From Atheism to Anti-Colonialism: Fitrat’s Writings from the 1910s to the 1930ies

Mirzoeva Zulkhumor Inomovna

Abstract: In the beginning of the twentieth century Russian Empire in Turkistan strengthened propaganda against religion to separate people from their roots of religious faith, encouraging the imitation of European culture. In 1925 through the 1940s a number of organizations, newspapers and journals that focused on atheism such as Khudosizlar (“Khudosizlar”- atheists) were founded and propaganda of atheism was spread among writers, and authors of “the best atheistic works” were appointed to high governmental positions and received state awards. Abduraf Fitrat (1886-1938) is also wrote works touching on faith such as “Unfair Ishan”, “Faith of Zakhro”, “Judgement Day”, “Revolt of Satan Against God”. From the title and presumable content of these works, critics of Soviet literature assessed his works as pieces written under the spirit of the struggle against religious prejudice and accepted him as a person “loyal to the Soviet position”, who had “changed his faith.” Such early interpretations paved the way for Fitrat to be canonized (before his repression) as a genuine atheist. Yet during the 1920s and 1930s in order to avoid repression and Soviet censorship Abduraf Fitrat successfully used his religious knowledge for struggle against Soviet colonialism. This study analyzes the process that Fitrat was canonized as masters of atheistic aesthetics by strategically using ambiguity and antimony as weapons a way of competitions like “best atheistic work,” “best atheistic poem,” “best essay against religion,” and “best caricature of religious beliefs.” Uzbek intellectuals who spoke the language of atheism received appointments to high governmental positions and state awards, while those who refused faced arrest and even execution.

One famous Jadid writer, poet, translator and scholar, Abduraf Fitrat (Fitrat is his pseudonym which means talent), was born in 1886 in Bukhara which was the largest Islamic center in Central Asia, including 300 mosques, hundreds of madrassas who had more than a thousand students (G’oyibova, Abduraf Fitrat 18).

Fitrat’s father was a merchant, a very intelligent and pious person; his mother was a poetess. On one hand he was influenced by a strong religious and high living environment in the family; and on the other hand, by social and political events of the period in which he lived in Bukhara, Istanbul. European philosophy and his love towards learning secular science played a significant role in understanding to change the public’s illiterate approach to Islam and to “promote cooperation between Islam and the new society” (Hisao, 9). In the beginning of his works such as “Munozara” (Debate,1909,1911), “Hind sayohi hayonoti” (Indian Traveler, 1912) he referred his critical thinking on reformating unconscious, fanatic and dogmatic views toward Islam religion. Fitrat’s view of religion is not just an attempt to reform the Islam, but rather an attempt to change the attitude towards it.

In the beginning of the 1920s, Fitrat created quite different works which seemed to be atheistic in nature by the form and meaning such as Mi’raj” (Mirage), “Oqmozor” (White Cemetery), “Qiysiq eshon” (Unfair Ishan), “Zahroning iymoni” (Faith of Zakhro) (1920), “Qiyomat” (Judgement Day) (1923), and “Shaytonning tangriya isyonii”(Satan’s Revolt Against God) (1924), “Mirage,” “White Cemetery,” and “Faith of Zakhro” were regularly published in the journal “Xudosizlar” (Atheist) in 1928 (Fitrat, Me’raj).

The literary circle was shocked even by titles of these works written by Fitrat who had a very strong belief in faith, known as an Islamic reformer in the East and West and...
wrote books such as “Rahbarrı najıt” (Salvation, 1915), “Mustasır ısılmı tartıś” (A Brief History of Islam, 1915) and a number of articles about Islam and its valuable importance of releasing from pressure of western Europe. German scholar Ingeborg Baldauf explains this case as follows, “his religious and spiritual knowledge enabled him to take part in the European philosophy, to get involved in the debate with Islam, and this led him to study Middle Ages social assembling and traditional culture in all aspect there wasn’t this opportunity in other Jadid writers and she concluded that “Satan’s Revolt Against God” is the most perspicacious atheistic work in the Muslim’s East. (Ingeborg, Abduurauf Fitrat 68).

As the Bolsheviks ramped up their anti-religious campaigns, they turned to these texts of Fitrat’s as tools for anti-religious agitation even as Uzbek socialists condemned Fitrat as a “bourgeois nationalist. “Sursuming from the title and presumable topic of these works, socialist critics assessed them as pieces written under the spirit of the “struggle against religious prejudice” and accepted him as a person “loyal to the Soviet position” who had “changed his faith.” Such early interpretations paved the way for Fitrat to be canonized (before his repression) as a genuine atheist (Rakhimova, Fitrating diriy).

