

Financial Leverage Determinants on the Financial Performance of a Company

A V N Murthy, N Konda Reddy, S Ragamayi

Abstract: *The focus of the researchers is to examine the relationship between different financial leverage ratios like profitability, tangibility, growth and size to know the strength of the variables to justify financial performance of the company. The study is based on the secondary source of information collected from annual reports, websites, RBI bulletins, money control and CMIE reports. It is understood that the financial ratios are the strength of the financial performance assessment of a company for particular period of time which can be done through a well defined and designed research methodology basing on the facts and figures.*

Keywords: *Leverage, determinants, Capital Structure, Debentures, Long term goals*

I. INTRODUCTION

Meaning: The concept of financial leverage indicates and signifies the composition of the size of the capital. Capital includes equity and debt very strong capital is the basis of existence of a company. Depending on this the companies can plan for long term and short term goals. The company will be in position to define its objectives and clearly state the procedure and practice to achieve it. Different companies follow and adopt different theories for determining the size and composition of the capital. This will help in procurement of long term assets through long term founding from different sources either from the capital market or from the financial institutions.

Definition: Capital structure of a company is a combination of debt and equity.

The FMCG sector in recent times has been one of the largest contributing sectors to the Indian economy. This sector has a very good share as per as US market is concern in different products and product line.

Capital is the strength of the company having a very good composition of external equity and debt that enables the company with a better credit rating for long term borrowings and investment decision in assets and liabilities so as to generate better profit that will enable the company to decide upon sound dividend policy.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Dr. Rohith R. Manjule, the researcher in his article as examine the factors like increase in return on investment is

Revised Manuscript Received on November 19, 2019

* Correspondence Author

Dr. A V N Murthy, Department of Commerce, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Dr. N Konda Reddy, Department of Commerce, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Dr. S Ragamayi, Department of Commerce, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

very often negative component of debt to equity ratio. It is clearly observed there is no association between debt equity and operating earnings which signifies that there are less chances of bankruptcy. It is also observed that financial performance also depend upon the type of industry operating in the economy. The author has taken a set of secondary source data and applied statistical tools to validate the significance of the financial performance.

Vinod Bhatnagar, the researcher in his research has focused on the need for good composition of capital of a company. It is an observation that the good capital structure attracts the stake holders and share holders to earn good percentage of profit after meeting different types of costs. Companies always try to influence BSE and NSE for rating performance. Rosy Dhingra, the focus of the author was on the importance of a sound capital structure particularly in iol industry. The authors have focused on the important variables like profitability, tangibility, risk and solvency. The data was collected on the secondary source and duration of the study was 10 years with a vefry good research design and calculation of ratios to prove the strength of oil industry.

S.Sathyararayana, the observation of the author in his research article was that most of the vibrant sectors of Indian and global economy have been focusing on strong capital base. This will enable the companies to be a long term national and global player.

Patrik Ogebe, the author has made research to justify the business environment prevailing in Nigeria. The author has selected the macro-economic variables to prove a financial performance of the companies should not be under any circumstances fall below 10 percent. It is the practice of the decision makers in Nigerian companies to focus on strong capital adequacy and excellent performance. The author felt that a strong capital size of the company will make the company's strong in a performance.

Saurabh chada, the idea of the author in this paper was to assess a good combination of capital structure components to balance between long term and short term financial obligation settlements by the firms. The author focused on a period of 10 years and selected those companies which were listed in BSE. E view statistical software was used to make regression analysis.

Abdul Basith, the researcher have particularly focused upon the companies listed in the Newzeland Stock Exchange and what were the components used by the earlier researchers to determine a strong capital structure of the company. The author tried to establish between the relationship between the variables basing upon the pecking theory as a base for the study.

Denis Forte; Lucas ayres barros, the author selected small and medium size industries in Brazil to prove the importance of capital adequacy for good financial performance. The

Financial Leverage Determinants on the Financial Performance of a Company

author also focused upon the dynamic nature of leverage ratios to examine the financial performance of the companies.

III. RESEARCH DESIGN

The basic need for any research is the methodology that is being adopted for validating the purpose and objective of the research. Research design lays a clear path of systematic and scientific procedure for undertaking the research process. It enables to know the researcher the correct procedure to follow the research activity.

Objective:

1. To develop new ideas
2. To test the assumption
3. To analyze the result of the test to justify the significance

Hypothesis

H₀: There is no significant relation between the determinants of capital structure and financial leverage.

