Ethnic Acceptance Issues amongst Sabah and Sarawak Ethnic Groups at Sultan Idris Education University

Khairul Azam Bahari, Samsudin Suhaili, Norliza Jamaluddin, Kalsum Umar, Zulkarnin Zakaria

Abstract: Students enrolment to UPSI brings along differences in various background aspects which include ethnic-related issues and public acceptance towards such differences. These differences could create a few unintended problems such as discrimination, isolation, stereotyping and conflict that could threaten national unity as it could also directly affect students’ academic performance. Current research on multicultural problems in public universities had not explored the issue seriously and many mainly focused on major ethnic groups in the country – Malay, Chinese and Indian. Meanwhile, the study on other ethnic groups from Sabah and Sarawak in public universities has not received enough attention. Thus, this study was conducted to examine issues in relation to the acceptance of other ethnic groups from Sabah and Sarawak among the undergraduates of UPSI. This study applied the mixed-methodology of qualitative and quantitative approach. Data was collected using survey, interview, Delphi survey and simulation. Findings showed that there are many loopholes that need to be fixed by the management of UPSI in helping the students community understand the diversity of other ethnic groups originating from Sabah and Sarawak. The university must take the initiative to develop a mechanism that would help expose the campus community to embrace inter-cultural communication in a positive way. The study also found the need to reduce prejudice, stereotyping and discriminative attitude towards the ethnic groups from Sabah and Sarawak.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Malaysia is a melting pot consisting of multi-ethnic communities who live peacefully in this country. In Malaysia, the word ethnic refers to a specific race that has an important connotation on social and political aspects (Andiel, Matilda, Philip & Gaik, 2009).

The multi-ethnic relationship requires a strong national unity among the multiracial and multi-religious communities because it involves a highly complex connection and needs some time to produce the desired results (Samsudin Suhaili, 2011). The diverse cultural background in the Malaysian context was the result of the colonialism meddled in local administration especially during the British reign.

As a consequence, the British colonialism had created an added value in societies’ polarisation and the way of life in the Peninsula before the independence. The community’s dynamic started to change with the presence of other ethnic groups as it became more diverse than before.

A society with different culture, interracial understanding and a strong unity has to be built so that each ethnic with its own unique culture, language, religion, and belief can live in tolerance and harmony. The peace of a nation will depend on the unity of the people that do not face any ethnic problems or discrimination. To achieve this goal, various proactive measures had been implemented by the earlier government after achieving the independence in 1957.

According to Rozita Ibrahim, Nazri Muslim, and Ahmad Hidayat (2011), Malaysia after the independence had experienced interracial problems caused by socioeconomic imbalance between the Bumiputera and non-Bumiputera resulting in a dark episode for the country with the interracial clashes on May 13, 1969. Racial unity is still a dream haunting the nation and is affecting the effort to establish the country as a peaceful plural society. Thus, the black dots in the country’s otherwise peaceful timeline should serve as a reminder to the young generation to ensure the existence of multiculturalism among the Malaysian community is understood and upheld wholeheartedly.

Background of research

Multiculturalism is a term widely used in academic context and other related fields (Watson, 2002, hal. 3). In this study, multiculturalism deals with complex issues regarding the diversity of culture and religion in a specific society and the social management that was resulted through the challenges and opportunities by the multicultural situation itself (Nye, 2007, hal. 110). Nye’s opinion was supported by Hall (2000) who claimed that multiculturalism was used as an adjective to refer to characteristics and problems on its governance resulted by a society that has communities with different
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culture and religion living together, and each is trying to maintain their identity. The concept of multiculturalism exists in various countries such as Australia, the United States, Singapore, the United Kingdom, New Zealand, China, Indonesia, South Africa and other countries that have a plural society (Knight, 2008; Andiel, Matilda, Philip &Gaik, 2009; Berkes, 2010).

Racial harmony in Malaysia has been acknowledged as an example of other countries in the world. Malaysia has an almost ethnically group, consisting of three main races of Malay, Chinese and Indian, including the indigenous people of Sabah and Sarawak. Even as a small nation, Malaysia has proven to the world that harmony is well and alive for more than 58 years in this country. Occasionally, the country would still encounter some fiery sparks in the effort of maintaining its peace. If preventive measures are not taken, there will always be risk that could trigger the repeat of May 13, 1969 incident.