According to the OGPU archive of Uzbekistan, other Jadid intellectuals, including Abdullah Qodiriy (1894-1938), like wise expressed loyalty to Soviet power after 1923 in his works “Obid ketmon” (Obid’s Hoe) (1933-1934). “Kalvak mahzunning xotira daftaridan” (In Memoirs of Kalvak makhzum), “Toshpo‘lat tajang nina detyld?” (What Did Picky Toshpo ‘lat say?) (1923). Qodiriy allegedly criticized mullahs, people of faith and religious traditions, while actively participating in the struggle of Soviets against religion (Bobqiy, Qatlнома). Even in the early 1990s with Glasnost” and calls for independence from the Soviet Union, Uzbek literary critics and Western scholars did not reassess earlier conclusions about Fitrat and Qodiriy’s works written on the topic of religion. For example, Umarali Normatov in his work “Qodiriy’s Garden” wrote: “Beginning in 1923 in a merciless struggle against religion, many intellectuals and writers were also mobilized in this struggle. Such faithful people as Qodiriy owing to will of circumstances voluntarily or forcibly or under influence of doubt and spiritual hesitations for some period participated in this struggle, wrote works which accuse and disclaim religion and religious traditions, keenly and unilaterally said critical words about religion and religious people” (Normatov, Qodiriy hog’I 89).

It cannot be denied that as a result of the Bolshevik campaign against religion, Jadids such as Fitrat and Qodiriy had to deviate from their religious faith for a time. For example, KhabibullaQodiriy, the writer’s son, in his book “About My Father” mentioned that in 1936-1937 Abdullah Qodiriy translated 14 anti-religious books from Russian to Uzbek and submitted them to the list of atheistic works (Qodiriy, Otam haqida).

Yet both Fitrat and Qodiriy also expressed themselves as faithful Muslims in many of their works and were brought up under Islamic teachings. Is it possible that they could so easily and quickly reject their religion and faith? After all, Fitrat in his books which were stated above such as Munozara (Discussion) and Hind Sayyoqi (Indian Traveler), Abdullah Qodiriy in his novels Mehrobdan chayon (Scorpion Behind the Altar), and O’ikan kunlar (Days Gone By) each show their commitment to Muslim teachings and faith through the creation of their authorial personas. These works, by the way, were not mentioned when assessing the author’s atheistic leanings. It is necessary to reread the so-called “atheistic” Jadid works with an eye towards the intentions the two authors might have concealed as they wrote.

During the 1920s and 1930s in order to avoid repression Abdurauf Fitrat discovered new ways of struggling against Soviet colonialism. Through their so-called “atheistic works” they succeeded in spreading the truth. The supposedly anti-religious nature of these Jadid works such as Qiyomat (Judgement Day) (1923), and Shaytonning tangiriga isyoni (Satan’s Revolt Against God) (1924) distracted Soviet censorship so that the authors could communicate their vital socio-political ideas. In confrontation with Hegemonistic ideas of colonial power Fitrat, in those works written during the atheistic period, successfully used hidden meanings of words and symbolic characters to express his meaning. Fitrat’s success was so great that he was canonized as a master of atheistic aesthetics while he was simultaneously giving a parallel meaning. In their analyses of such anti-religious works Uzbek and Western critics missed the mark, ignoring the language of Fitrat’s pieces in favor of the meaning found in the allegorical form.

II. FITRAT AND JADIDISM

At the beginning of the twentieth century, the intensification of aggression, injustice, and inequality of imperialism, based on the colonial policy of the Russian Empire, led Central Asian peoples to cultural confusion. Leaders of the Jadid movement such as Mahmudxo‘ja Bebubidi, Fitrat, Qodiriy, and Cholpon used various ways in order to rescue Turkistan people from the ignorance and to achieve democracy. The first way of reforming education through the expanding was new schools called “Usuli Jadid” (Jadid’s method). The most important thing that Jadids paid attention to in the schools of “Usuli Jadid” was to teach secular (worldly) knowledge with the religious skill. Yet fanatic clergyman denied the secular sciences as “mistrust, disbelief” and removed them from the “new schools” schedule. Then Fitrat wrote his “Leading Salvation” (Najot yo ‘llanmasi) as a response to these dogmatic views. He reflects in his work that the study of religious and secular sciences at the same time is an important basis for national development and he attempts to prove his views with the Quran verses and Prophet Hadisis (Fitrat, Najot yo). Moreover like other Jadids, Fitrat also paid attention to teaching the history of the Turkic people, which helped to awaken Turkistan people’s national consciousness and to unite the society into ethnicity. Fitrat especially focuses on raising girls’ schools, attracting them to more Jadid schools. He thinks that women should be educated first, in order to save society from ignorance; the country where the women are deprived of the right to education and training is a disastrous country (Fitrat. Munozara 58-59). Moreover, “emancipative- feminist nature of Jadidism” challenges women to break free from legal, social, or political restrictions and to be educated (Muhammadjonova 11). From Jadid’s point of view, family education—mothers and future educated
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mothers—are required in guiding principles to the younger generation which focuses on new ethics and thinking, as well as a new lifestyle in the form of media.

