

# Strong Split Litact Domination in Graphs



A.Renuka Lakshmi, Abdul Majeed, J.Vasundhara Devi

**Abstract:** In this paper the strong split litact domination number of some standard graphs like Cycle graph  $C_p$ , wheel graph  $W_p$ , Complete graph  $K_p$ , Bi-partite graph  $K_{m,n}$  etc., are calculated and tried to bring up the relationship between the  $\gamma_{ssm}$  with different limiting factors of  $G$  and also with other domination limiting factors of  $G$ .

**Subject classification number:** AMS-05C69, 05C70.

**Keywords:** Litact graph, Domination number, Litact domination number, Strong split litact domination number.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The notations used in this paper by us are the notations used by F.Harary. Simple, finite, non-trivial, undirected and connected are used to depict the notations. All the notations and corresponding definitions can be found in F.Harary[1] and V.R.Kulli[8]

## II. DEFINITIONS

### A. Induced Subgraph:

A subgraph which is induced by vertices of a graph  $G$  is a subset having end points as vertices together with edges of a graph. It is denoted by  $\langle x \rangle$ .

### B. Open and closed Neighbourhood:

The neighbourhood does not include  $v$  itself called open neighbourhood and is denoted by  $N_G(v)$ . Neighbourhood in which  $v$  is included called the closed neighbourhood and is denoted by  $N_G[v]$ .

### C. Cut vertex

A vertex is said to be a cut vertex iff the removal of it disconnects the graph.

### D. Complement

For any set of two vertices  $u, v$  in  $G$ , if  $uv$  is an edge in  $G$  which is not an edge in  $\bar{G}$  then  $\bar{G}$  is said to be a complement of  $G$ .

### E. Litact Graph

The litact graph  $m(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the set of edges and the set of cut vertices of  $G$  in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges and cut vertices are adjacent or incident in  $G$ .

### F. Litact dominating set

A dominating set  $D \subseteq V(m(G))$  is called litact dominating set of  $G$ , if every vertex in  $V(m(G)) - D$  is adjacent to a vertex  $v$  in  $D$ . Litact domination number of  $G$ , is denoted by  $\gamma_m(G)$  and  $\gamma_m(G) = \min|D|$ .

### G. Split Litact Dominating set

A litact dominating set  $D \subseteq V(m(G))$  is a split litact dominating set, if the sub graph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is disconnected. Split litact domination number of  $m(G)$ , is denoted by  $\gamma_{sm}(G)$  and  $\gamma_{sm}(G) = \min|D|$ .

### H. Strong Split Litact Dominating set

A dominating set  $D \subseteq V(m(G))$  is a strong split litact dominating set, if the induced subgraph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is totally disconnected with atleast two vertices. Strong split litact domination number of  $G$ , is denoted by  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$  and  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) = \min|D|$ .

## III. RESULTS

For more distant results we require the succeeding theorems.

Theorem A [8]: For each graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma(G) \leq p - \Delta(G)$ .

Theorem B [8]: For each graph  $G$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)} \right\rfloor \leq \gamma(G)$ .

Theorem C [8]: For each graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma(G) \leq \beta_0(G)$ .

Theorem D [8]: For each graph  $G$ ,  $\alpha_0(G) + \beta_0(G) = p$  and if

$G$  has no disconnected vertices, then  $\alpha_1(G) + \beta_1(G) = p$ .

Theorem E [8]: If  $G$  has  $p$  vertices and no isolates then  $\gamma_t(G) \leq p - \Delta(G) + 1$ .

Theorem F[8]: For any graph  $G$ ,  $p - q \leq \gamma(G)$ , Further more

$\gamma(G) = p - q$  if and only if each component of  $G$  is star.

Theorem G[8]: If  $G$  is a connected graph, then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\text{diam}(G)}{3} \right\rfloor \leq \gamma(G).$$

Theorem H[8]: For any connected graph,  $\gamma_c(G) \leq p - \Delta(G)$ .

## IV. THEOREMS

The strong split litact domination number for some standard graphs is given below.