Multiculturalism in Malaysia

Political development in Malaysia before, during and after the independence has created a plural society with multi-racial, cultural, and religious background. Rosman and Kassim (2008) noted that historical factors played many roles in creating the multiracial community caused by foreign labour migration that led to the pluralistic nature of the people. During the British colonialism, many Chinese and Indians from their homeland were brought into this country to cover the labour shortage at the time. The presence of other races from other countries had indirectly formed the multiracial identity for this country. It was obvious that multiracial and multicultural communities had inhibited this place even before the independence. The pluralistic society with its multiracial, religions and customs had always been part of this country but the high level of toleration among the people was maintained successfully. At the same time, all the races still preserved their own identity without being influenced by the culture of other races.

Since independence, Malaysian community has been living in harmony. The positive environment in the country had proven to be a success to the nation and race. The success was achieved in various sectors such as economics, politics and social. The economic sector covered main areas such as finance, trades, share market and business. These essentials generated the nation’s incomethat comprised of the import and export business locally and overseas. All races had played their part in building the nation’s economy. The generated income was used to develop this country over the years. Without the income from this sector, the country would easily collapse and be in chaos. Socially, the nation needs a peaceful and united society. Lacking this positive attitude would spell danger and trigger the ripple effect that would jeopardise the society’s balance.

In the context of this study, multiculturalism born in Malaysia has always been referred or defined as ethnic or religious pluralism (Ahmad Tarmizi, Sarjit, Jayum, Zaid Ahmad & Nur Ayuni Isa, 2012). Malaysia is a developing country located in Southeast Asia and is made up of a population of people from mixed races, religions and culture. For the period of 30 years, between 1980 and 2010, an increase and modification to the Malaysian residence composition had occurred drastically. In 1980, Malaysia had a population of only 13.7 million people. This number increased to 20.3 million people in the year 2010 (Statistic Department of Malaysia, 2010). In the year 2015, the Malaysian residence had reached 30.99 million people, and 31 million for the round figure. From this number, there were 19.2 million (62%) bumiputera that comprised of the Malay, Sabah and Sarawak ethnic people. The Chinese ethnic were 6.6 million (21%) people, followed by the Indians who made up 2 million (6%) people, other ethnics with 271 thousand (0.9%) and foreigners who stood at 3 million (10%) people.

Multiculturalism in Institutions of higher learning

Rosmida (1999) regarded university students as the agent of change in community. The group of students could bring a new idea that would encourage people to think. The university students in Malaysia are the priority in higher education. The development of higher education in Malaysia had gone through massive transformation in the multicultural aspect among its students (Rozita Ibrahim, Nazri Muslim and Ahmad Hidayat, 2011). This transformation can be seen through the historical development of higher education in Malaysia with the intake of students from various ethnicities.

From the historical aspect of higher education in Malaysia, there were three parts of development – the first era during the colonial time until the independence; the second, after the dark incident of May 13, 1969; and the third, the current globalised era. All the three phases had changed the Malaysian higher education landscape and left a deep mark in shaping a strong ethnic relation in this country.

In the first phase – during the colonial time until the Independence, the higher education was not given the focus and only local who could converse in English would get a place to study in Singapore or be registered in matriculation at universities in Hong Kong or Britain (Abdul Rahman Ismail & Mahani Musa, 2010). In addition, higher education during that time was using English as the medium of instruction and the use of Malay language was limited to a few universities or training centres at that time. From multicultural Policy View Point of view, the establishment of Universiti Malaya in Singapore had appointed four Pro-Chancellors from different ethnic background – High Commissioner of Malaya, Chief Governor of Singapore, Sir Han Hoe Lim and Dato’ Onn Jaafar. This showed the involvement of Dato’ Onn Jaafar’s as a Pro-Chancellor had given the opportunity to all races to study in higher education (Abdul Rahman Ismail & Mahani Musa, 2010). Meanwhile, the establishment of Universiti Malaya in 1959 in Kuala Lumpur after the independence had opened the flood gate for Malaysians to have the chance the study at tertiary level.

