resource for production with economical units in transportation engineering.
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