A second way Jadids used educational reform was to send students to Germany, Turkey, Russia, and Egypt. Their fundamental ambition of studying abroad was to gain experience from developed countries and to mature national education by implementing it among Jadid schools and rid the nation of ignorance. According to Sattor Jabbor, more than 150 students were sent to foreign countries by Fayzulla Xo‘jayev, Fitrat and other advanced representatives of the richer class (Cabbab, Kurtulus 109).

A famous Jadid writer, Cholpon, also offers to send the girls to Europe to be educated. "If not only the freemen, but also the stubborn daughters of the Uzbeks could go to the land of the most delicate and fertile land for themselves, they will be able to earn their right, without any pressure, Old life would be in death" (Cholpon, Fight for).

Mass media was a third way for Jadids to widely promote their educational as well as political ideas. They founded a number of journals and newspapers such as Hurshid (The Sun, 1906), Oyna (The Mirror, 1903), Harriyat (The Freedom, 1912) created on Fitrat's initiative. Abdulla Avloniy in his article called “Burungi o‘zbek matbuotining tarixi” (The History of Uzbek Media) 22 newspapers and 8 journals appeared in the area between 1905-1917 (Avloniy, Burungi 115-23).

The articles published in those Jadid medias were devoted to the problems of homeland, people's education and freedom of the nation. They encouraged the people to be unified in national and religious issues and tried to enhance social consciousness of the Turkistan nations. While these Jadids’ periodical presses didn’t last long because of the colonial governor’s efforts at prevention, they could reach the Turkic peoples under Russian empire such as Iran, Afghanistan, India, and Turkey even in a short period. In this period foreign publications such as “Sirotul- mustaquiyim” which began to be published after the revolution in Turkey also got involved in Turkistan. This newspaper would reference religious, scientific, social, political and literary problems and had a special place in the development of Fitrat’s intellect.

Turkistan theatre was the best way to propagate Jadid’s refining even among the uneducated people in Turkistan as long as the main features of the drama genre were based on the fact that the event is expressed through the action, rather than narration. Dramas that puton Jadid theatres referred tragedies in the peoples’ life in Turkistan because of ignorancce. “Padarkush” (The Patricide, 1913) by M. Behbudiy (6), and “Hind ichtilolchilar” (Indian Revolutionniers, 1923) played an important role in national revival, awakening the people from oppression, ignorance and bringing the nation’s mind to new elevation were popular not only in Turkistan but also among Chinese, Iranian, Hindu, and Turkic communists who were struggling to get rid of colonialism (Turdiev Indian revolutioner 33). According to Fitrat’s sister, Mahbuba Rahimova’s information, “Indian Revolutionniers” was translated into Indian languages and put on through the Indian theatres too. When Javahlar Neru came to Uzbekistan he claimed this play had an important place in Indian’s liberation (Mizrayeva, XX asr 46). The drama was also published in Berlin, in 1923 and 1944 (Cabbab, Kurtulus 135).

In fact, Fitrat in his “Indian Revolutionniers” utilized India as a metaphor, that through Indian land he expressed Turkistan (Uzbekistan’s) freedom. The realities concerning the play had been known since 1923, at the early time of the play appearing. Interestingly, Soviet ideologists did not fully grasp the essence of the text that was under the mask. That is why drama has reached the boundaries of Baku and has been staged in the Turkish theater several times. The official censorship does not allow it to be put on the stage after the work has become popular among the Turkish peoples who are oppressed under colonial rule. From 1925, all copies of the drama in the library were destroyed (Cabbab, Kurtulus 135).

Fitrat always studied along with the internal and external policies, the social system of the Eastern countries and was aware of the sociopolitical ambivalence of Western countries, and reflected these problems in his articles as well as his works. For example, his widely publicized article, "Yig’la Islam!" (Cry Islam!, 1919) was dedicated to the terrible tragedy related to the Ka’ba shooting by the British in Arabia in 1919 (Fitrat, Shargiy siyosati).

“Tarbiyai Afiol” society founded in 1908 in Bukhara has also played a significant role in Fitrat’s Jadid activity. According to Edward Allworth, Fitrat was an active member of Tarbiyai Afiol and the main purpose of this society was to study the causes of the problems of social life and to address the current problems of scientific, economic, political, and social life of Bukhara (Allworth, Jadiillar).

Fitrat’s works were closely related to the socio-political realities of the era which he lived in. Fitrat didn’t intend a social revolution until the end of his life, but he was reluctant to reform the Turkistan society, to release his nation from colonial system, from oppression through the education and enlightenment. On the one hand the conservative clergy and on the other hand the colonial rulers resisted Fitrat, the other Jadids sought thousands of ways to stop their reforming movement: they controlled the magazines and newspapers, destroyed their publishing houses, bookstores and reading rooms were closed. But Jadids moved forward without stopping. Violent measures of imperialism against Jaddism led them to rise from spiritual enlightenment to political resistance. A famous politician Fayzulla Xo‘jayev describes Jadids movement to political struggle as follow: At first, Jaddism was only engaged in dissemination of enlightenment. However, the incomplete hard situation of Bukhara’s (Turkistan’s as well - Z.M) social life, the Tsarist colonial politics and the double-handedness of the ruler caused Jadids from cultural dissemination to a political direction(Xo ‘jayev, Revolution in Bukhara 99). Thus, Jaddism, which emerged in Turkistan in the late 19th and early 20th centuries as a cultural, educational, national, and public-political movement, later became the ideology of the national-liberation movement that has grown against colonialism.