In the second phase, it covered the duration after the bloody incidence of May 13, 1969. After the unfortunate event, triggered by the economic imbalance between the Bumiputera and non-Bumiputera, higher education was a...
major hope to unite the people and improve the situation (ZailanMorris& Sh. Azad, 2010, hal. 1-36). The New Economic Policy (NEP) introduced the quota system for university intake to ensure the balance in ethnic composition of university students (Selvaratnam, 1988). Besides that, the Malaysian government had also established InstitutTeknologi MARA (ITM) in 1967 to secure the chance for the Bumiputera students to continue their study. The national language is a vital component in securing the ethnic integration and promoting national identity. Thus, the Malay language had been chosen as the medium of instruction at institution of higher learning as the language of unity. According to Selvaratnam (1988), the Bumiputera favourable policy did not sit well among the Chinese, but Brown (2007) claimed that the Chinese were generally not happy with the unequal government treatment to other ethnic minorities at the time. At the end of 1980, the Malaysian higher education sector had gone through changes and by that time, it was already offering equal opportunities to all students regardless of their socioeconomic background even with the quota system still in place. From the year 1980 until 1990, more public universities had been established to offer more places for all the ethnicists (ZailanMorris& Sh. Azad, 2010).

The third phase is the current globalised era that witnesses the role of higher education being linked to Post-industrial economy that involve productivity in science, technology and administration (Lee, 2004). Therefore, all universities in the world are now focusing on such objective. The main aim now is to develop the corporate culture and practice among the graduates to enable them to compete in the highly competitive job market.

Based on the discussion above, the nation’s development and racial unity among the university students of different ethnicities would bode well for the future of nation-building of the country. However, the story on the ground did not reflect the painted picture, as seen among the students of Malaysian universities (Shamsul, 2010). A study by two local scholars proved that polarisation among the Malay-Andnon-Malaysexisted (Sanusi Osman, 1984) and another research by Abdul Samad (2003) found that 80% students still had prejudice towards other ethnicities.

Even though multiculturalism depicts a positive relationship among the ethnics, many students still feel awkward to spend time with friends of other ethnicities in social activities such as dining together, study group, and especially sharing their living space for security reasons (Rozita Ibrahim, Nazi Muslim & Ahmat Hidayat, 2011).

Statement of the problem

In a globalised world today, every level of education including the institutions of higher learning pay serious attention in acknowledging multiculturalism in the community and the complications that affectis undergraduates (Burke, 2012). Their existence of various culturein the community are directly related to the need of the students and their life in university. With different social and cultural background, Quaye and Harper (2015) believed that students have to accustom themselves to their multicultural surrounding that would prove to be difficult if it is not understood and practiced positively.

To be accepted in a social environment while trying to be successful in facing the cultural clutters in university, is a challenge one has to deal with other than the usual expectation of academic excellence. Both goals are interrelated but dealing with multicultural problems proves to be the determining factor in securing one’s academic excellence (Burke, 2012; Morgan, 2013). Hence, understanding the multicultural problems faced by a student has become an utmost importance for the university so that the problems could be dealt objectively before a strategic framework could be developed to cater to this issue (Benson, Heugney, Hewitt, Crosling & Devos, 2013).

For Banks (2004), studying at an institution of higher learning, one cannot escape various multicultural issues and limitations such as language, religion and belief, gender, socioeconomic, mental and physical differences. These problems, if not managed holistically, could cause many negative effects which affect one’s personal development. To support Gunawardena and Wilson (2012), a student’s moral development, social skills and even personal management and academic achievement throughout his study could be affected if the issues are not well-managed.

Consequently, multicultural issues among university students should be studied and analysed. By establishing the knowledge and understanding on this aspect, higher education in university will be more successful in cultivating students’ personality and producing a responsible member of society who would also be an asset to the nation (Zailan Morris & Azad, 2010). In support of this belief, Lindsay, Beverly and Blanchett (2011) and supported by Ahmad Hussein (2012) opined that such a concerted effort would breed strong-minded university students with positive personality, strong love for the nation, excellent mental and intellectual ability, and high social skills.

Based on the Corevalue 1 and 2 of the National Strategic Planning for Higher Education 2020 (PSPTN), it was clear that the Ministry of higher education aims to create conducive and functional teaching and learning environment in all campus. It would cover the preparation of educational infrastructure and financial support for those studying in institutions of higher learning. However, there are various issues that have to be solved which include socioeconomic divide, ethnic, religion and language. Other than that, the mental intelligence and physical ability between genders have to be taken into account (Banks, 2004). Subsequently, multi-cultural problems in university students’ survival should be addressed seriously.

