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Abstract: The practice of learning is considered to be important for an institution to survive or stay competitive when we are in the domain of business and management. Organizational life cycle (OLC) theory, during an institution’s growth from the beginning, to high growth leading to maturity, the institution’s characteristics starts to differ and the internal resources and capabilities of an organization develop over a while. Several scholars have already had discussions about faculty performance in such an institution. Though, few of them talked over the issue in an educational context. The purpose of this paper is to contribute to the limited number of researches on the relationship between organizational life cycle & faculty performance in a higher educational institute, by going through the impact of faculty participation in the performance of a private university in Delhi NCR. Organizational life cycle literature has discussed the dynamics, but there is very less knowledge about its relations with institution learning. This study’s findings indicated that faculty performance is positively partial by employee's contribution in decision making and it significantly associates with academic results of the university. Additionally, employee participation is also positively linked with the academic grades of the university.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The organizational life cycle theory can be seen in various interesting ways as it uses a good description, commonly used for living entities, for clarifying the chronological phases of the firm progression and improvement. The narrative of these phases differ across various works of literature though, a common supposition is that they practice alterations frequently instigated by a crisis in the way of ‘improvement’. Nonetheless, rapidly growing moderate-sized endeavours are no exclusions to these predicaments. In spite of their successes in accomplishing high progression, if they do not modify for limitations, they cannot maintain the progression rate that will sooner or later lead them to collapse. Therefore, to identify the present life cycle phase of an association is very essential for both the administration and forthcoming ideas. It is necessary that they identify their proficiencies as well as restraints to triumph over the on the rise pains in the future. Certainly, higher education institutions have long been regarded as centres of knowledge creation and application for the larger society, but not only as learning organizations developing and transferring knowledge for the improvement of their basic processes. In their competitive environment throughout the world, universities should be given the incentives to become active learning organizations or promote learning activities at an organizational level to enhance the quality of teaching and doing research and getting sustainably developed.

Thus, this research aims to analyse the faculty Performance in higher education institutions and clarify its antecedents and consequences. First, we consider faculty Performance as a process to analyse how the organizations promote learning. We then propose and test several hypotheses about its role as the mediator of faculty participation in decision-making and academic results using data collected from 100 employees in a public university in Delhi NCR. Finally, our findings and the implications for further study are discussed.

II. BACK GROUND AND THEORETICAL PART OF FRAMEWORK

A. The Stages of Organizational Life Cycle

a) The Birth: The initial stage is called Birth when the company is trying to be plausible and possible. There are two things about a young company first is that its structure is simple and informal and second is that it is managed by its owners, both of which are considered to be the main features of a company. At the time of the birth of a new company, high levels of creativity are needed to combine the scattered thoughts and build something from scratch. During this stage the company is obligated to practice responsibly otherwise, it would not get a head start. In this step, the company mainly focuses on building the stage of product innovation, providing amazing services to their clients as they have a limited market scope, creating an informal organizational structure and using certain raw information for decision-making and finding simpler solutions.

b) Growth phase- Growth stage, in this stage the expectancy from the company is to be successful as to establish its sectors by increasing the production of differentiated skills.
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If the idea of the creation and growth of the organization does not work, then optionally the criteria at the stage of rapid growth is determined which in this stage means that the system provides a chance to produce new ideas and cultivate creativity which leads to the rapid growth of fund investment.

c) Adulthood – A company reaches at the stage of adulthood by maintaining the level of sales, decreased the level of innovation and making more administrative organizational structure. At this point, the goals are homogeneous and efficient. New professional managers to create and arm the organization with new systems are hired. At this stage, the level of innovation is kept low since the company is already working on the existing projects. Adulthood is a stage when the stake of ownerships redistribution is high and the shareholder dividends are worth more to consider.

d) Reduction Stage- At this stage the elaborate stage structure is reborn. The product and market are varied to extend the company market structure part to accommodate more homogeneous and more complex markets in the future. More complex control and planning systems are prominent areas of focus. To maintain the hold on the market, innovation is a key aspect to create new products and seize new companies. To be able to implement new ideas for an organization, it is essential to have a presence of executive power in the organization at this stage. At this stage, the presence of executive power in the organization is essential that the organization can birth ideas to implement.

e) The Decline-In the final stage dissolution takes place when the market is inactive and stagnant, due to reduced innovation there is lack of earnings and a lot of external changes which leads to the fall of the company. In the initial stage of aristocracy and bureaucracy with the the weakening of executive power, a negative bureaucratic organization with a full and complete bureaucracy, only to follow the administrative system is created and the system has become many subsystems and maximum distance from the environment arises.

B) Faculty Performance in a higher educational institute

This study provides significant hand-outs. Nothing like most former studies scrutinizing the research and/educational connection, it thinks through the role of a performance assessment organisation in providing encouragements and inspirations for academics. It contests the predictable intelligence that research activity enables teaching efficiency with a finding that only high-quality research improves teaching quality. The performance capacities may be unfavourable to quality teaching are low-priced based on the finding that superior research is similar to quality teaching. Following the introduction, up to date performance evaluation trends in academia are outlined.