Variables

Dependent variable: Financial performance

Independent variables:

- Profitability
- Growth of the firm
- Size of the firm
- Tangibility

Data: The study was based on secondary data of selected firms the data was collected from the company's financial statements of past 17 years.

Sampling Technique: Purposive

Sample size: Four companies (17 years data)

Tools used: Linear regression & Correlation

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE STUDY

While checking the correlation between the independent variables of all the companies it is observed that there is very least correlation hence I have performed linear regression.

I. ITC

		Size of the firm	Tanzibility	Growth rate	Probability	FI
Size of the firm	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	1 17	0.514* 0.035 17	0.216 0.025 17	0.541* 0.025 17	-0.673** 0.003 17
Tanzibility	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.514* 0.035 17	1 17	0.239 0.355 17	0.171 0.511 17	-0.282 0.273 17
Growth rate	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.216 0.405 17	0.239 0.355 17	1 17	-0.482 0.050 17	0.148 0.570 17
Probability	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.541* 0.025 17	0.171 0.511 17	-0.482 0.050 17	1 17	-0.471 0.056 17

FI	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.673** 0.003 17	-0.282 0.273 17	0.148 0.570 17	-0.471 0.056 17	1 17
----	---	-------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	---------

II. DABUR

		Size of the firm	Tanzibility	Growth rate	Probability	FI
Size of the firm	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	1 18	0.211 0.400 18	0.298 0.245 17	-0.199 0.430 18	-0.399 0.101 18
Tanzibility	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.211 0.400 18	1 18	0.275 0.286 17	0.673** 0.002 18	-0.853** 0.000 18
Growth rate	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.211 0.245 17	0.211 0.400 18	1 17	-0.564* 0.018 17	-0.167 0.521 17
Probability	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.199 0.430 18	0.275 0.286 17	-0.564* 0.018 17	1 18	-0.548* 0.019 18
FI	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.399 0.101 18	-0.853** 0.000 18	-0.167 0.521 17	-0.548* 0.019 18	1 18

III. HUL Correlations

		Size of the firm	Tanzibility	Growth rate	Probability	FI
Size of the firm	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	1 18	0.423 0.080 18	-0.656** 0.004 17	0.216 0.389 18	0.230 0.359 18
Tanzibility	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.423 0.080 18	1 18	-0.590* 0.013 17	-0.814** 0.000 18	0.471* 0.049 18
Growth rate	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.656** 0.004 17	-0.590* 0.013 17	1 17	0.224 0.387 17	-0.114 0.664 17
Probability	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.216 0.389 18	-0.814** 0.000 18	0.224 0.387 17	1 18	-0.500* 0.035 18
FI	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.230 0.359 18	0.471* 0.049 18	-0.114 0.664 17	-0.500* 0.035 18	1 18

IV. NESTLE Correlations

		Size of the firm	Tanzibility	Growth rate	Profitability	FI
Size of the firm	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	1 17	0.174 0.505 17	-0.42 0.10 16	-0.096 0.714 17	0.32 0.20 17
Tanzibility	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.17 4 0.50 5 17	1 17	-0.47 0.06 2 16	-0.475 0.054 17	0.61 9** 0.00 8 17
Growth rate	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.4 22 0.10 3 16	-0.477 0.062 16	1 16	0.260 0.330 16	-0.1 48 0.58 5 16
Profitability	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	-0.0 96 0.71 4 17	-0.475 0.054 17	0.26 0.33 0 16	1 17	-0.0 24 0.92 8 17
FI	correlation coefficient Sig. (2 tailed) N	0.32 6 0.20 2 17	0.619** 0.008 17	-0.14 0.58 5 16	-0.024 0.928 17	1 17

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Multiple Regression (ITC Ltd): All the determinants Size of the firm, Tangibility, Growth rate, Profitability together Influence 48% on Capital Structure of ITC Ltd.

In my observation I observed that only size of the firm is influencing the capital structure of ITC Ltd.

Other determinants tangibility, growth rate, profitability are not influencing capital structure of ITC Ltd.

I. ITC - Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Standard Error
1	0.693 ^a	0.481	0.308	0.1028365

a. Predictors: (Constant), Profitability, Tanzibility, Growth Rate, Size of the firm.

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	Significant
1	Regression	0.117	4	0.029	2.777	0.076 ^b
	Residual	0.127	12	0.011		
	Total	0.244	16			

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized coefficients		standardized coefficients	t	Significant
		B	Std Error			
1	(constant)	0.768	0.311		2.469	0.030
	Size of the firm	-0.161	0.072	-0.651	-2.237	0.045
	Tanzibility	0.165	0.392		0.421	0.681
	Growth rate	0.207	0.478	0.113	0.434	0.672
	Profitability	0.389	0.557	-0.193	0.698	0.498

II. NESTLE - Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Standard Error
1	0.771 ^a	0.595	0.448	0.5746

a.