Manuscript published on 30 September 2019

### Corresponding author\*

\*A.Renuka Lakshmi, Research Scholar JNTU-K, Department of Mathematics, Vignana's Lara Institute of Technology and Science, Vadlamudi, Guntur Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India. E.mail: [renukalara@gmail.com](mailto:renukalara@gmail.com)

Abdul Majeed, Department of Mathematics, Muffakham Jah college of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. E.mail: [abdulmajeed.maths@gmail.com](mailto:abdulmajeed.maths@gmail.com)

J.Vasundhara Devi, Department of Mathematics, GVP College of Engineering (A), Madhurawada, Visakhapatnam, A.P, India. E.mail: [jvdevi.lias@gvpec.ac](mailto:jvdevi.lias@gvpec.ac)

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an [open access](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**Theorem 1:**

- (i) For every cycle graph  $C_p$ , with  $p \geq 3$  vertices,  $\gamma_{ssm}(C_p) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{p-1}{3} \rfloor & \text{if } p = 3 \\ \lfloor \frac{2p-1}{3} \rfloor & \text{if } p > 3 \end{cases}$
- (ii) For any path graph  $P_p, p \geq 3$  vertices  $\gamma_{ssm}(P_p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ \lfloor \frac{p+2}{3} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ p, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- (iii) For every wheel graph  $W_p, p \geq 4$  vertices  $\gamma_{ssm}(W_p) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } p = 4 \\ p + 1 & \text{if } p > 4 \end{cases}$
- (iv) For every complete graph  $K_p$  with  $p \geq 3$  vertices,  $\gamma_{ssm}(K_p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{3} & \text{if } p = 3n \text{ when } n = 1 \\ 2 \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } p > 3n + 1 \text{ when } n > 1 \end{cases}$
- (v) For every star graph  $K_{1,p}, p \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(K_{1,p}) = 0$ .
- (vi) For any complete bipartite graph  $K_{p_1,p_2}, p = p_1 + p_2, \gamma_{ssm}(K_{p_1,p_2}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ \frac{p+2}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ p-1 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$

In the next theorem, we relates the  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), \gamma_m(G), q$  &  $\gamma(G)$ .

**Theorem 2:**

**In a graph  $G, \gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma_m(G) < 2q + \gamma(G)$ .**

Proof: Let  $E_1 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_m\} \subseteq E(G)$  and  $E_2 = E(G) - E_1, V(m(G)) = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup S$  where  $S$  is the set of cut vertices of  $G$ . Then  $\exists$  a minimal set  $E'_1 \subseteq E_1$  in  $G$  and its corresponding vertex set  $D$  in  $m(G)$  such that it covers all vertices of  $m(G)$ . Clearly  $D$  forms a minimal  $\gamma$ -set of  $m(G)$  that is  $|D| = \gamma_m(G)$ . Now suppose that  $D'$  be the dominating set which is minimal in  $m(G)$  whose vertex set  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is disconnected totally with atleast two vertices. Then  $|D'| = \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n\}$  be the set of edges of  $G$  that is  $|E(G)| = q$ . Let  $D_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq V(G)$  be a minimum number of vertices which dominates all other vertices  $V(G) - D_1$  in  $G$  i.e,  $|D_1| = \gamma(G)$ . We have  $|D| \cup |D'| \subseteq 2|E(G)| \cup |D_1|$ . Hence  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma_m(G) < 2q + \gamma(G)$ .

In the following corollary, we obtain the relation between  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), \gamma_m(G), p, q, \gamma(G)$  of  $G$

**Corollary 1: In a graph  $G,$**

$$\gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma_m(G) + p \leq 3q + 2\gamma(G).$$

Proof: Adding Theorem F and Theorem 2, we get  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma_m(G) + p \leq 3q + 2\gamma(G)$ .

In the succeeding theorem, we relates  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), diam(G), \Delta(G), \alpha_0(G)$  of  $G$ .