After the October Revolution in 1917 colonial officials strengthened their resolve to execute Jadid representatives. In January 1924, when third of conference ended with Stalinism’s victory search for “dubious,” went in highest peak in Turkistan. Since then, every single story published by the intellectuals has been harassed, and every chapter of the works by Jadids has been criticized. The root of the horrific repressive policies that began in 1937 goes back to the “great revolution” that symbolizes the celebration of the Soviet regime in 1929. Bekkbudiy, Avloniy, Fitrat, Cholpon, and...
Kadiri were even compelled to explain the meaning of symbolic images used in their work. After all they now had to find quite different ways or methods of ambiguity to distract Soviet censorship. Fitrat succeeded in expressing his ideas through the “confusion method” in his atheistic writing. Yet none of his methods or attempts could save Fitrat and other Jadid writers. Fitrat was shot on October 4, 1938 with fellow writers Kadiri and Cholpon.

After Stalin’s death in 1963 Fitrat was rehabilitated and on September 25, 1991 Fitrat received the Alisher Navoi State Prize for his contribution to the development of Uzbek literature.

III. ATHEISTIC PLOT WAS ONE OF THE BEST METHODS OF CONFUSING SOVIET CENSORSHIP

The 20th century left scars on Uzbekistan just as it did the rest of the world. Two sets of repressions, those during the first five-year plan and the Great Terror, struck fear into the intelligentsias of Russians and non-Russians alike. However, the spirit of self-sacrifice and courage in the activities of Fitrat, Qodiri, and Cholpon played a significant role creating the scientific literary climate. In their synthesized views of their progress in world literature and enlightenment, they reflected the enthusiasm for humility, hatred of fanaticism. The literature, which is the mirror of the nation’s thinking, people's lifestyle, traditions and spiritual and psychological world, has tried to find the way of internal and external struggles for national liberation. Fitrat’s work projected the scene of Pochamir and his followers who has raised the Islamic tenet in his books was depicted ignorance, Russianization through harsh criticism and interpretation has appeared. According to this research Fitrat described ignorance and dogmatism through his protagonist (European Farangi). Farangi (Fitrat) commented on Koran as long as he completely knew the Koran welland the Islamic principles, he commented on giving examples from Hadist (the sayings of our prophet Muhammad) passages (oyat) from surah (Khalid, Representations 196).

Observations from the details mentioned above, Fitrat reflects his Islamic reformer views of his Muslim society as backward and having declined from a previous civilization greatness and this confirmed that Fitrat was one of the scholarly of Islam religion, who knew Farsi and Arabic and who learnt Koran by heart.

The effect of Soviet repression, even in the early years of the Bolshevik state, however, was such that Fitrat could not openly express his ideas. There are various contradictory interpretations in the analysis of Fitrat's religious writings, particularly his “Me’roj” (1920), “Judgment Day” (1923) and “Satan’s Revolt Against God (1924). In the 1930-1940s “Judgment Day” (1923) and “Satan’s Revolt Against God” were completely read and interpreted as atheistic works. According to those views Fitrat ironically criticized superstition (The prejudice, by virtue of which much is happening, is a manifestation of supernatural forces and foreshadowing the future) and fanatics, Mullahs whom are from point of official literary politics. The representatives of official Soviet censorship assessed those works as pieces written under the spirit of the “struggle against religious prejudice” and accepted Fitrat as a person “loyal to the Soviet position” who had “changed his faith” (Fitrat, Me’roj 43). Such early interpretations caused to get “Judgment Day” (1923) and “Satan’s Revolt Against God” published several times.

Those thoughts on Fitrat’s religious works appeared in the smaller research of some scientists even in the beginning of 1990s when the socio-political situation had completely changed in Uzbekistan. For instance, Uzbek scholar G. Rakhimova in her article “Fitrat's religious works” states: “The religious myth has appeared as a dramatic piece of art that has created a deep socio-ideological essence through the poet's talent. . .” Fitrat correctly recognized that his trust, that he has used for, was miserable. Understanding that he was in wrong way he could renounce and fight against the religious and follows others (jadids Z.M) too (Rakhimova, Fitrat's religious works). Turkish scholar Sayfudden Yozuvchi states that, “it was no different for Fitrat to get atheist or a believer. Because if Fitrat did not sympathize with the assessing ‘Mirage’, published in the first issue of the 1928 as an atheist, he wouldn’t give his next work “Zakhro’s Faith” in the second issue of Khudosizlar (G’oyibova, Abdurauuf 133). Turkish scientist Sayfudden’s approach to Fitrat's religious works can be regarded as subjective thoughts expressed outside the author’s life and creative background. First of all, when Fitrat’s expectations from revolution disappeared, his main goal was to convey his works as much as possible to the people without being repressed. So there was no difference between the Soviet critics or Bolsheviks attitude to his religious beliefs. Secondly, all his works are based on Jadid’s teaching, socio-political views. Only these views are reflected in a major way through complicated religious images. Thirdly, the Uzbek scientist H. Boltaboev said: “…The superficial pressing of an “atheist” against a religious scholar who has raised the Islamic tenet in his books was injustice” (Boltaboyev, Fitrat 9). In addition, Ilhom G’aniyev strongly criticizes G. Rakhimova and says that her conclusions on Fitrat’s religious works are very subjunctive and she couldn’t reach the real essence of the works (G’aniyev, Fitarning Shayton 27 ).

