Abstract—The matrix converter is a variety of restrained semiconductor changeovers that interfaces straightforwardly to the three-phase (3-Ø) supply to the 3-Ø load. This paper presents the cutting edge see in the improvement of this converter, beginning with a concise recorded survey. A significant piece of this paper is discourse of the most significant modulation and control techniques grew as of late. Uncommon consideration is apt to current contemporary technologies cultivated to take care of commutation issue. certain new varieties of energy bidirectional changeovers associated in a exclusive module and certain pragmatic outcome akin to the feasible appeal of this methods like over voltage safety, accustom of filtrate, and ride-through skill are further presented.

Ultimately, this paper consists of simulation blocks and their corresponding results and also relation between different control techniques. Over the most recent couple of years, an expansion in research achievement obsolete watched, carrying this analysis confining to the modern requisition.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Conventionally, ac voltages and currents possess uncertain magnitude and frequency are produced by PWM regulate VSI (PWM-VSI) can be viewed as indirect power alteration considering the methodology is depends on pair of power alteration via a capacitor (dc link).

An alternative to indirect power alteration is the direct power converter such as a forced-commutated cyclo-converter (FCC) contrarily noted as a matrix converter, has no restriction on yield frequency which uses switches like the IGBT, MOSFET, and MCT.

A four-quadrant fully controlled bidirectional switch is a primary component in a matrix converter, in which high-frequency operation permits. Hence no need of energy storage elements. Be that as it may, the genuine improvement in regard to matrix converters began among the achievement of Venturini and Alesina bring out in 1980 [5]. They exhibited bidirectional power switches and in this way presented the name “matrix converter.”

In 1989, Huber et al. bring out the foremost of a progression of documentation where the standards of space-vector modulation (SPVM) were connected to the matrix converter modulation problem [6]. The modulation techniques based on the Venturini access are identified as “direct methods,” while such establish on the “fictitious dc link” are identified as “indirect methods.

Today, the research is primarily centered around operational and technological aspects: reliable execution of commutation techniques protection issues execution of bidirectional switches and packaging operation under unusual circumstances; ride-through ability and input filter design. The motivation behind this paper is to give a survey of key angles concerning matrix converter operation and to set up the cutting edge of this innovation.

II. MATRIX CONVERTER

A Matrix Converter has 9 bi-directional switches has less size and weight contrasted to controlled rectifier/inverter design in Fig 1.

Figure 1. Matrix Converter relation with conventional converter.
Figure 2. Bidirectional Switch Of Matrix Converter.

The common emitter configuration uses two sets of antiparallel diodes and IGBTs associated to control the bidirectional current flow. By the use of conventional VSIs dependable current commutation among switches in matrix converters is obtained. Due to over currents this intend ensue in short circuit across line-to-line and the obliteration of converter. Additionally, the switches during each output phase shouldn’t be turned off by the side of any instant. It will cause reduction of inductive load current, causing large over-voltages. These both reflections cause a divergence since these devices may not switched immediately because of propagation delays and fixed switching times. by timing diagram the delay will be determined.

A few filtrate methods like elementary LC and multistage LC may be examined. It may be appeared that elementary LC filtering, is the best selection because of expenditure and capacity. In a matrix converter, A clamp revolution is the best answer to stay away from over voltages. Ride-through capabability. In which it uses switch-mode power supply. A flux and speed viewer is worn to resume the drive as of nonzero flux and speed conditions in the shortest time.

Figure 3. Matrix Converter with LC & clamping circuit.

Essential Switching

It is a single-level converter having an size of m×n bidirectional switches to join phase load, typically a motor. Normally, a voltage source is fed to this converter directly, an m -phase voltage source to an n-phase load. Converter has 3 -phase voltage source to a 3-phase load i.e., a 3×3. Defining the switching function of a single switch as

\[ S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if switch closed} \\ 0 & \text{if switch open} \end{cases} \]

where \( k = \{A, B, C\} \) and \( j = \{a, b, c\} \).

