Abstract: The statistical analyses in the past showing the important properties of the electrohydraulic actuator (EHA) system, especially in the growth of the world economy. Dealing with the existing drawback in the EHA system, various types of control schemes have been introduced in the past. In this paper, to produce a more insightful view of the performance and the capabilities of the controller, three different types of controllers have been designed and compared. The favourite controller in the industry field, which is the proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller will be first introduced. Follow by the improved PID controller, named Fractional Order (FO-PID) controller will be designed. Then, the prominent robust controller in the control field, called sliding mode controller (SMC) will be established. Instead of obtaining the controller's parameters without any appropriate technique, the well-known tuning technique in computer science, named particle swarm optimization (PSO) will be utilized. Referring to the performances produced by these controllers, it can be concluded that the SMC is capable to generate most desired control performance that produced the highest accuracy with the smallest error in the analyses.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Widespread applications dealing with electro-hydraulic actuator (EHA) system have been found typically in transportation, aeronautical and industry sectors. It is reported in [1], the sales of the components in fluid power exceeded 17.7 billion dollars, and the sales of the systems which use fluid power components exceeded 226 billion dollars in 2008. In the analysis, the markets are approximately 22.2% belong to the construction industries, 21.2% belong to the agriculture industries, 15.7% belong to the manufacturing industries, 13.7% belong to the palm oil and rubber machinery, and 27.2% belong to the miscellaneous applications.

Nowadays, the use of fluid power in the construction fields have been increasingly employed in the heavy engineering industries. The growing of the fluid power technology has fulfilled the demand in the control of increased quantities of mass with higher precision and acceleration through the lowest power consumption. The hydraulic servomechanism with the characteristic of high power-to-weight ratio became an ideal component, especially dealing with the requirement of high weight and precise motion with the limited working space. The application of EHA system simultaneously reducing the operator’s effort while increasing their safety issues in the manipulation of the heavy construction equipment.

In the EHA system, high precision in a quick response with high weight is the crucial factor that leads to the miscellaneous controller design. The control strategy and modelling of the system model is a vital process in the implementation of advanced engineering technologies. Generally, the EHA system is intrinsically highly nonlinear, time-varying, and contain various uncertainties which lead to the difficulties in the development of the accurate dynamic model and the controller designs. The origin of the nonlinearities in the system actuation consists of friction behaviour, oil compressibility changes with the working temperature, variations in the trapped fluid volume due to the piston motion, backlash in the control valve, and fluid compressibility [2]. These problems simultaneously motivate academia and researchers to further examine and design a powerful controller that is able to overcome these problems before applied to the potential industrial applications.

As the proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller, which is a famous controller that always applied in the industrial applications, many researchers have trying to investigated and modified this controller with the integration of various kind of methods, including the modification of the structure in this controller, for instance the gain-scheduling control, or the fractional order (FO-PID) controller that is proven to be more effective in its performance, compared with the conventional PID controller [3-6], which have been applied to the hydraulic system [7-9]. Researchers also attempt to combine this controller, the conventional PID controller with the computational optimization method [10-15], and also the FOPID with the computational optimization method [16, 17], applied to the EHA system.
Another famous robust nonlinear controller, which is the sliding mode controller (SMC) has been extensively applied in the control of various engineering applications [18-20], and also in the EHA system [21-24]. With the integration of the computational tuning method, including particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [25, 26], Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) [27], Genetic Algorithm [28] the SMC is proven to outperform than the SMC with no proper tuning technique in the acquirement of the controller’s gains.

Therefore, the objective of this paper is to assess these existing control approaches, which are PID, FOPID, and also SMC, that are tuned by using the PSO optimization method. The examination of these controllers and methods in the simulation environment will be applied to the hardware that is in the development process. The organizations of this paper are, the summary of the EHA’s system modelling is first presented, followed by the brief explanation of the optimization method in Section 2. The explanation of the FOPID and the SMC controllers is carried out in Section 3 and Section 4 respectively. The examination of the controller performances is pictured Section 5, and finally the conclusion of the study in Section 6.

II. COMMON STRUCTURE OF THE EHA SYSTEM

As the modelling of the EHA system has been well developed in the past, therefore, the discussion of the detail mathematical model of the EHA system can be found in [29]. Generally, the common components consist of power unit, control unit, and the actuator with sensing unit as illustrated in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. The common components in the EHA system](image)

Also, the discussion regarding the development of the PID controller and also the SMC are conducted in the previous paper [30], therefore, the purpose of this paper is to study the concept of the fractional order, implemented in the PID controller. Basically, this paper is developed according to the concept as depicted in the block diagram in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. The block diagram of the overall methodology](image)

The EHA system is well-known to be intrinsically nonlinear. In order to improve its performance, especially when applied to the applications that required high precision factor, for example, aircraft and vehicle part pressing industry, different controllers emerge in the past. Hence, this study intends to design three different controllers, including PID, FOPID and SMC controllers to improve or to overcome the existing drawback in the EHA system.