In the post-Independence years, a new kind of interpretation has appeared. According to this research Fitrat depicts ignorance, Russianization through harsh criticism and ridiculing. These approaches to the author’s works were the first step to understanding the reality of Fitrat that he wanted to say. The first study of Fitrat’s aesthetic ideals was an article by Ninel Vladimirova. She in her small study, says Munkar-Nakir (angels of Allah who questionnaire people on their good and bad proceedings after the death) is typical officials-bureaucrats. The totalitarian state is, of course, a violent organ, and it must have its executives, in the role of such executors in the “Judgment Day” was depicted – Munkar and Nakir as Malowi an officials. Ruzikul-Pochamir’s replies are the protection of ordinary people from the abuse of their rights. . . The state is, of course, a violent body, and it must have its executives, in the role of such executors as the image of the malignant officials – Munkar and Nakir. The scene of Pochamir and Juma Mama is a tragedy of
ordinary people, who believe in a paradise prepared by many Bolsheviks, but who does not know what to do with all the investigations and other cues” (Vladimirova, Qiyomat 18).

Ninel Vladimirova’s article on “Judgment Day” focuses on the symbolic essence of images, such as Munkar and Nakir, the discovery of mockery and perjury, and invites truths closely related to the essence of the work.

German scholar Zigrid Klaymichel’s views on “Judgment Day” is very close to Ninel Vladimirova’s. She also focuses on symbolic characters of the play and says that “Fitrat expressed in his play “Judgment” the role of the revolution in the life of the peoples of Central Asia, the doubts about the positive or negative impact of the revolution on the life of the people, by the motive of Heaven and Hell. What will the revolution give the nation: Hell or Paradise? (Kleinmichel, The Uzbek 123)

In the second decade of the Independence of Uzbekistan, a number of studies have emerged that have developed the concepts first pioneered by Ninel Vladimirova. Although these interpretations were more ideologically oriented than poetic analysis standards, the focus was on the issue of expressionism, particularly in the mockery, the discouraging of the subject, and the reaction to artistic texts from poetic principles.

US-American scholar Edward Allworth also researched works by Fitrat on religious mythology. Allworth who carried out extensive research on Jadid literature was the first as a foreign scholar to analyze “Judgment Day” and "Satán's Revolt Against God" from the contextual approach (the general idea of Fitrat's works, the entire creation, is to expose the essence of the Jadid movement, Reforming, Force, and the ideas of Enlightenment) and socio-cultural aspect.

US-American scholars mostly paid attention to symbolic and allegorical images, metaphorical expressions which Fitrat concealed his reality on social and political reforms in colonial Turkistan and proved his thoughts through William Empson’s theory (Empson, Seven Types). According to William Empson, author’s real conceptual ideas have been interpreted in the form of a mystery, the representation of a poetic text. Thus, the title of the work is considered the main part of the artistic work the creator can easily reflect in artistic literature, and creators are protected from threats by using such method.

Allworth draws his attention to simple details that are so vague and unusual, and he tries to reveal the author’s hidden idea through the conceptual, linguistic and structural approach (Allworth, Evading Reality 18-19). For example, Allworth says that “Fitrat in his “Satán's Revolt Against God” successfully used from linguistic elements - passive and plural form of the verb such as “why the wing was given if one can’t be flown”, “one can’t be grown”, “one can’t be blossomed”, “one can’t be risen up”, “one can’t be created”, “one can’t be faded” (Allworth, Evading Reality 78). We can see such observations in “Judgment Day.” For instance, "once the door was opened, some dozy sound was heard". Brother, come. Here is a good tea for the guest … Tea was brought. Poppy was provided in a few minutes. That is what he called pochamir (Pochamir-Roziqul, the main hero of the story). (Fitrat, Qiyomat, 1935);

Fitrat didn’t accidentally use the passive form of Uzbek language as Allworth noted above. This approach does not permit official censorship to identify a participant or a cause of any reality”. Thus, through the method of intellectual abstractedness the author can easily hide his ideological objective into a poetic text.