The restriction talk about above can be spoken as

\[ SA_j + SB_j + SC_j = 1 \quad \text{where} \quad j = \{a, b, c\} \]

With these limitations, the 3×3 matrix converter has 27 possible switching states

III. MODULATION METHODOLOGIES

BASIC MODULATION TECHNIQUE

For a 3x3 input voltages and output currents will have phase displacement of 120. Modulation matrix \( M(t) \) will be obtained by to such an extent that the constraint equation is fulfilled.

The output and input voltages

\[ V_o = qV_{im} \]

\[ \phi = \cos(\omega t + \phi) \]

\[ \phi = \cos(\omega t + \phi + 2\pi/3) \]

The essential answer for above,

\[ M = 1/3 \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \]

The essential answer for above,

\[ M = 1/3 \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \]

The above arrangement yields \( \phi = \phi_o \), giving a similar phase at the both sides, though the arrangement yields, \( \phi = -\phi_o \) gives reverse phase. Combining the two arrangements gives the means for control of input displacement factor.

The modulation arrangements gives a maximum voltage ratio (VR) of 50% with a development in the VR to \( 3/2 \) (or 87%) is achievable by totaling common-mode voltages in the direction of objective outputs.

\[ V_o = qV_{im} \]

\[ \phi = \cos(\omega t + \phi) \cos(3\omega t + \phi/3) \]

\[ \phi = \cos(\omega t + \phi + 2\pi/3) \cos(3\omega t + \phi/3) \]

\[ \phi = \cos(\omega t + \phi + 4\pi/3) \cos(3\omega t + \phi/3) \]

It has no impact on the line voltages, but permits the required outputs to robust surrounded by the input voltage envelope with a value of ‘q’ up to 87%. It is similar to predictable dc-link PWM converters. Venturini provides a rigorous proof of this fact.

VENTURINI-ALESINA MODULATION TECHNIQUE

The switch timings straightforwardly actualized regarding the information of input and output voltages as stated above.

\[ M = 1/3 \left[ (1 + 2x x \psi) / V^2 \right] \]

For \( K = A, B, C \) and \( j = a, b, c \).

This strategy is of little practical significance criticalness on account of the half VR confinement. Venturini’s ideal strategy utilizes the common-mode addition method defined to obtain a maximum VR of 87%. It can be expressed as
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The phase shift between the measured input voltages and the voltages \( V_k \) inserted will result in control of input displacement factor. 

**SCALAR MODULATION TECHNIQUE**

It can be implemented where the switch actuation signals are premediated from input voltages from the below procedure.

Step 1) Allocate subscript \( M \) to the input which has an alternate polarity to the next two.

Step 2) Allocate subscript \( L \) to the smaller value of other two inputs. Where third is allocated subscript \( K \).

The duty cycles are obtained by

\[
M_{Lj} = \frac{(V_j - V_m) \cdot V_L}{1.5 \cdot V_{2im}}
\]

\[
M_{Kj} = \frac{(V_j - V_m) \cdot V_k}{1.5 \cdot V_{2im}}
\]

\[
M_{Mj} = 1 - (M_{Lj} + M_{Kj}) \quad \text{for} \quad j = a, b, c.
\]

Once more, common-mode addition is used with the objective output Voltages \( V_j \) to accomplish 87% VR capability. Duty cycles expressed as.

\[
M_0 = \frac{2}{3} \left[1 + \frac{(2 \cdot \sin V_0)}{V_{2im} \cdot \sin (\pi \cdot t + \beta)} \cdot \sin \left(3 \cdot \frac{\pi \cdot t}{3}\right)\right]
\]

At low switching frequencies the output voltage exception is both scalar and venturini are indistinguishable as the maximum output voltage \( (q = \sqrt{3}/2) \) remains same. Where the Venturini method is superior.

