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Abstract: A drought is duration of below-average precipitation in a certain region, resulting in prolonged shortages in the supply of water. It occurs naturally and has perilous impacts on the society. Observation of patterns of droughts in the past and using it to predict the ones likely to occur in the future can be very helpful. Preparations can be made to try and limit their effects on the society. Drought is however random and dependent on drought variables that possess a non-linear nature. With development in neural networks in the past years, it has shown good scope for time-series prediction with non-linear models. This research approaches the drought prediction problem with the use of Recurrent Neural Networks. The proposed model makes use of past years rainfall values to predict the risk of shortage of rainfall in the given region. The model is expected to show better performance over the existing traditional methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Drought is a time frame when the precipitation level in a particular region is below average, resulting in a dearth of water supply in any form. It has an impact on the society in several ways, produces negative effects on the environmental, economic and social levels [1].

It has a slow development process, it takes a lot of time for the effects on the economic sector to be observed. Out of all the extreme climate events, drought is reckoned to be the most convoluted and affects a wide range of the population [2].

The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) was introduced [3] to help understand and keep track of the relative wetness and dryness of a region along different time periods. Short-term observations of SPI are connected with soil moisture while long-term observations are can help in analyzing ground water and reservoir storage. SPI can be used across regions irrespective of their climate conditions. This is a result of the quantification of observed precipitation as a selected probability distribution function that is modeled over raw rainfall data. SPI can be used around a result of the quantification of observed precipitation as a probabilistic approach was expected to prove useful to develop appropriate drought mitigation strategies by having an insight about future drought status. The proposed method showed promising results in the presence of adequately correlated and dependent variables. Therefore, the drawback observed in the model was that, the essential components of the conditional forecast methodologies are correlation and dependency and without dependent variables, the conditional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: SPI classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPI Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ -2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.99 to -1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.49 to -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.99 to 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 to 1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Several studies in the past few years have worked on methods to improve drought forecasting.

A.K. Mishra and V.R. Desai used linear stochastic models such as ARIMA and multiplicative (Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average) for predicting droughts, they used standardized precipitation index (SPI) series in the Kansabati river basin, West Bengal, India [6]. They concluded that the stochastic models gave good results up to a lead-time of 2 months and that the results were better at higher SPI series. They also stated the advantages of SPI over other indices.

In [5], the model was a seasonal drought prediction using a Bayesian framework and was used to study the hydrological drought in the region of the Gunnison River Basin. Standardized Streamflow Index (SSI) was used by the authors to characterize drought events. The probabilistic approach was expected to prove useful to develop appropriate drought mitigation strategies by having an insight about future drought status. The proposed method showed promising results in the presence of adequately correlated and dependent variables. Therefore, the drawback observed in the model was that, the essential components of the conditional forecast methodologies are correlation and dependency and without dependent variables, the conditional
forecast would lose its meaning.

In the state of Texas, Ali Danandeh Mehr et al. used a model based on Wavelet-Linear Genetic Programming (WLP) for drought forecasting (with different lead times such as 3, 6, and 12 months) [9]. The WLGP was set to optimize spectral band of predictors and use them to predict the drought index or the predictand directly. It uses the NINO 3.4 Index and the Palmer’s modified drought index (PMDI) as predictors. The authors ended up showing that the model using standard linear genetic programming was not able to learn the nonlinear structure due to the nature of drought for than three months in lead time.

A recursive multistep neural network (RMSNN), a direct multistep neural network (DMSNN) and an autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA), were used in [10] for drought forecasting in the Kansabati Riverbasin in India. The paper uses SPI as the drought quantification parameter. The three models are compared, it is observed that ARIMA models provide good results for up to 2-months lead time but not as accurate as the direct multi-step approach. Due to the error build-up between the predicted and observed values every time step, the performance of both ARIMA and recursive multi-step approach deteriorates over longer time periods.

Various machine learning methods such as support vector regression, artificial neural networks and coupled wavelet-artificial neural networks in [11] were used for longterm prediction of drought in the region of the Awash River Basin in Ethiopia. The results of their forecast showed that the wavelet-Artificial Neural Network model gave the best performance for long-term drought prediction. Artificial neural networks (ANN) have shown good performance in different forms complex time series forecasting [12]. The authors in [12], clearly depict the scope for ANN in time-series forecasting and their drawbacks which state that it is impossible to create a universal model that predicts everything. They also discuss the lengthy and tedious process of training the model which includes extensive experimenting and tinkering.

In [13], the authors have used RNNs with LSTM units to predict stock prices. They predicted the stock returns of NIFTY 50 by collecting 5 years of historical data. They concluded that RNN and LSTM are one of the most precise methods available to forecast time-series data. Poornima.S et al. in [14] had carried out different statistical methods such as Holt-winters and moving average methods and analyzed weather data using forecasting algorithms.

It was shown that the performance of the technique was more dependent on the pattern of the data as opposed to the size of the data. It also explained the difficulty faced due the non-linear and unpredictable nature of the time series data as it shows abrupt changes at times.