Allworth also focuses on the symbolic expression represented in “Judgment Day”. He says Fitrat refers the process of russification of the Uzbek nation in the events related to the words ochirat (queue), expressed in the main image of the work. (Allworth, Evading Reality 39-40) Indeed, Malawi’s Munkar and Nakir images also carry a certain ideological burden. This is N. Vladimirova says “Munkar-Nakiri is typical officials, bureaucrats and officials Ruziqul-Pochamir’s replies are the protection of ordinary people from the abuse of their rights ... The totalitarian state, of course, is a violent body and must have its executors. The role of the officials of Munich-Nakir is shown by the Maloque officials” (Vladimirova, Qiyomat 18).

Fitrat was expulsion from Bukhara while he wrote his “Judgment Day”. Fitrat’s attitude to soviet’s deception reflected in his poem “Distress of Motherland” (Yurt qayg ‘usi) published in jadid newspaper Hurriyat (“Freedom”) and in the article “A new misfortune was raised in Russia-Soviet’s misfortune” (Rusiyada yangi bir balo bosh ko’tardi (Yurt qayg ‘usi) published in jadid newspaper “Freedom”) (Mirzaeva, XX asr130). Thus, Twenty-two years ago, Allworth’s thoughts were echoed by the story of Pochamir and Juma Mama, who believed in the paradise prepared by the Bolsheviks, but the tragedy of ordinary people who did not know how to go through all the investigations and other cues.

Creating modern works based on religious themes is characteristic of World and Uzbek literature. Particularly, Dante’s “Divine Comedy,” A. Alverdeev’s “Letters from Hell,” H. Jovid’s “The Devil,” J. Milton’s “Lost Paradise,” were created during the social and political unrest -political turmoil and the course of great historical revolutions. Fitrat also created his works on religious mythology when atheist movements, ideologies, political oppressions, repressions and censorship strengthened in Turkistan. The religious images have helped Munkar-Nakir demonstrate the actions and behaviors of the Soviet bureaucracy.

Pochamir’s harassment between hell and paradise is common with disorder, chaos, ignorance, and different kinds of trouble in Bukhara (in a wide sense in Turkistan) which appeared because of the soviet empire.

It is well known that the titleis considered the main part of composition of literary work. The title is a tiny work which brings awriter’s literary intention, idea of the work, structure of characters, and all other elements to the single point. Another question is: Why does Fitrat call the work “Judgment Day”? Why did he use religious mythology to explain his ideological purpose? Was it a coincidence that Ruzikul-Pochamir, the ordinary people who understood the daily life of the ancient city of Bukhara at the beginning of the twentieth century, was brought as the main figure in “Judgment Day”? Usually, when reading any art or poetry, we do not pay attention to its headline or title, it is only limited to the eyesight, but in the title of a particular artistic work the author's purpose and idea are fully reflected. The title is
definitely an important element of literary art, which serves to reflect the purpose and idea of the author.

Jonathan Culler speaks about extrapolating (dissemination of conclusions based on observing part of the phenomenon to another part of it) the literary text in his book “Structural Poetics.” In his opinion, thematic extrapolations directly related to the symbolic concept in the literary art. An external reality or image is based on the cause of the extrapolation. It is evident that extrapolation focuses on the form and content of the poetic text. Fitrat also successfully used the title, especially in “Judgment Day,” to express his own ideological conception and he purposefully opposed the colonial policy and targeted it with a clear objective. In this way he first used the abstract category; second, Turkic language capabilities; and third ambiguities. In colonial countries literature, in particular national literature, it is a fast and effective means of fighting towards alien ideologies and cultural forms. Without the symbolic forms of this kind of struggle literature, which is opposed to the ideas of the hegemony of the imperialist government cannot succeed in reaching its own political as well as social mission. Allworth says the following about structural inter-connectedness between the title (Judgment Day) and the story’s plot: “Fitrat aimed two things in his religious works, including “Judgment Day.” First, he expressed his real attitude (trust and respect Z,M) to Islam through this specific approach; second, he successfully got officials confused in criticizing a new ruling system of Soviet Empire” (Allworth, Preoccupations).

Indeed, “Judgment Day” refers to artistic interpretation of internal and external chaos in the country as a result of wrong management of the colonial system. The word giymat, (the day of reckoning) is also spoken in the novel, "Night and Day," by Cholpon in the episodes related to chaos, struggles, prostitutes which caused the war between Russia and Germany: “It was bad that they were fighting each other. Many people have been killed .... The homeland is also slowly boiling up like samovar (tea house). That is problem! That is bad! When I look at the people’s eyes, all their eyes- Russians and Muslims is suspicious. The life is becoming more and more expensive.... They say that all officials, including the commanders, should be sent to fight. There are rumors that everyone will be allowed to train the country and throw it back to Germany. These words will not be left to the commander. Doesn’t the commander believe in "the end of the world"? "Time has come to the end," he thinks. (Cholpon, Kecha126).

