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Abstract: This work highlights an experimental investigation on the surface roughness and hardness of a nano composite, which can replace the liner of a journal bearing. There is a possibility of rotation of bearing liner, due to inconsistent rotation of the journal about its geometrical axis. At the same time, the liner may have sliding action inside the bearing over a period of time. The said drawbacks can be eliminated by replacing the bearing liner by a nano coat. Babbitt is the most widely used material for bearing liner. The Tin of Babbitt alloy has been replaced by cadmium due to its low cost and better mechanical and thermal properties. The results show that, Cadmium is offering better surface roughness and hardness in comparison with the Babbit.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A bearing liner is the material which reduces the wear and frictional heat between the two contacting surfaces. Babbitt, an alloy invented by Isaac Babbitt in 1839 exhibited superior bearing properties over other liner materials. The failure of liner can also be caused by a simultaneous and interrelated exhibition of fatigue and wear process that depend considerably on cohesive strength between the bush and the bearing and accumulation of defects on the contacting surfaces of the bush and the shaft. Good bearing design as observed by S.R. Hule et al. [1] includes three basic elements: understand the working atmosphere, designing for proper lubrication and selection of appropriate bearing material for the job. G. C. Pratt [2] reviewed the materials concerned sliding contact bearings which has its own peculiar property requirements. Nano powders production through a rolling-type planetary ball mill apparatus was disclosed by Chen S et al. [3]. Koring [4] in his work has presented a mechanism for improving the properties of bearing alloys and the environmental problems that has arisen.G.M. Xu et al. [5] have proposed AlSnPbSi2.5C3O₃xCr0.2 as a bearing liner material with higher hardness, and the properties of bush material are greatly increased with uniform annealing at constant temperature. Kano et al. [6] have chosen normal temperature milling of different types of powder materials based on their ability of grinding. Z. Zongli et al. [7] in their work, considered the coatings of arc sprayed tin-based alloy. Mechanical properties of the coatings were tested, and an effective way to increase the tensile strength was mentioned. The results indicated that coating has a satisfactory performance under the condition of rubbing, which is better than that of cast babbitt. S. C. Tjong [8] reviewed the manufacturing techniques and mechanical properties of the metal matrix composites reinforced with ceramic nanoparticles.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The basic metals of the suggested composite are Antimony, Copper, Lead and Cadmium is obtained in micro size in acetate form.

A. Preparation of Nano particles

Ball milling method, in which a powder mixture is subjected to high energy collision to obtain nano particles [16-17], is performed on ball milling equipment shown in Figure. 1.

![Fig 1 Insmart systems planetary ball milling equipment](image)

The ball milling process specifications are mentioned in table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Ball milling process specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballpowder ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of the balls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of the balls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material of balls and vials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The time (in hrs) for getting required size is 41, 52, 56, and 49 for antimony, copper, lead, and cadmium respectively. Through XRD and Scherrer equation the size of the powder is confirmed as 10nm.

**Preparation of Nano coating**

The four different compositions of considered nano composite powder are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>% of Cadmium</th>
<th>% of Lead</th>
<th>% of Antimony</th>
<th>% of Copper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A solution of 1.0 gram of proposed nano powder, 5.0ml of ethanol and 0.50mg of pvp is obtained through mechanical stirring. The solution is applied on mild steel substrate, drop by drop using spin coating equipment shown in Figure 2 for obtaining nano coating. The spin speed and spin time of spin coating are 1500 rpm and 30 sec respectively. After annealing, the coating thickness is tested under metallurgical microscope and the coating thickness on an average is 60microns.

**III. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY**

A. HARDNESS

Universal hardness tester is used to test the hardness of the coatings by conducting the test at various points in three directions on the specimen and the Brinell’s hardness number is calculated

**B. SURFACE ROUGHNESS**

The coated specimens are subjected to roughness test on TAYLOR HOBSON Surtronic S128 surface roughness testing equipment as given in Fig. 3 in different directions and the mean value is calculated.
### Fig. 5 shows the variation between surface roughness and percentage composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>0.75</th>
<th>1.8</th>
<th>1.9</th>
<th>2.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Babbitt alloy 2.33

![Surface Roughness vs Percentage Composition](image)

Figure 5: %Composition vs Surface roughness

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

1. Cd95% coating exhibits better surface roughness of 0.78 when compared to other coatings.
2. The hardness of the sample Cd95%, 150 is higher than other coating samples.

From the tested properties, it is clear that Cd95% is preferred over other coatings and also shows better properties when compared to Babbitt liner.
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