**Theorem 3: In a graph  $G,$**

$$\gamma_{ssm}(G) < diam(G) + \Delta(G) + \alpha_0(G).$$

Proof: Let  $D$  be a  $\gamma$ -set in  $m(G)$  and Let  $D' \subseteq D, D'$  be a  $\gamma$ -set of  $m(G)$  which is minimal, whose subgraph induced by the vertex set  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is totally disconnected with atleast two vertices. Then  $|D'| = \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . Let the minimal set of vertices be  $A \subseteq V(G)$  which covers all the edges in  $G$  with  $|A| = \alpha_0(G)$  and there exists an edge set  $E'(G) \subseteq E(G)$  where  $E(G)$  is the set of all edges which are incident with the vertices constituting the longest path in  $G$  such that  $|E'| = diam(G)$ . For any graph  $G$  there exists atleast one vertex  $v \in V(G)$  of utmost degree  $\Delta(G)$ . It follows that  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < diam(G) + \Delta(G) + \alpha_0(G)$ .

In the next corollary, we obtain the relation between  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), diam(G), \gamma_t(G), \alpha_0(G)$  of  $G$ .

**Corollary 2: In a graph  $G,$**

$$\gamma_t(G) + \gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq diam(G) + p + \alpha_0(G) + 1.$$

Proof: Adding Theorem 3 and Theorem E we get the result as  $\gamma_t(G) + \gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq diam(G) + p + \alpha_0(G) + 1$ .

In the following corollary, we obtain the relation between  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), diam(G), \gamma_c(G), \alpha_0(G), p$  of  $G$ .

**Corollary 3: In a graph  $G,$**

$$\gamma_c(G) + \gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq diam(G) + p + \alpha_0(G).$$

Proof: Adding Theorem 3 and Theorem H we get  $\gamma_c(G) + \gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq diam(G) + p + \alpha_0(G)$ .

In the succeeding theorem, we relates the  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), q, \delta(G), p$  of  $G$ .

**Theorem 4: If  $G$  has no isolated vertices, then  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < p + \lfloor \frac{2q}{\delta(G)} \rfloor$  where  $\delta(G)$  the minimum degree of  $G$  and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the least positive integer not less than the real number  $x$ .**

Proof: Let  $D$  be a dominating set in  $m(G)$  and induced subgraph  $D' = \langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is totally disconnected with atleast two vertices. Clearly  $min|D'| = \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . Each vertex in  $V - D$  is neighbouring with atleast  $\delta(G)$  vertices in  $D$ . This implies that  $2q > |V - D|\delta(G)$

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor \frac{2q}{\delta(G)} \rfloor > |V - D|$$

$$\Rightarrow |V - D| < \lfloor \frac{2q}{\delta(G)} \rfloor$$

$$\Rightarrow p + |V - D| < p + \lfloor \frac{2q}{\delta(G)} \rfloor \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\text{Clearly } |D'| < p + |V - D| \dots \dots (2)$$

$$\text{From (1) and (2) } |D'| < p + |V - D| < p + \lfloor \frac{2q}{\delta(G)} \rfloor$$

$$|D'| < p + \lfloor \frac{2q}{\delta(G)} \rfloor$$

$$\gamma_{ssm}(G) < p + \lfloor \frac{2q}{\delta(G)} \rfloor$$

In the next theorem, we relates  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), diam(G)$  of  $G$ .



**Theorem 5 :**

Let the connected graph be  $G$ . Then  $\left\lfloor \frac{diam(G)+1}{3} \right\rfloor < \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ ,  
if  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) \neq 0$  and  $\left\lfloor \frac{diam(G)+1}{3} \right\rfloor > \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ , if  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) = 0$ .

Proof: Suppose  $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $S(G) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  be the edge set and cut vertex set of  $G$  respectively. Then  $V(m(G)) = E(G) \cup S(G)$ . Let  $C = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  constitute the diametral path in  $G$ . Then  $|C| = diam(G)$ . Let  $D$  be a dominating set in  $m(G)$  and  $D_1 \subseteq V(m(G)) - D$  such that  $D_1 \in N(D)$ , again we take  $D'_1 \subseteq D_1$  such that  $H = [V(m(G)) - (D \cup D'_1)]$  and  $\langle H \rangle$  is disconnected totally with minimum two vertices. Hence  $D \cup D'_1 = \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . Further since  $C \subseteq V(m(G))$  along with  $D \cup D'_1$  be  $\gamma_{ssm}$ -set, the diametrical path contains utmost  $\gamma_{ssm} - 1$  vertices which belongs to neighbourhood of  $D \cup D'_1$  in  $m(G)$ . Hence  $diam(G) < 2\gamma_{ssm} + \gamma_{ssm} - 1$  and this follows  $\left\lfloor \frac{diam(G)+1}{3} \right\rfloor < \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ .

In the following corollary, we obtain the relation between  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$ ,  $diam(G)$ ,  $\gamma(G)$  of  $G$ .

**Corollary 4:**

If  $G$  is a connected graph then  $\left\lfloor \frac{diam(G)+1}{3} \right\rfloor < \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{ssm}(G)+\gamma(G)}{2} \right\rfloor$  if  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) \neq 0$  &  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < \gamma(G)$  if  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) = 0$ .

Proof: By adding Theorem 5 and Theorem G we get this result.

In the next theorem, we relates  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$ ,  $\gamma'(G)$  and  $\Delta'(G)$

**Theorem 6: In a graph  $G$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{ssm}(G)}{2} \right\rfloor < \gamma'(G) + \Delta'(G)$**

Proof: Let  $H = \{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_n\}$  be an edge set which are minimal such that  $N[H] = E(G)$ . Edge dominating set  $H \subseteq E(G)$  is such that each edge in  $(E(G) - H)$  must be adjacent to at least one edge in  $H$ . Edge domination number of  $G$ , is denoted by  $\gamma'(G)$  and  $\gamma'(G) = \min|D|$ . Suppose  $e_i \in E(G)$  is the edge maximum degree in  $G$ . Let  $H = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the set of edges such that  $N(H') \subset H$  and  $|H'| = \Delta'(G)$ . Thus  $|H| \leq |E(G) - \Delta'(G)|$ . Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be a  $\gamma$ -set and minimal  $\gamma$ -set in  $m(G)$  respectively whose vertex set is the induced subgraph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  which is totally disconnected with at least two vertices where  $D' \subseteq D$ . Then  $|D'| = \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . It follows that  $\left\lfloor \frac{D'}{2} \right\rfloor < |H| + \Delta'(G)$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{ssm}(G)}{2} \right\rfloor < \gamma'(G) + \Delta'(G)$ .

In the next theorem, we get a relation between  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$  and  $q$

**Theorem 7: For each graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < 2q$ .**

Proof: Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the edge set in  $G$ , that is  $|E(G)| = q$ . Let  $D$  be a  $\gamma$ -set in  $m(G)$  and  $D'$  be a minimal  $\gamma$ -set of  $m(G)$  and  $D' \subseteq D$  whose vertex set is the induced subgraph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  which is totally disconnected with at least two vertices, that is  $\min|D'| = \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . It follows that  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < 2q$ .

In the next theorem, we relates  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$ ,  $\gamma(G)$ ,  $\gamma'(G)$ ,  $S_0$ .

**Theorem 8: For every graph  $G$ ,**

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{ssm}(G)}{3} \right\rfloor < \gamma(G) + \gamma'(G) + S_0$$

Proof: Let  $D = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be a set of vertices which are minimal such that for each  $v_i \in D$  and  $N[v_i] = V(G)$ . Then  $D$  is minimal  $\gamma$ -set of  $G$ ,  $|D| = \gamma(G)$ . Also in  $m(G)$  for every  $v_i \in D$ ,  $[N(v_i) \cap D] = \emptyset$  &  $N[D] = V(m(G))$ . Thus  $D$  is a  $\gamma$ -set in  $m(G)$ . Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_j\}$  be a minimal edge set of  $G$ ,  $\forall e_i \in E$ ,  $N(e_i) \cap E = \emptyset$ . Thus  $|E| = \gamma'(G)$ . Also for each  $e_i \in E$ ,  $e_i = v_i v_j$  such that either of  $v_i$  or  $v_j$  or both dominate some vertices of  $G$ . Thus at least one of  $v_i$  or  $v_j \in D$ . Now we consider a dominating set  $D_1$  of  $m(G)$  which is not minimal and vertex set  $V' = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  such that  $u_i v_i = e_i$  and each  $e_i$  is in some  $\gamma'(G)$  of  $m(G)$ . Let  $S = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  be the cut vertex set of  $m(G)$ ,  $|S| = S_0$  such that  $\langle V(m(G)) - (D_1 \cup V' \cup S_1) \rangle$  is totally disconnected with at least two vertices where  $S_1$  contains at least half vertices of  $S$ . Thus  $(D_1 \cup V' \cup S_1)$  is a strong split litact dominating set of  $G$ . Clearly  $\frac{(D_1 \cup V' \cup S_1)}{3} \subset |D| \cup |F| \cup |S_1|$  which results  $\left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{ssm}(G)}{3} \right\rfloor < \gamma(G) + \gamma'(G) + S_0$ .