Space vector modulation technique

The SPVM is outstanding and set up in traditional conventional PWM inverters. Its application to this converter is thoughtfully the equivalent, but is more complex. The output voltage SPVM can be obtained and distinct in provisions of the line voltages.

\[
V_o(t) = 2/3 (V_{ab} + a \cdot V_{a} + a^2 \cdot V_{aa})
\]

\[
I(t) = 2/3 (I_{a} + a \cdot I_{a} + a^2 \cdot I_{a})
\]

where 

\[
a = \exp(j \times 2 \times \pi / 3)
\]

Vector of fixed length \( q \cdot V_{im} \) rotates in the complex plan with at angular frequency \( W_0 \). For a matrix converter, the details of each vector in detail is given below. There are active vectors have in both direction \( \pm (1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 9) \). Zero vectors \( 0a, 0b \) and \( 0c \) and rotating vectors are constant magnitude \( \pm 10, \pm 11, \pm 12 \). The below table demonstrates the 27 switching states corresponding to 27 vectors. direction depends on the angles \( \alpha, \beta \)

The 27 achievable yield vectors of 3-Ø matrix converter can be ranked into 3 groups by means of the subsequent characteristics

- Ist Group: constant amplitude space vectors output line be linked with a dissimilar input line with supply angular frequency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \text{V_{ab}} )</th>
<th>( \text{V_{bc}} )</th>
<th>( \text{V_{ca}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. SPACE VECTORS**

---
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**Figure 4. Output voltage space vectors.**
In the SPVM, the 1st Group vectors are not utilized. The ideal output is amalgamated with active and zero vectors. The output vectors in hexagon are represented. Where the active vectors are additionally separated reliant on which zero line output voltage. Vo(t) is produced during time averaging by prefer the time between vector 1 and 6 (t1) & (t2) during sequence of switching. at this time, it is accepted that the highest length vectors are used, despite the fact that does not need to be the situation.

\[
t_1 = \left(\frac{V_o}{V_{es}}\right) \times T_{seg} \times \sin(\theta),
\]

\[
t_2 = -\left(\frac{V_o}{V_{es}}\right) \times T_{seg} \times \sin(60 - \theta),
\]

\[
t_0 = T_{seg} - (t_1 + t_2)
\]

Time spent at zero vectors is t0. There will be no exclusive way for allocate the times (t1, t6, t0) contained by the switching arrangement. It is important to apply the SPVM to input current control and output voltage control for good harmonic performance. Here 4 active vectors are required each switching case, which give same results but here the number of switching vectors selection is more flexible.

**INDIRECT MODULATION TECHNIQUES**

These strategies intend to expand the methods intend to amplify the higher VR beyond the 86.6% furthest reaches of different techniques. For this, the process is to be splitted into two stages as indicated. Vo = (A(Vi)).B

In above equation, the input voltages from rectifier transformation (a) Generates a “fictitious dc link” and inverter transformation (b) Generates a preferred output by modulating the “fictitious dc link.”

\[
A = K_A \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\omega t)
\cos(\omega t + 2\pi/3)
\cos(\omega t + 4\pi/3)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Here,

\[
A V_i = K_A V_{im} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\omega t)
\cos(\omega t + 2\pi/3)
\cos(\omega t + 4\pi/3)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B = K_B \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\omega t)
\cos(\omega t + 2\pi/3)
\cos(\omega t + 4\pi/3)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

B is given by

Hence,

\[
v_o = (A V_i) B = \frac{3 K_A K_B V_{im}}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\omega t)
\cos(\omega t + 2\pi/3)
\cos(\omega t + 4\pi/3)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The VR \( q = 3 \times K_A \times K_B / 2 \). From the above exhibits undoubtedly, the A and B modulation steps are discontinuous. To maximize the VR, the most positive and negative input voltages are selected continuously to produce step A. This yields \( K_A = 2/\sqrt{3}/\pi \) with a “fictitious dc link” of \( 3\sqrt{3} V_{im}/\pi \) represents the MI of a PWM process and has the peak value of . The overall VR therefore has the peak value of 6\( \sqrt{3}/\pi \) = 105.3 %. The VR available is more than that of other techniques but the improvement is only obtained at the expense of the quality of either the input currents, the output voltages or both. For values of \( q > 0.866 \), the mean output voltage no longer equals the target output voltage.