Another US-American scholar, Shawn Lyons, in his article devoted to the novel “Night and Day” by Cholpon says “ the external disorders which strengthened in the country are depicted through the strange atmosphere surrounded two character such as Zumnun and Miryoq (Zumnun’s intimate relationships with Noyibo’ra’s wife, the marriage of Miryakub to the Russian woman Maryam and the chaos caused by the negative aspects of the dominant culture) and this is the sign of the judgment in the Empire. In the reality of this picture, the resurrection is expressed metaphorically” (Lyons, Resisting Colonialism 179). Moreover, Lyons informs that in recent years, many works have been created on the topic, “The Judgment day” not only in Uzbek literature, but also in Russian literature (Lyons, Resisting Colonialism 190).

Ingeborg Baldauf described Satan’s Revolt as "existential refutation" in the play “Satan’s Revolt Against God”. She thinks that Satan’s Revolt is Fitrat’s Revolt. She equals Satan with Fitrat. According to Baldauf the essence of the problem is the interpretation of man as nature, society and the inner world and alienation from his life. Everything that exists outside of man and his emotions is a denial of God. The only person who is free or who has right to choice can deeply realize the real meaning of his life. From this point of view he rejected the Allah that was inconceivable. From Baldauf’s point of view the cause of sin is the knowledge. She would prefer to live and be present in the life rather than to know the significance of the life. She considers the primary power (God) metaphysics and denies it and the freedom of the inner world, peace and calmness of the soul is a great global power.

Here is the original verse from the play:

I can’t be punished by Him
I am talking to you
Neither he burnt nor he got snake swallow
Nor he could pour flame over my head
His hell didn’t boil, didn’t overrun
His river Laqqum with fire didn’t overflow
Look, what did he take back from me:
Just one dirty crown, two wings and one stick
(Basman, Fitarning Shaytoni 229)

In Uzbek

Hech bir jajo berolmaydi u menqa,
onon-eson so‘ylab turibman senga.
Na yondirdi, na yilonga yutirdi,
N aboshingga alangalar to‘ldirdi.
Tamug ‘lari gaynamadi, toshmadi,
Laqqum olov daryoliari oshmadi.
Qizib mendan olgan narsas ga boq
Bir kirli taj, ikki qanot, bir tayvoq

(Basman, Fitarning Shaytoni 229)

From the given text I can say that Baldauf uses Satan’s speech towards the author, Abdurauf Fitrat, while Uzbek scholar Ilhom G‘an‘iyev tries to analyze who is Fitrat’s Satan (G‘an‘iyev, Fitarning Shaytoni). She analyzes Fitrat’s attitude to beliefs, national values not from its national-genetic roots and literary-aesthetic traditions. She analyzes the leading creative concept of Fitrat on the basis of the views of European measures, in particular philosophy of existentialism, such as Karl Theodor Jaspers, Martin Heidegger, Jean-Paul Sartre, and Albert Camu. In the position of I. Baldauf lies the view such as “Is it reasonable or opposite to rational logic.” But from the point of Fitrat’s strong belief his literary reputation we could say that Baldauf’s conclusion “Satan’s Revolt Against God” by Fitrat couldn’t completely open the author’s aesthetic purpose.

There is another main reason why Fitrat’s religious works such as “Judgment Day” and “Satan’s Revolt Against God” continued to be read during the Soviet period and weren’t denied by censorship.

The rebellious that Fitrat refers to through Satan is also reflected in the Uzbek poet Mashrab’s lyrics. Our science recognizes that such a rebellious worldview philosophy has been leading in the creative heritage of Boborahim Mashrab. However, the legacy of dozens of literary and cultural figures, full of mystical truths,
such as Ahmad Yassaviy, Sheikh Najmiddin Kubra, Sufi Olojoyo, and poetical thinking, imagination, and the world of the former Soviet Union were completely denied. Surprisingly, during Soviet period, such as in the 1950-1980s this is due to the fact that the rebellious spirit manifested in Mashrab and teaching his works continued uninterruptedly and Soviet ideologists used it for their own ideological interests concerning with historical area. From the same point of view, it is clear why the works of Fitrat were not denied.

It is evident that the study of Mashrab and Fitrat’s literary heritage, which is not so close in the context of their period of life and their creative-aesthetic ideals, is precisely related to the fact that their leading creative concept is proportional to the ideological principles. However, the poets and writers, who were promulgated as “religious deniers,” were conceptually represented deficiency of fanaticism. Indeed, the main reason of Satan’s rebellion is also seen in his selfishness. When Allah orders Angels to genuflect Adam, Satan urges the Angels not to kneel to Adam, and not to admit themselves to be insulted. Satan proudly continues that although he was against the Allah, he couldn’t chastise him (Fitrat, Tanlangan 228-29).