The parameters of each controller are tuned by using the PSO tuning method, where the discussion regarding the PSO tuning method has been conducted in [30], with the equal parameters including the particle’s size (50), the number of iterations (30), the acceleration (2 for both c₁ and c₂), the inertia weight (linearly decreased from 0.9 to 0.4) and most importantly the performance index, which is the Integral Time Absolute Error (ITAE) that use to obtain the minimum error. The obtained parameters will be applied to the designed controllers, and the performance of each controller will be evaluated.

I. FRACTIONAL ORDER PID CONTROLLER

Fractional Order calculus involved in the control and the dynamic system was first proposed by Igor Podlubny in the 20th century [4]. By extending the general differential equations into the fractional order differential equations [31], the flexibilities of the fractional order calculus have been employed to the PID controller which yield the Fractional Order (FO-PID) controller.

Instead of the conventional PID controller, which is well-known in the control system that contains three parameters, two additional parameters which are the integrating order, \( \lambda \) and the derivative order, \( \mu \) have been integrated into the integral and derivative gains of the PID controller [31-33]. Commonly, the transfer function of the conventional PID controller is obtained by

\[
G(s) = \frac{U(s)}{E(s)} = K_p\left(1 + \frac{1}{T_1 s} + T_d s^{\mu}\right)
\]  

(1)
where $K_p$ is the proportional gain, $T_i$ is the Integral gain time in constant time, and $T_d$ is the derivative gain in constant time. While the additional order that integrated to the FO-PID controller yields the transfer function of

$$G(s) = \frac{U(s)}{E(s)} = K_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_i s} + T_d s^\mu \right)$$

where the order $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are not necessarily the integer number [31]. If the order $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are assumed to be 1, the conventional PID controller is formed.

The common system with fractional order or known as a non-integer type of system can be found in the transmission line or the heat flow system. The closed-loop control system generally consists of integer or fractional order system with integer or fractional order controller, or the interchangeable of these system and control structure [34].

It is proven in the previous study, the FO-PID controller, or known as P$\lambda$D$\mu$ controller is able to improve the conventional PID controller performance with the introduction of the integral and derivation order $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively. However, in the computer science point of view, since additional parameters are added to the FO-PID controller, the process to obtain the controller parameters become more complex and simultaneously increase the computational time.

II. OVERVIEW OF THE SLIDING MODE CONTROL APPROACH

The pioneering work of variable structure with sliding mode notion designed in continuous time has been established in the early 1960’s in Russia. The concept is not disseminated over a period of time when a book is published by Itkis (1976) [35], and a journal article written by Utkin (1977) [36]. After that, an insightful regarding the introduction and the growth of the SMC control strategy has been carried out in [37]. Thereafter, a number of studies regarding the SMC have been proposed to deal with the uncertain and the nonlinear in the system. The design of the SMC is unique since its performance does not directly depend on the tracking state, while depending on the design of the sliding surface. The concept of the SMC technique is to force the control signal moving toward the sliding surface and force the control signal to stay on that surface one the control signal is reached [38]. Generally, the design of SMC has a structure as demonstrated in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. The fundamental idea and structure of the sliding mode controller

Commonly, the general equation of the sliding surface, $s(t)$ in SMC can be obtained by referring to the system order, $n$ as presented in the following equation.

$$s(t) = \left(\lambda + \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{n-1}e(t)$$

Referring to the third order EHA system, the $s(t)$ of the conventional SMC, which is proportional to the error, $e$ and the control gain, $\lambda$ can be obtained as

$$s(t) = \bar{e}(t) + 2\lambda \dot{e}(t) + \lambda^2 e(t)$$

The error produced in a closed-loop environment can be acquired in (5) by subtracting the output of the desired tracking with the actual tracking.

$$e(t) = x_r(t) - x_p(t)$$

The third order linearized EHA system will generate the error with the third derivative as expressed in (6).

$$\ddot{e}(t) = \ddot{x}_r(t) - \ddot{x}_p(t)$$

When the $s(t) \neq 0$, switching control, $u_{sw}$ will take place to leads the tracking error from the phase of reaching to sliding. While the $s(t) = 0$, equivalent control, $u_{eq}$ will respond to leads the tracking error on $s(t) = 0$ to the desired point. Thus, the SMC generally expressed as

$$u_{smc}(t) = u_{eq}(t) + u_{sw}(t)$$

The $u_{eq}$ of the SMC will be acquired through the first derivative of the $s(t)$ as

$$\dot{s}(t) = \ddot{e}(t) + 2\lambda \dot{e}(t) + \lambda^2 e(t)$$

Some parameters exist in the EHA may impossible to be gathered and modelled. The simplified EHA model will be employed in the designed controller, where the EHA system will be represented through the perturbed linear model with third order, which has included the disturbances and uncertainties characters as indicated in the following equation.

$$\ddot{x}_p(t) = -(A_n + \Delta A)\dot{x}_p(t) - (B_n + \Delta B)\dot{x}_p(t) + \cdots + (C_n + \Delta C)u(t) + d(t)$$

where $d(t)$ is composed of the nonlinear leakage and friction, and the external load disturbance. The nominal system parameters are represented in $A_n$, $B_n$, and $C_n$, while the uncertainties existed in the unmodelled dynamics are represented by the bounded uncertainties $\Delta A$, $\Delta B$, and $\Delta C$. Then, the third-order EHA system will be organized as

$$\ddot{x}_p(t) = -A_n\dot{x}_p(t) - B_n\dot{x}_p(t) + C_nu(t) + L(t)$$

where $L(t)$ is the lumped uncertainties that can be expressed as:
\[ L(t) = \pm \Delta x_{p}(t) \pm \Delta B \dot{x}_{p}(t) \pm \Delta C u(t) + d(t) \]  