In the following theorem, we get a relation  $p$ ,  $\Delta(G)$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$ .

**Theorem 9: In a graph  $G$ ,  $\left\lfloor \frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)} \right\rfloor \leq \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . Except if  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) = 0$ .**

Proof: Suppose there exists a vertex  $v \in G$  such that  $v_i \in V$  are adjacent to  $V$ , if  $\max|\deg(v)| = \Delta(G)$  and  $N(v_i) > \Delta(G) + 1$ . Let  $D$  be a dominating set in  $G$ . Let  $D'$  be the  $\gamma_{ssm}$ -set of  $G$  that is  $|D'| = \gamma_{ssm}(G)$ . Then,  $|D'| \geq \left\lfloor \frac{V(G)}{1+\Delta(G)} \right\rfloor$

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)} \right\rfloor \leq \gamma_{ssm}(G)$$

In the following corollary, we get a relation  $p$ ,  $\Delta(G)$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$  and  $\gamma(G)$ .

**Corollary 5: For any connected graph  $G$ ,**

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{ssm}(G)+\gamma(G)}{2} \right\rfloor$$

Proof: By adding Theorem B and Theorem 9 we get  $\left\lfloor \frac{p}{1+\Delta(G)} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma_{ssm}(G)+\gamma(G)}{2} \right\rfloor$

In the next theorem, we relates  $\alpha_0(T)$  and  $\gamma_{ssm}(T)$

**Theorem 10: For any tree,  $\alpha_0(T) - 1 < \gamma_{ssm}(T)$  where  $\alpha_0(T)$  is the vertex covering number of  $T$ .**

Proof: Consider a tree  $T$  with  $V(T) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Let  $V_1(T) \subseteq V(T)$  be a set of vertices where every edge has at least one end point in the vertex cover that is,  $|V_1| = \alpha_0(T)$ . Let  $D'$  be  $\gamma_{ssm}$ -set in  $m(T)$ , that is  $|D'| = \gamma_{ssm}(T)$ . Then  $|V_1 - 1| < |D'|$ . Clearly  $\alpha_0(T) - 1 < \gamma_{ssm}(T)$ .

In the next theorem we relates  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$ ,  $\gamma(G)$ ,  $p$  and  $\gamma_c(G)$

**Theorem 11:**

**For any graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma(G) < p + \gamma_c(G) + 2$ .**

**Equality holds for  $K_5$ .**

Proof: Let  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the set of all vertices in  $G$ . Suppose  $\exists$  a minimal vertex set  $D = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $N[V_k] = V(G), \forall V_k \in D, 1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $D$  forms a dominating set which is minimal of  $G$ .  $|D| = \gamma(G)$ . Further, if the subgraph  $\langle D \rangle$  has exactly one component, then  $D$  itself is connected dominating set of  $G$ . Suppose  $D$  has more than one component then attach the minimal set of vertices  $D_1$  of  $V(G) - D_1$  which are every in  $u - v$  path,  $\forall u, v \in D$  gives a single component  $D_2 = D \cup D_1$ . Clearly  $D_2$  forms a minimal  $\gamma_c$  - set of  $G$ . Let  $D'$  be  $\gamma$  - set of  $m(G)$  whose vertex set is subgraph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D' \rangle$  is totally disconnected with atleast two vertices where  $D'' \subseteq D'$  which gives a  $\gamma_{ssm}$  - set in  $m(G)$ . It gives  $|D''| \cup |D| \subseteq |V(G)| \cup |D_2| + 2$ . Hence  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma(G) < p + \gamma_c(G) + 2$ .