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	Significant
1	Regression	5.335	4	1.334	4.039	0.030 ^b
	Residual	3.632	11	0.330		
	Total	8.967	15			

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized coefficients		standardized coefficients	t	Significant
		B	Std Error			
1	(constant)	-3.248	1.329	0.332	-2.444	0.033 0.146
	Size of the firm	0.626	0.400			
	Tanzibility	2.434	0.670	0.871	3.632	0.004
	Growth rate	2.498	1.850	0.320	1.350	0.204
	Profitability	0.001	0.000	0.337	1.543	0.151

III. HUL- Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Standard Error
1	0.637 ^a	0.406	0.208	0.477891

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	Significant
1	Regression	0.876	4	0.469	2.053	0.150 ^b
	Residual	2.741	12	0.228		
	Total	4.616	16			

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized coefficients		standardized coefficients	t	Significant
		B	Std Error			
1	(constant)	-8.113	5.411	0.452	-1.500	0.160 0.156
	Size of the firm	2.238	1.477			
	Tanzibility	0.878	0.912	0.538	0.962	0.355
	Growth rate	1.923	2.2	0.515	1.328	0.209
	Profitability	0.079	1.449	0.070	-0.151	0.882

IV. DABUR - Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Standard Error
1	0.880 ^a	0.775	0.700	0.83159

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	Significant
1	Regression	0.286	4	0.072	10.341	0.001 ^b
	Residual	0.083	12	0.007		
	Total	0.369	16			

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized coefficients		standardized coefficients	t	Significant
		B	Std Error	Beta		
1	(constant)	1.214	0.207		5.857	0.000
	Size of the firm	-0.119	0.067	-0.264	-1.763	0.103
	Tanzibility	-0.720	0.217	-0.740	-3.312	0.006
	Growth rate	0.026	0.283	0.016	0.093-0.4	0.928
	Probability	0.096	0.234	0.104	10	0.689

a. Dependent Variable: FI

V. CONCLUSION

- It is very clear from the observation of the study that financial determinants have an influence on the financial performance of the company.
- The sector selected for the study is from FMCG which is very vibrant sector in the economy.
- The independent variables used to predict the influence on the dependent variable i.e., financial performance.

It can be concluded that the factor of financial leverage has greater influence in predicting the accuracy of financial performance.

REFERENCES

1. Denis Forte, Lucas Ayres B. de C. Barros, Wilson Toshiro Nakamura (2013), *Brazilian Administrative Review*, Vol. 10, No. 3, Art. 6, pp. 347-369, Determinants of the Capital Structure of Small and Medium Sized Brazilian Enterprises.
2. Ogebe and Joseph Orinya, Ogebe and Kemi, Alewi (2013), The Impact of Capital Structure on Firms' Performance in Nigeria, Ojah Patrick.
3. Rashid Naim Nasimi, Assad Naim Nasimi Waheed Islam (2018), Entrepreneurship in the Renewable Energy Sector of Bangladesh: A Conceptual Analysis for Exploring Opportunity and Challenge, *European Journal of Business and Management*, ISSN 2222-1905, Vol.10, No.34, 2018, pp. 48-60.
4. Rohit R. Manjule (2017), India, *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, Volume 5, Issue 1, PP.2239-2249.
5. Rosy Dhingra and Kapil Dev (2016), Determinants of Capital Structure – A Study of Oil Industry in India, *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, Volume-6, Issue-1 pp: 35-42.
6. Saurabh Chadha, Anil K. Sharma (2016), An Empirical Study on Capital Structure in Indian Manufacturing Sector, *Global Business Review*. A SAGE Journal., Vol 17, Issue 2, 2016, pp. 241–256.
7. S. Sathyanarayana, S. N. Harish, Hemanth Kumar (2017), Determinants of Capital Structure: Evidence from Indian Stock Market with Special Reference to Capital Goods, FMCG, Infrastructure and IT sector, Volume 8, Issue 1, pp.55-73.
8. Vinod Bhatnagar (Edition 2016), Effect of Change in Capital Structure on Profitability of FMCG Sector Companies, In book: E-Governance : The Futuristic Growth of India Economy, Chapter: 34, Publisher: Golden Valley Publication, Agra. pp.183-193