The step to identify objectively the problems and needs of multicultural students together with the actions to overcome it is a worthwhile form of investment. Students will then be able to benefit from the solutions to solve the multicultural differences so that they can focus on their study. As a consequence, both the performance of the students and university would greatly improve and directly enhance the higher education rating of the nation (Morgan, 2013). Other than that, due to its wide scope of study, the researchers decided to choose UPSI to carry out the research.
This was obvious since UPSI is one of the universities with diverse multicultural background. Multiculturalism in UPSI has changed the campus landscape and this has presented a great opportunity for the researcher to study multicultural and adjustment issues among the students of UPSI.

Research Objectives

The general aim of this study is to examine and uncover the various issues in multicultural campus community among the ethnic groups from Sabah and Sarawak in Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI).

This study was conducted for these specific objectives:
1. to review issues of ethnic acculturation among the Bumiputra ethnic from Sabah and Sarawak in UPSI.
2. to analyse the effect of ethnic differences in influencing the performance of a student of other Bumiputera ethnic from Sabah and Sarawak in his/her study in UPSI.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Most of the references in this study were books that covered the subject, academic journals and research seminar. Generally, the academic world including higher institutions demand the acknowledgement on multiculturalism and its challenges facing the community. Students are directly affected by its various issues as they deal with the consequences of living in such a diverse campus community. The social and cultural difference force them to acquaint themselves with the surrounding and the challenging nature of such a diverse culture (Quaye & Harper, 2015).

Multiculturalism

Research by Mohd Amar (2013) had focused on the level of prejudice and stereotyping among multi-ethnic pupils in secondary school in Malaysia. The study involved schools in Perak, Penang and Selangor. A few theories have been formulated, and a total of 1304 respondents were involved and it consisted of Malay, Chinese, and Indian students of form 1, 2 and 4 who had been chosen to answer the survey. The findings showed that there was no significant difference in students’ prejudice and stereotype construct based on gender, ethnic, type of primary school, and level of form.

Noraini, MohdAderi and Mohd Isa (2014) shared a few points in their research that covered the topic on multicultural elements in the teaching of Islamic studies subject. The journal article focused on the importance of incorporating cultural elements in the teaching of Islamic studies and also identified the obstacles in implementing them in the teaching of the subject. The study utilized qualitative approach in the form of case study. The data was collected using interview and class observation. The outcome showed that through the integration of multicultural elements, the concept of smart da’wah could be implemented easily. The control in discipline and increase in professionalism were evident in daily teaching practice.

An article written by MahzanArshad, Abdul Jalil and Nurfatimah (2011) explained on the appreciation or understanding about communities’ values in a multicultural Malaysia accumulated were developed from various background. It also discussed how the national education system had successfully increased the appreciation of multicultural values among the school students through the teaching of language and literature. Education in multicultural society has helped the nation and its people to maintain their original language and culture.

The use of multicultural literature could close the gap in the society for people of different race, social class, gender or physical disability. The exposure towards differences in culture and humorous elements, travel, language, and religion contribute to one’s personal development, and critically thinking that would further promote an urbanised society who could thrive in the context of unity.

Ethnic

Ethnic could be defined as a group of people who follow a standard culture. The ethnic group is referred to one’s sociocultural heritage. It is also the group that practises its own socioculture. In Malaysia, Malay, Chinese, Indian, KadazanDusun, Melanau and Banare also considered as ethnocentric groups (Pue & Charanjit Kaur, 2014). The Malay, Chinese, Indian together with ethnic groups from Sabah and Sarawak have been living together for such a long time and they finally managed to create a unique Malaysian identity (MohdSohaimi, DayuSamsalu & Budi Anto 2011). In reference to the figure shared by the Department of Statistics Malaysia (2015), other bumiputera ethnic groups in Sarawak were estimated to be 7% comprising of Kayan, Kenyah, LunBawang, Penan, Kelabit, Kedayan, Bisaya, Berawan, Lahanan, Sekapen, Kejaman, Penan, Baketan, Ukit, Sihan, Tagal, Tabun, Saban, Lisum, Longkiputandothers. For Sabah, it was estimated that 28% of its population was the other bumiputera groups known as Kedayan, Bisaya, Irranun, Rungus, Kimarang, Kwijau, Lundayeh, Ubian, Binaand, Bugis, Tidung, Orang Sungai, Cocos, Lundayeh, Tatana, Tagaas, Brunei, Suluk and others.