In the following corollary, we obtain a relation between  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), \gamma_c(G)$  &  $\Delta(G)$

**Corollary 6: For any graph  $G$ ,**

$$\gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq \gamma_c(G) + \Delta(G) + 2.$$

Proof: By subtracting Theorem A from Theorem 11 we get  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq \gamma_c(G) + \Delta(G) + 2$ .

In the following theorem,  $\gamma_{ssm}(T), q$  and  $\Delta'(T)$ .

**Theorem 12: For any tree  $T$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(T) < q + \Delta'(T)$ .**

Proof: Let  $D$  be  $\gamma_{ssm}$  - set of  $T$ . Let  $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the edge set in  $T$ . Let  $\Delta'(T)$  be the maximum degree among all edges. Clearly,  $|D| \subseteq E(T) \cup \Delta'(T)$ . Hence  $\gamma_{ssm}(T) < q + \Delta'(T)$ .

In the next theorem, we relates  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), \alpha_0(G)$  and  $\beta_0(G)$

**Theorem 13:**

**For any graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq \alpha_0(G) + \beta_0(G) + 2$ .**

Proof: Let  $A = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq V(G)$  be the vertex set in  $G$  and  $V_1 = V(G) - A$ . Suppose there exists set of vertices  $C \subseteq V_1 \ni N(u) \cap N(w) \neq \emptyset, \forall u, w \in C$ . Further  $N(x) \cap N(y) \neq \emptyset, \forall x \in A, y \in C$ . Then  $C \cup A$  forms a minimal independent set of vertices. If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $C$  itself forms a maximal independent set of vertices in  $G$ . Let  $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq V(G)$  be the set of vertices with  $dist(u_i, v_j) \geq 2, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k, \forall v_i, v_j \in B$ , all edges covered in  $G$ . Clearly  $B$  forms a vertex covering set. Let  $D$  be a dominating set in  $m(G)$  and  $D'$  be a minimal dominating set of  $m(G)$  whose vertex set is induced subgraph  $\langle V(m(G)) - D \rangle$  is totally disconnected with atleast two vertices where  $D' \subseteq D$ . Clearly  $D'$  forms a  $\gamma_{ssm}$  - set in  $m(G)$ . It follows that,  $|D'| \subseteq |B| \cup |C| + 2$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq \alpha_0(G) + \beta_0(G) + 2$ .

In the following corollary, we get  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$  and  $p$

**Corollary 7: In a graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq p + 2$ .**

Proof: From Theorem D and Theorem 13 we get  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) \leq p + 2$ .

In the following corollary, we get

$\gamma_{ssm}(G), \gamma(G), \Delta(G)$  and  $p$

**Corollary 8: In a graph  $G$ ,**

$$\gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma(G) \leq 2p - \Delta(G) + 2.$$

Proof: Adding Corollary 7 and Theorem A we get  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) + \gamma(G) \leq 2p - \Delta(G) + 2$ .

In the next theorem, we get a relation  $\gamma_{ssm}(G), \alpha_1(G), \beta_1(G)$ .

**Theorem 14:**

**For each graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < 2(\alpha_1(G) + \beta_1(G))$ .**

Proof: A set  $F \subseteq E$  is an edge dominating set if each in  $E$  is either in  $F$  or is adjacent to an edge in  $F$ . The maximum cardinality among the independent set of edges of  $G$  is  $\beta_1(G)$ . Let  $F_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the edge set in  $G$  and that cover all the vertices. The minimum cardinality among edge cover is  $\alpha_1(G)$ . Let  $D'$  be  $\gamma_{ssm}$  - set of  $G$ . Clearly  $|D'| \subseteq 2(|F_1| \cup |F|)$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < 2(\alpha_1(G) + \beta_1(G))$ .

In the following corollary, we obtain a relation  $\gamma_{ssm}(G)$  &  $p$

**Corollary 9: In a graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < 2p$ .**

Proof: From Theorem D and Theorem 14 we get  $\gamma_{ssm}(G) < 2p$ .