III. PROPOSED MODEL

The proposed model looks to make predictions on rainfall data using a Recurrent Neural Network with LSTM units and use this data to calculate SPI values to predict the risk of drought.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and Desai</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Drought Quantification Parameter</th>
<th>Drawbacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AK Mishra and VR Desai</td>
<td>ARIMA</td>
<td>Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)</td>
<td>Provide good results for up to 2-months lead time but not as accurate as the direct multi-step approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK Mishra and VR Desai</td>
<td>RMSNN, DMSNN</td>
<td>Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)</td>
<td>Build-up of error between the predicted and observed values at each time step affects performance over long periods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Belayneh et al.</td>
<td>Machine learning and ANN</td>
<td>Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)</td>
<td>Impossibility to create a universal model, lengthy and tedious process of training the model which includes extensive experimenting and tinkering.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 2: Analysis of existing models |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Drought Quantification Parameter</th>
<th>Drawbacks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Madadgar and Moradkhan</td>
<td>Probabilistic approach with Bayesian framework</td>
<td>Standardized Streamflow Index (SSI)</td>
<td>The need for dependent variables in conditional forecasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danandeh Mehr et al.</td>
<td>Wavelet-Linear Genetic</td>
<td>NINO 3.4 Index and Palmer’s</td>
<td>Standard linear genetic programming’s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Workflow

a. Recurrent Neural Networks
Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) are becoming increasingly popular. They follow the basic working of conventional ANN, they are especially useful when the problem involves sequential data. This is useful in gaining better understanding of language and highly efficient in predicting time-series data. In an RNN model, each neuron or unit possesses some internal memory that it uses to maintain information about previous inputs.

**Figure 2: Unrolled RNN**

### b. Long Short Term Memory

When RNNs are trained on gradient-based learning methods and backpropagation, the vanishing and exploding gradient problems are observed. In these methods, the weights of the neural networks receives updates according to the derivative of the error function related to the current weight in each iteration. The gradient therefore gets vanishingly small and the weights stop changing eventually, posing a problem during the training phase of the model. In order to avoid this, the Long short term memory or LSTM was introduced. They are units in an RNN network, they contain a cell, an input gate, a forget gate and an output gate. LSTM networks are best fit for processing, classifying and making predictions on time-series data, due to the possibility of lags of variable duration between important events in time series data.

**Figure 3: Illustration of LSTM unit**

Equations for LSTM units with forget gate

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_t &= \sigma (W_f [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f) \\
    i_t &= \sigma (W_i [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i) \\
    o_t &= \sigma (W_o [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o) \\
    C_t &= f_t \cdot C_{t-1} + (1 - f_t) \cdot \hat{C}_t \\
    h_t &= o_t \cdot \text{tanh} \ (C_t)
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 4: 1 Layer(50 units), Sigmoid**

The main element in LSTM is the cell state that runs through the cell horizontally. There are gates that control whether information can be added to or removed from the cell state. The first step involves the forget gate deciding whether the information is important and if it should be kept or lost. Sigmoid function gives a value between 0 and 1 deciding how much a component must be allowed where 1 completely allows the component and 0 completely rejects it. The next step involves the input gate that decides what value is going to be stored in the cell state, it is a combination of sigmoid and tanh layer usually. These are then combined and sent into the cell state. The old cell state \(C_{t-1}\) is then updated into a new one \(C_t\). The output gate \(o_t\) decides the output \(h_t\) that is formed by combining a sigmoid function of the input with the tanh function of the cell state. Finally an output for the layer and the updated cell state are obtained to continue to the next step.

### 2.3 Implementation

The dataset was split into training and testing data. Data from 1st January 1958 till 31st December 2013 was taken to train the model and the model was tested on data from 1st January to 31st December 2014. The predictions for this data was done with different activation functions and number of layers and the results were compared. The results of the prediction are given below:

**Figure 5: 2 Layers(100,50 units), Sigmoid**
Activation functions are used to introduce non-linearities in a neural network. A neural network with without an activation function would simply be a linear function. This type of model will show its inability to learn complex, non-linear structures of the training data.

Sigmoid – It is the most simple out of the lot. It is an S shaped curve and is not centered on zero. This can cause it to go into different extreme directions. However its derivative is simple and needs lower computational power.

Tanh – The function is a hyperbolic tangent, it has a range from -1 to 1 and this makes the function 0-centered. It is usually chosen over the sigmoid activation, however it still poses a vanishing gradient problem.

ReLU – Rectified linear units have been gaining increasing popularity. It uses a simple and effective mathematical form. It reduces the vanishing gradient problem much better than the other cases.

Optimizers:

Optimizers are used in order to update the parameters of the model such as bias and weights during the training process in order to give accurate predictions. Various alternatives of gradient descent have been used for this process. Several researchers have come with new, improved optimization algorithms but however they have proved to be efficient only for a specific case and suffered when it came to generalization.

RMSprop was proved to speed up gradient descent and was an optimizer with adaptive learning rate. It was similar to adagrad but it found a way to handle the diminishing learning rates.

Gradient descent with momentum had shown great promise, the Adaptive moment estimation or Adam optimizer combined the features of momentum and rmsprop. It has a high learning speed, is observed to converge quickly and preserves the learning rate. The adam and rmsprop optimizer are known to perform well on a wide range of models and are the most versatile.

The comparison of the training process of the model with these parameters were obtained as shown below:
Figure 11: Comparison of loss 2 Layers, ReLU activation

Figure 12: Comparison of loss 2 Layers, sigmoid activation

Figure 13: Comparison of loss 1 Layer, Tanh activation

Figure 14: Comparison of MAE with 2 Layer

Figure 15: Comparison of MSE with 2 Layer for
The proposed model therefore aims at applying the concepts of Recurrent Neural Networks along with LSTM units to predict time series data of rainfall values. The use of LSTM eliminates the vanishing gradient problem and improves the performance of the RNN. Therefore, the RNN with LSTM is expected to show promising results in predicting rainfall values and thus predicting the risk of drought. This can prove crucial in helping people be prepared and alerting the concerned authorities to take appropriate actions in order to minimize the damage induced by droughts. It is observed that with increase in number of layers the predictions are more accurate and that the change in activation functions do not have a major impact on the performance, however they affect the training process of the model. Future work would be to find ways to improve the LSTM units in the RNN by altering the structure of the gates and changing the activation functions.
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