**Figure 5 Complete block diagram of Venturini modulation strategy.**

In this method q is directly taken as \( \sqrt{3}/2 \).

\[
M_o = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 1 + (2 \times V_i \times V_j) / V^2 + 2/3 \times \sin(\omega t + \beta) \times \sin(3 \times \omega t + \tau) \right]
\]

**Space vector modulation technique method**

**Figure 6 SVM complete block Representation.**
From input current and required output voltage switching strategies of SVM are obtained. For sector identification and to find out the current angle Sci. Each sector is of 60 degrees and starts from -30< sector 1 < 30. To get 360 degrees rotation and to start from 0 after every cycle. For division of 360 degrees into 6 sectors and identification of sectors, Conversion of Sci into degrees and applying sin gives d_u and d_v respectively. Sci is divide into 0 to 60 deg from out 1, 60 to 0 from out 2.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

Basic modulation technique OUTPUT voltage wave form

Vin=400; Ii=200A; Fi=50; Qi=0;---input.
Fo=100; Qo=30; qi=0.5; Vo=200.---output.

The dotted lines are input voltages VA, VB, VC and thick lines are the output voltages Va, Vb, Vc.

The dotted lines are input voltages VA, VB, VC and thick lines are the output voltages Va, Vb, Vc.

Alesina-Venturini modulation technique OUPUT WAVE FORMS

Vin = 220 \times 2^{1/2} \text{ v, Fi}=50Hz, \text{--------input}
Vom=250v, Fo=50Hz \text{--------output}

Figure 10 Output Voltage and Current of Alesina-Venturini Strategy.

Output for different output voltage and frequency as shown in Fig. below.
Vin = 220 v, Fi=50Hz, \text{--------input}
Vom=250v, Fo=50Hz \text{--------output}

Figure 11. Output Voltage and Current of Venturini Strategy.
Scalar modulation technique OUTPUT WAVE FORM
Vim = 220 v, Fi=50Hz, --------input
Vom=155.56v, Fo=100Hz, -------output

Figure 12 Output Voltage and Current of Scalar Method.

Space vector modulation technique OUTPUT WAVEFORM
Vim = 220 v, Fi=50Hz, 
Vom=155.56v, Fo=100Hz, Qo=0.85

Relation of Basic, Venturini & SVM techniques
In the SVM strategy, there are 3 zero arrangements utilized to finish the switching cycle. Various ways be present to place and distribute zero vectors. Various circulations yield diverse SVM strategy. Usually, indistinguishable duty-cycles are distributed symmetrically in every switching cycle.

In present paper, the unbalanced distribution will exist actualized and duty-cycles of 3 zero arrangements will be determined to set up the identical SVM technique to the basic/ideal AV strategy. The different AV strategies are, the strategy without and with the common mode injection sort from 50% to 86% analogous to every method.

Following two many years of research exertion, a few modulation and control techniques have been created for the matrix converter, for the induction of sinusoidal input and output currents, with power factor as one (UPF). The most significant practical execution issue in the matrix converter circuit is the commutation of switches, has been resolved with the expansion of highly knowledgeable multistep commutation methodologies. Power modules overcome this limitation with the help of matrix converter. Anyhow, research result has demonstrated that this converter is not a “pure silicon converter” and input filters are needed to that of passive elements. More work must be done so as to upgrade the size of these filters.

As long ago, performance point of view the matrix converter could be a predominant converter. Now, this
converter faces an extremely solid challenge from the VSI with 3-Ø active front end (AFE).

This VSI-AFE method is similar to matrix converter on the basis of operating characteristics but must overcome in requisites of expenses, size, and dependability.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper audits some outstanding modulation methods ideal AV strategy and space vector technique. Two SVM methodologies are accounted in literature, indirect and direct SVM. Simulation for Basic, Alesina-Venturini, Scalar modulation techniques is done and also Space vector modulation technique simulation has been done for direct modulation method. In theory both strategies are equal.

The duty-cycle of every switch can be characterized by mix of space vectors. The repeal of the conversion is also recognized. Unlike the SVM, which conveys zero vector analogously, with unequal intervals 3 zero contour are used.

This paper additionally researches the intrinsic affinity between the combined SVM technique and the AV stratagy. input UPF is assumption. The AV strategy is characterized in time domain, and the SVM is characterized by space vectors in the complex space.
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