**V. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Graph properties has a significant role in encryption .A dominating set of a graph is used to break the code easily. The concept of Strong split litact domination is a variant of usual domination. In the information retrieval system, the dominating set and the elements of strong split litact dominating sets can stand alone to make the process of communication more easy. Also it has wide applications in coding theory, computer science, switching circuits, electrical networks etc.,

In this paper we extend litact dominating set to strong split litact dominating set of a graph. The accurate values of this new variant is calculated for different graphs and obtained the upper and lower bounds of it with different parameters of a graph. One can extend this work by studying their applications in a wider sense.

**REFERENCES**

1. F.Harary, Graph theory, Adison Wesley, Reading mass, 1972.
2. M.A.Henning, Research in graph theory, South African. J.Sci., 93:15-18, 1997.
3. M.H.Muddebihal, Naila Anjum, Ultra Scientist Vol. 24(3) A, 515-521(2012).
4. M.H. Muddebihal & Megha Khandelwal, STRONG SPLIT LICHT DOMINATION OF A GRAPH, IJESR Volume 2, Issue 7 ISSN: 2347-6532.
5. M. H. Muddebihal & Nawazoddin U. Patel, Strong Non Split
6. Block Domination in Graphs, International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, ISSN:2321-8169, Volume:3 Issue:7 4977-4983.
7. T.W.Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graph, New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc.,1998.
8. T.W.Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Domination in graph, Advanced Topics, New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc.,1998.
9. V.R.Kulli, Theory of Domination in Graphs, Vishwa Inter. Publ. Gulbarga (2010), India.

## AUTHORS PROFILE



**A.RENUKA LAKSHMI** is a Research Scholar under the guidance of Dr.Abdul Majeed and Dr.J.Vasundhara Devi at JNTU- Kakinada. I obtained my M.Sc from Osmania University and M.Phil from Sri Venkateswara University. I am a life member of Andhra Pradesh Society of Mathematical Sciences. I published two research papers in international journal, and two are under review, one in national journal, presented and attended 15 national and international Conferences and workshops. I attended one week international workshop at JNTU- K organised by IIT-Kharakpur.



**Dr.ABDUL MAJEED** is a Professor and Head of the Department of Mathematics in Muffakham Jah College of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana State. He obtained M.Sc degree from Osmania University. He pursued both M.Phil and Ph.D. from Kakatiya University. He has a vast teaching experience of twenty four years in various engineering colleges. Under his guidance two scholars are doing Ph.D. He has published three books viz., Engineering Mathematics-I, Engineering Mathematics-II and Engineering Mathematics-IV in Laxmi publications and TWELVE research papers in various national and international journals. He is a life member of Andhra Pradesh Society for Mathematical Sciences and Indian Society for Technical Education. He organised three guest lectures, one quiz, one FDP and two national workshops at Muffakham Jah College of Engineering and Technology. He presented and attended 9 national and international conferences and workshops, one FDP program at Osmania University.



**Dr.J.Vasundhara Devi** is presently working as Professor in the Department of Mathematics, GVP College of Engineering, Viskhapatnam. She obtained her doctoral degree from Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, U.S.A. She has been serving the student community over a period 34 years. She has successfully completed three projects sanctioned by DST and NABHM. Under her esteemed guidance three students got awarded with Ph.D. degree and one submitted the thesis. Apart from five research monographs, she published 96 research articles in highly reputed national and international journals. Her versatility in knowledge made her an invited speaker for many conferences and symposiums organized by prominent institutions in India and abroad. She organized about 20 workshops, conferences and short term training programmes at GVP. Also she is a life member of APSMS, Ramanujan Mathematical Society, Association of Mathematics Teachers of India, Marathwada Mathematical Society, a member of international Federation of Nonlinear Analysis and a Junior Research fellow of Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India. She has been a reviewer for many journals published by Elsevier, Springer etc., She is a member of editorial board for Journal of Malaya, Journal of Matematik, Journal of Nonlinear studies, Journal of International Federation of Nonlinear Analysis, Journal of Fractional Dynamic systems.