By assume \( L \) is neglected and substituting (6) into (8), the \( u_{eq} \) of the SMC will be indicated as

\[ u_{eq}(t) = \frac{1}{C} \left( \ddot{x}_{r} + A_{n} \ddot{x}_{p} + B_{n} \dot{x}_{p} + 2 \lambda \ddot{e}(t) + \lambda^{2} e(t) \right) \]  

The switching control of the SMC can be acquired by employing the signum function, \( \text{sign}(s) \), into the sliding surface as expressed in (13).

\[ u_{sw}(t) = k_{s} \text{sign}(s) \]  

where the signum function has a boundary as expressed in (14), and \( k_{s} \) is a positive constant value.

\[ \text{sign}(s(t)) = \begin{cases} 
1 & ; s(t) > 0 \\
0 & ; s(t) = 0 \\
-1 & ; s(t) < 0 
\end{cases} \]  

The Lyapunov theorem as adopted in [39-43] is used to analyse the stability of the controller when \( s(t) \neq 0 \) with the following function.

\[ V(t) = \frac{1}{2} s^{2}(t) \]  

The following reaching condition is required to be fulfilled to achieve the stable condition during the tracking from reaching to sliding phase.

\[ \dot{V}(t) = s(t) \dot{s}(t) < 0, \text{ for } s(t) \neq 0 \]  

By replacing (6), (7) and (8) into (16), the following function will be obtained.

\[ s(t) \dot{s}(t) = s_{f}(t) + A_{n} \ddot{x}_{p}(t) + B_{n} \dot{x}_{p}(t) - C_{n} u_{eq}(t) \]

\[ \cdots + u_{sw}(t) + 2 \lambda \ddot{e}(t) + \lambda^{2} e(t) \]  

The discontinuous function in (13) might leads to the chattering effect, which can be minimized by replacing the hyperbolic tangent function as introduced in [40-42].

\[ u_{sw}(t) = k_{s} \text{tanh} \left( \frac{s}{\phi} \right) \]  

III. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS & RESULTS

It is well-known that the real-time applications can be performed better by the assistant of the controller. In addition, to overcoming the existing drawback of the system, the designed controller might reduce the actual required elements, for example, the voltage or power that generates torque to actuate the load or the application. Apart from reducing the actual effort, the powerful controller can perform surprisingly, even with the changes in the system parameters along the operation.

Therefore, in this paper, three different controllers have been designed to control the EHA system. Each controller has their own strength and weakness and performed differently with the error generated as illustrated in Fig. 4.

To analyze the controller's performance in the field of control, the root means square error (RMSE), transient response and steady-state error analyses are the commonly used methods and very useful in generating numerical data for the comparison purpose. By referring to the responses as depicted in Fig. 5, the numerical data of each controller has been summarized and tabulated in Table I.
Overshoot is acceptable in some situation, especially the small overshoot that might not lead to any inconvenience for example in the pushing and rotating processes. But unlike the process such as lifting, pressing, or bending that require precision, the overshoot situation might damage the product or cause a hazard in the real environment.

Rise time is an important factor that increases the productivity for example, in the production line that requires lifting process, where the quick processing time is important in the process. Generally, the high-rise time will lead to high overshoot and simultaneously slow down the settling time of the system. As can be seen in Table 1, the SMC has overcome the former condition which produced the fastest rise time with 0.0532 seconds, fastest settling time with 0.1852 seconds, lowest steady-state error and RMSE compared to PID and FO-PID controllers. The performance produced by the SMC is usually required in various applications, especially the application where high precision is required. Instead of using the conventional tuning techniques, for example, the try and error, and the Ziegler-Nichols tuning technique, the PSO computational tuning technique has been used to obtain the controller’s parameter as listed in Table II, which is very time saving and convenient.

### IV. CONCLUSION

Complex controller design usually requires professional that possess expertise in the field which usually produces satisfactory outcome, especially dealing with the system that is complex with uncertainties such as EHA system. When it’s come to the industrial field, the PID controller, which is simple and much easier to understand is usually used. Depends on the required outcome, if the high precision result is required, the PID controller might insufficiently achieve this objective. This paper intends to assess the performance of the common use PID controller, the improved PID controller named fractional order PID controller, and also the SMC controller applied to the EHA system. It is observed from the result, although the FO-PID controller is able to perform better than the conventional PID controller, it is still unable to surpass the performance of the SMC. Apart from using the PSO computational tuning method, the performance of these controllers might be enhanced through different computational tuning methods. Therefore, further investigation regarding the computational tuning algorithm which can be applied in the practical system will be carried out. Whether to improve the performance of the particular system, or to enhance the capability of the controller that is able to apply to numerous applications.
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