Objective of study

1. To study the Parameters which are affecting the organizational life cycle in higher education.
2. To analyze the Parameters which are affecting faculty performance in higher education

Hypotheses

H0: There is the significant result are affecting the organizational life cycle in higher education.
H1: There is significant results are affecting faculty performance in higher education.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The researchers have attempted to try to approve this conviction. Hence, the principle of this research was to find the descriptive study between organization life cycle and Faculty Performance practices of the higher education in the public university of the region NCR Delhi.

The subject is chosen after thorough exercise of perusing different Journals, Online Articles and News, the reaction from employees working in the institute.

Design/methodology/approach – The research was designed out with the help of the Questionnaire for Employees working in private university and higher education institute in Moradabad city.

The sample size of respondents for data collection was 100 from different OLC of the age group 25-60 years. The technique used for carrying out the research was mean score and rank by Likert scale.

Primary- The data was collected from the faculties working in private universities and Higher Education Institute in NCR Delhi by a pre-designed Questionnaire.

Secondary – The data was collected from Journals, Articles and online sources.

Data Collection

The primary data was collected from the faculties working in private universities and Higher Education Institute in NCR Delhi by a pre-designed Questionnaire.

The secondary data was collected from Journals, Articles and online sources. The sample for the current study demonstrates the following respondents under study. We chose Organisational Life Cycle parameters variable & faculty performance variable.

Table A) Parameters measured variable model of the organizational life cycle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Parameter affecting OLC of Higher Education</th>
<th>Total respondents</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Continuous learning</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Research activities</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Student development targets</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Curriculum planning</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Innovation activities</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Collaboration &amp; Self Protection</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is mentioned in Table 1(A) and Table 1(B) that the most noteworthy factor ensures research activity. It suggests that suitable research activities are necessary for organisation growth and faculty development. From the table, the researcher gets the highest rank estimated as 2.75 on the liker scale which implies that the respondents. Thus with different variables like innovation activities likewise getting lowest rank 1.90 dimensions of Weakness of Organisation This suggests that if an organisation can organisation life cycle easily they are happy to work in present organizations. Firstly Researcher solved mean 2.18 after than standard deviation 0.2948 and calculates on 5% test of significance solved value of t-test for 9 df status is 2.190 which is not very less than its table value so the difference between OLC and higher education is not comparable. Thus, H0 is right.

Table: 2(A) Percentage of involvement in teaching activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Parameter affecting teaching activities</th>
<th>Total respondents</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Updating courses</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increasing my knowledge base</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Planning course instruction</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Creating syllabi for courses</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Assessment &amp; feedback to students</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Interaction with students</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Professional development</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Encouraging student opportunities</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Assisting with student research</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Participating in educational events</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Department activities</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Organising workshops</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. New course development</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Teach new course preparation with moral values</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is mentioned in Table 2(C) and Table 2(D) that there are numerous pursuits and activities related to teaching. As described, professors with their tenure-track were asked to indicate whether they were engaged in various research activities. And, whether they had produced any study products characteristically measured as a sign of efficiency. Research undertakings often stated by faculty included engaging in academic professional improvement activities in Assessment & feedback to students getting the highest rank 2.56 by the help of the Likert scale. Thus with different variables like Teach new course preparation with moral values likewise getting lowest rank 1.84 dimensions of Weakness of Faculty Performance.

Researcher solved mean 2.09 after than standard deviation 0.215 and calculates on 5% test of significance solved the value of t-test for 14 df statuses is 2.262 less than table value. Thus the difference is not valuable and H0 is right. This suggests that if an organisation can work on these factors that can be easily faculty are happy to work in present organisations.
IV. CONCLUSION

The organizational life cycle process in higher education public and private universities has been explored and the influencing factors and its’ consequences were described. Those results help understanding more about OLC theory in the higher education settings. Further researches could address weaknesses seen in this paper to study more on organizational life cycle and faculty performance in the larger context of private universities in NCR Delhi empirically testing its relationship with other antecedents and consequences. Added studies might discover dissimilarities in workload in faculties between departments as recommended and across different types of higher institute. It seems very important that as institutions are reshaped with innovation to match the challenges of greatly condensed state finance according to NACC evaluation that their professors be at the centre of those procedures of change. It does not make sense that expertise inherent in highly trained faculty of such universities is omitted from decision-making procedures in favour of state representatives and politically aware players who, no matter how fine intentioned, are grounding their pitches on a set of assumptions that are greatly inconsistent, and who have minute indulgent of the consequences to scholars’ learning likely to be given free rein by ill-founded reforms. In this organization government should promote NACC evaluators for developing innovation activities in teaching methodology and also bring to teach new courses with moral values.
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