The definition of ethnicappearsintodimension which are subjective and objective dimensions. Subjective dimension refers to the awareness of the presence of an ethnic identity, spirit of togetherness and mutual benefit. On the contrary, objective dimension focuses on similarities of cultural identities such as language, arts, clothes and customs which are shared together (Nazri Muslim, Faridah, Abdul Aziz, Mansor& Kahirul Anwar, 2012).
Table 1 Population according to ethnic group, strata and gender, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Groups</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bumiputra</td>
<td>6,484.2</td>
<td>6,401.9</td>
<td>12,886.1</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>3,170.4</td>
<td>3,094.3</td>
<td>6,264.8</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>9,654.7</td>
<td>9,496.2</td>
<td>19,150.9</td>
<td>61.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>3,134.9</td>
<td>2,975.3</td>
<td>6,110.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>266.9</td>
<td>243.2</td>
<td>510.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3,401.8</td>
<td>3,218.5</td>
<td>6,620.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>908.7</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>1,822.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>165.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>992.6</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>1,988.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>182.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>270.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Malay</td>
<td>1,208.6</td>
<td>804.9</td>
<td>2,013.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>598.4</td>
<td>353.4</td>
<td>951.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1,807.0</td>
<td>1,158.3</td>
<td>2,965.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11,829.6</td>
<td>11,185.7</td>
<td>23,015.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>4,164.7</td>
<td>3,815.7</td>
<td>7,980.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>15,994.3</td>
<td>15,001.4</td>
<td>30,995.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Department of Malaysia (2015)

Table 1 shows the total number of people based on ethnic groups. The Bumiputeras are 61.8%, the largest ethnic group. The second highest is the Chinese with 21.4%. It is followed by the Indian with 6.4% and the other races with 0.9% and non-Malay for 9.6%. The table reflects the distribution of the population based on ethnic and location of urban or rural areas.

The Malaysian society has not reached a maturity level that could label themselves as a Malaysian race. In fact, the communities in this country are too comfortable on the existing standards based on race, economics and politics that underlie the racial discrimination. Malaysians still identify themselves as Malay, Malaysian-Chinese and Malaysian-Indian, disregarding the fact that everyone belongs to the Malaysian race (Shamsul Amri, 2007).

### III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

From the micro point of view, studies on major problems in relation to ethnic cultural background were not done widely and detailed enough. Thus, as a form of exploratory and preliminary research, the Delphi approach is used to determine the suitability and need to deal with multiculturalism among the undergraduates in this country. This method would help forming the experts’ consensus on the major problems regarding the background culture of undergraduates of other ethnicities in public universities (UA) clearly and in detail. Furthermore, this method is the most suitable to predict the multicultural need and adoption for students of other ethnicities in Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris.

Delbecq, Van de Ven and Gustafson (1975) specifically showed that Delphi technique can be applied to achieve the following objectives:

- To confirm or develop several alternative programmes.
- To explore or expose assumptions or information that will lead to different propositions.
- To obtain information that can produce agreement from a group of respondents.
- To connect informed propositions on a broad discipline topic.

To educate a group of respondents on various aspects which are related.

Delphi technique is a suitable approach to achieve an agreement using a series of interviews to collect data from selected subjects (Linstone & Turoff, 2002; Young & Jamieson, 2001). In other words, the Delphi method is unique in the process of collecting and analysing information that could lead to the overall experience of the research participants. This method is different from other techniques because it utilises various measured which are designed to achieve the collective agreement on certain topics. Ludwig (1997), an explorer of Delphi method explained that:

Iteration refer to the feedback process. The process was viewed as a series of rounds; in each round every participant worked through a questionnaire which was returned to the researcher who collected, edited, and returned to every participant a statement of the position of the whole group and the participant’s own position. A summation of comments made each participant aware of the range of opinions and the reasons underlying those opinions” (p. 55).

### IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Respondents in the study acted as the expert group in a consensus agreed that there were serious problems and less serious ones on the cultural background faced by the groups of other ethnicity in UPSI. This group of students assumed that the intake of Bumiputera students to the public universities was prioritised for the Malays and they were not happy because there was no special opportunity given to other ethnicities. They also felt that they were not given a fair share of attention and treatment while dealing with the administration office. They thought that they were almost treated as foreign students due to the difference in culture. Another obvious problem among the students was that they felt unsafe and worried because of other people’s prejudice and negativity.
These problems were consistent with the findings in the research by Marambaand Velasquez (2010) who claimed that students of ethnic minority were at a disadvantage when they were not given proper attention. They had to rely on the university to handle and settle problem they had. Based on PueandCharanjit’s (2014) research, it was stated that students from the ethnic majority displayed typical stereotypes towards the ethnic minority. This was quite common because of the number of students of the dominant ethnic that existed in the campus. Their big number encouraged the negative attitude that they displayed.

There are scepticism towards the different culture practised by the ethnic of Sabah and Sarawak. In fact, there was a feeling of injustice with the number of intakessamong the Malay students compared to the ethinics of Sabah and Sarawak. In their daily social life, there were respondents who felt isolated and decided to only mix with friends from their own ethnic. There was unfair treatment dished out by the university staff while dealing with matters in UPSI. Some even treated as foreign students due to the difference in culture. The minority ethnic groups was always misunderstood by the majority group when they speak in their ethnic language especially among the bus drivers in UPSI.

Table 2 Responses towards Ethnic Issues in UPSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/Aspect</th>
<th>Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accepted and totally agreed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnics are given equal opportunity to enter university.</td>
<td>Should be given the priority based on the states and chosen fairly. UPSI is a good institution but students raised issues on other ethnics and consider them weird. Perhaps other ethnics felt the acceptance was not similar to their own states. Acceptance issue existed but only at the beginning. Example: students of other ethnics required time to adjust themselves. Stereotype towards certain ethnic was properly dealt with as it still existed in UPSI. Not all students displayed discriminating attitude because there were those who treated others nicely.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1 Assessment and opinion towards ethnic component

From ethnic component point of view in a multicultural concept, Figure 1 showed 42 students (42%) fully accepted priority of other ethnic groups in public universities intake and were comfortable to talk about their cultural background to their friends in UPSI. Respondents also stated that UPSI had never discriminated them and always looked after the welfare of the students through the associations, programmes and many other efforts. Another 42 students also agreed that they had never been discriminated because of their ethnic which had never been heard of in UPSI.

For the second category, only 3 students (3%) had partially agreed with condition for every statement and the questions that had been asked in the ethnic component. The respondents felt that the intake of students from Sabah and Sarawak should be given priority according to the states and given equal treatment. They also agreed that UPSI is a good institution, but students like to raise ethnic issues regarding the differences in practice and culture that they considered peculiar. Respondents also stated that the acceptance and stereotyping factors should be abolished to avoid such problems in UPSI.

For the third category, more than half of the respondents thought there were problems in the form of ethnic acceptance and adaptation in UPSI. Total of 55 students (55%) conceded that ethnic acceptance and adaptation was not well and agreed because of problems that exist. They believed that the students intake was chiefly based on academic qualification alone but not to the students other Bumiputera ethnics. The latter thought that there were restrictions and chances were limited to the students from Sabah and Sarawak. They also felt that that they were looked down, considered old-fashioned, and their culture and ethnic were mocked and discriminated in UPSI. Their peninsula classmates were even reluctant to choose them as their assignment partners.

Generally, more than half of the respondents considered that the students’ composition in UPSI was not accepted by other ethnic groups in UPSI. They were some respondents who claimed that there was no such ethnic problem in UPSI.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings in this study, the researchers can conclude three main approaches that can be implemented by the public universities, especially Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris to deal with issues related to the acceptance of ethnics from Sabah and Sarawak. Among the recommendations is to create more space and opportunity for the students from Sabah and Sarawak to introduce their culture. This includes allocating a specific session in the orientation week that would help promote the culture and ethnics from Sabah and Sarawak to all students. In addition, periodic activities can be organised by the students’ affair, colleges and faculties.

Other than that, the University can also provide more holistic information about the different ethnic groups from Sabah and Sarawak through various activities that can be planned specifically or generally for this purpose. Furthermore, to help increase the students understanding towards the other ethnic groups from Sabah and Sarawak, the academic centres in the university can offer various courses in inter-cultural communication to minimize the prejudice, discriminatory, stereotyping attitude among the staff and students of UPSI.
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