Hence, the misinterpretation of judgment of “Adam’s honesty” throws Satan into suspicion and eventually leads him to revolt. It turns out that the same rejection from the point of rational logic is coincidental with ideology of Soviet literature related to refutation of religion and Sufi views.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

From the research observations we could say that Fitrat’s life and his scholarly and creative activity are full of contrasts, and continue to draw the avid attention of both Uzbek and foreign scholars. Academic views differ, particularly in the analysis of Fitrat’s creations on religious themes, “The Judgment Day” (1923) and “Satan’s Rebellion against God” (1924). One group of scholars has interpreted these works as “atheistic”. In their opinion, Fitrat composed these works with the aim of combating superstition: he ridicules those who believe in heaven, hell, and the day of judgment, and subjects to ironic mockery anyone who worship God. This view of Fitrat as an atheist writer prevailed throughout the last century, even after the social and political situation in Uzbekistan changed. Indeed, it was interpretation of these works as atheistic in spirit that allowed them to be published several times during the Soviet period. A second group of scholars opposed the simple atheistic reading with the observation that “while set in a society that promises happiness to all, the story is permeated by a deep sorrow, depicting the spread of meaningless slogans, starvation, inequality, and social dislocation. Even as some events inspire laughter, the work as a whole conveys a tragic pathos”. However, in all of the thoughts there is some kind of unilateral (subjective) approach to the issue. So such discussions confirm that the real essence of Fitrat’s religious work still isn’t completely investigated. Without entirely rejecting the claims of either group my discussion was that Fitrat, not unlike the other Jaidids, was seeking a way to escape the travails of censorship. Finding a unique defense against foreign influence and imposition of ideas alien to national literature, he succeeded in propagandizing his truth by means of his “atheistic works” and in so doing struck a blow against colonialism. Fitrat’s intention in creating these works was to show that the and outer chaos of modern life – which resulted from colonial policy- were akin to the coming of doomsday. By invoking such terms as “the day of judgment”, he warns his readers that Muslim nations must take special care to avoid the disruptions threatened by colonial powers.

Fitrat traced his own prior reality to Islam and Panturkism. He turned to atheism only in the 1920s-1930s. His anti-colonial ideas strengthened gradually on the basis of atheism. He effectively used metaphor and double meanings to oppose the dominant ideas of the colonial government. Fitrat’s ultimate truth is reflected in the esthetics of atheism; he smuggled the fight for national liberation in an atheistic shell.

V. CONCLUSION

It is known that the literary-ideological policy of scientists during the period of their existence served as an important factor in the expression of their views. The objectivity of the interpretation is based on the objective conditions and capabilities. Approved and even inclined views of their own policy have been closer to or far from poetical standards, to the national interests, or to contradictions, Fitrat’s aesthetic ideals. Observations that I argued on Fitrat I could say that the Jadid writer’s attitude towards Islam can be found in their characters, in the speech of those images and in the symbolic events of the works. For example, both these authors and other contemporaries used the theme of judgment day to communicate what critics have commonly read as an atheistic message. But Fitrat’s “Satan’s Revolt Against God” and “Judgment Day,” undoubtedly make use of the Quranic end times to voice opposition to Russian imperialism the authors map the internal and external chaos of encroaching “modern life” to scriptural events to warn their fellow Muslims in a familiar language –but the choice of judgment day and the interpretation of it, as determined by dialog and the characters, demonstrate the authors’ loyalty to Islamic teachings. They simply disguised their faith through a set of obvious “atheistic” allegories.

In accordance with abovementioned views and previous Soviet and Uzbek literary criticism I would like to reiterate my conclusion with the following:

a) Atheism as a means of expressing Jadid ideas: in the 1920s and ’30s, as the suppression of “nationalism” and “Panturkism” intensified, Soviet ideology achieved hegemony through the imposition of fear and the forceful subordination of national ideas. Even the Jadids were forced to explain the real meanings of symbolic characters depicted in their works. As a result, Fitrat created a new way of fighting colonialism—expressing his socio-political ideas in an atheistic frame.

b) Depiction of internal contradictions: Atheism served as a mask for Fitrat, and at the same time helped him to reflect the internal contradictions of Islam: it made it possible for him to translate the experience of everyday life (these internal contradictions were the main reason for Turkistan’s colonial subordination) into literary work.
c) An atheistic plot was one of the best methods of confusing Soviet censorship: In this way the author, while perhaps reflecting his real attitude to religion, also achieved success in distracting Soviet officials from the true thrust of his ideas.

d) From atheism to anti-colonialism: In the period from 1920-1930 anti-colonial (anti-Soviet) ideas grew stronger in Fitrat’s work. Taking into consideration the proliferation of atheistic works in Fitrat’s output over the same period, it becomes clear that these works are in fact anti-colonial in their essence.

The “retreat from faith,” as Soviet and modern literature critics suggested, indeed was a struggle against the chaos that resulted from the imposition of another nation’s culture and a step to a different expression of faith in the atheistic period. To be precise, Fitrat criticized colonialism – a tactic acceptable to the Soviets who at that time also condemned Russian colonialism – but through the language of religion, which went unnoticed or misinterpreted by Soviet critics as atheism.
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