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Abstract: The study is to examine the volatility fluctuations based on investor sentiment as various studies have been carried out in the past concentrating mostly on the current reaction of investors sentiment depending on historical volatility estimations. Closing data of NYSE index is considered as independent variable in analyzing both the historical volatility and sentiment index as they are valued from them. Observation of 807 trading days from the period of 2015-2018 from American Stock Exchange is considered for the study. The study also helps to determine the use of dependent (ARMS Index and Historical Volatility) and independent variable (NYSE Closing price data) among themselves and the reliability of the independent variable. The viability of the dependent variable in deriving the values of the independent variable is analyzed and it is found out that both the dependent variables can act as independent variable in examining the other dependent variable.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The stock markets there are two types of investors in stock market: Sentiment-free arbitrageurs who make decision based on logic and numbers and irrational arbitrageurs who base their decisions on sentiments. When the trading decisions are made by the irrational traders, investment decisions have predictive influence over the price behavior of an asset. The long or short stand which is based on the positive or negative changes while forecasting the volatility includes sentiment level of the turnover ratio. The idea enforced during his study not solely be examining the superlative combination of volatility models or other variables. The key principle of this study is to investigate the forecasting and trading performance of the stock market (NYSE) whether it can be enhanced when the sentiment is taken into consideration in decision process. The literature mentioned below present’s evidence of irrational arbitrageurs’ behavior in the stock market. The deprived act of traders (brokers/agents/investors) attributes to bad market timing because of their overreaction to the movements of stock market in the past (Bauer, Cosemans, & Eichholtz, 2009). Fama, 1965; Friedman, 1953, claims that noise traders are trivial in the financial asset price construction process because the trade carried out by rational arbitrageurs determines prices close to their vital values.

It is also said in other ways like, the overreaction and underreaction of stock prices challenges the efficient market theory. Though application of sentiment on portfolio management has been done, there are other studies on the relationship between market volatility and investors sentiment alongside its application towards support system trading (Brown, 1999; Low, 2004; Verma & Verma, 2007; Wang, Keswani, & Taylor, 2006). Volatility forecasting models which takes-in investor sentiment in to consideration is made in order to bond the gap between the variation in price and the indicators of investors’ overreaction. NYSE’s equity market is being a crucial market for international traders/investors. The number of individual traders in NYSE equity markets and derivative markets is very high, which has been measured in terms of percentage. This indicates that the reason behind the number of individual investors involved in trade for the purpose of noise trading and their sentiment might be the cause for high price fluctuation in the market. The investor sentiment proxies, considered a factor for asset pricing, for which there exists a causal association with investors sentiment and return. (Baker & Wurgler(2006 and 2007), Simon & Wiggins (2001), Brown & Cliff (2004), Wang (2001), Fisher & Statman (2000) Han (2008), Clarke & Statman (1998), Solt & Statman (1988)). This study is about the gap between daily returns of the NYSE market and daily returns of volatility index of NYSE by recruiting investor sentiment.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

George W.Brown (1999) says that sentiment is correlated with volatility, especially in-terms of closed ended investment funds, which is created by irrational investors who directly influence the asset prices based on the noise signal that in-turn results in increased volatility, it is also said that the rational investors pave way to the noise traders when the sentiment is strong. Which is determined when the trading volume is not affected regardless of bullish or bearish market controversial to that, Wayne.Y.Lee,etal,(2002) says that, sentiment and volatility are negatively related, which is same in case of relationship between return and volatility. In-turn, we should find a positive relationship between return and sentiment. However, sentiment is not an investors phenomenon in determining the effect of the stocks. Though the impact of noise traders is not permanent on return, except in case of formation of risk in markets.
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Supporting Wayne, Checkiat Low (2004) says about the relationship between price and volatility as VIX is considered to be the best collective index of sentiment for option market, it is concluded in the perception and contemporaneous market condition, where both asymmetric and semi-dimensional in nature. It is said that the price and volatility of the financial market are not directly correlated, another study by Meir Statman (1988) also says that there is no correlation between index and DJLA as the relationship between bearish sentiment index and DJLA is estimated to determine whether sentiment index is useful to the investors in determining the markets. It is found from the study that, the change in one does not affect the other. i.e., change in DJLA does not have any systematic impact on change in sentiment index. Which concludes that, though there is a relationship between the two, they are just following one another and not one leading the other. Prithviraj, James, David (2006) in their is the extended version of determination of positive relationship between VIX levels on stock market returns (index), in-terms of examining the function of returns in both the level of implied volatility and its innovations and also in forecasting the power of grouped portfolios with implied volatilities future returns and implied volatility or with the VIX independent variable factors such as MKT, SMB, HML and UMD and found that high risk portfolios have strong significance with the VIX variables, which leads to the possibility for inefficiency of market. In terms of volatility levels and innovations it is suggested that there need to be a separate premium for both. Study of Dragos Stefens Opera, Laura Brad (2014), proves that investor sentiment had impact on the stock price and if also says a statement contradictory to Schmeling (2009), that the impact of investors sentiment over the stock market is mitigated by the rational investors in a very short time say less than a month. Mahajan and Singh (2008) observed the relationship between volume and return, and volume and volatility with the help of sensitive index’s daily data of Bombay Stock Exchange. Dependable with distribution hypothesis, positive correlation between volume and volatility was observed another supporting study from Pakistan Market by Mubarik and Javid (2009), the findings recommend that there is an impact on current day trade caused by previous day trading volume, and thus implies that previous days returns and volume has descriptive power in illumination the current market returns. Abdalla (2012) study on Saudi Stock Market discuss about the relationship between stock returns and volatility and finds a positive correlation between them, whereas we can also find a contradictory study on same variables by Nawazish and Sara (2012) says on Karachi Stock Exchange. Another study similar to the above by Léon (2008) a study on regional stock market of West African Economic and BRVM, the study helps in discovering a positive at the same time not statistically significant relationship between expected stock return an expected volatility and it is also said in the study that volatility is higher when the market booms than when the market declines.

A. Volatility and sentimental proxy
   I. Sample Method: Secondary Data
   II. Sampling Period: 2015 - 2018
   III. Sample Source: New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)
   IV. Number of Samples: 807

B. Variables
   I. Dependent Variable: Historical Volatility and ARMS Index
   II. Independent Variable: NYSE Index Value

C. Hypothesis
   I. H1: Historical Volatility helps in determining the future value of NYSE index
   II. H2: ARMS index helps in determining the future value of NYSE index
   III. H3: NYSE index helps in determining the historical volatility and ARMS index
   IV. H4: Historical Volatility helps in determining the sentiment of investors
   V. H5: ARMS index helps in determining the price range fluctuation of the market

III. MEASURES OF VOLATILITY

A. Historical volatility (HV)
   With reference to Engle and Gallo, 2006. Who consider three measures of volatility: absolute daily returns (|R|), daily realized volatility (RV) and daily high-low range (HL). Among which |R| and the HL are calculated using daily data and RV by summing the subsequent 5 min time interval squared returns (Andersen & Bollerslev 1998), Barndorff-Nielsen & Shephard (2002), which is expressed in terms of percentage. The calculation is explained below:

\[ |R_t| = | \ln \left( \frac{S_t}{S_{t-1}} \right) | \]

\[ HL_t = (H_t - L_t) / (St - 1 * 14%) \]

\[ RV_t = \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{5} (\ln \left( \frac{S_{t+i}}{S_{t+i-1}} \right))^{2}} \]

Where:
- \(|R_t|\) - Absolute daily returns at time t
- \(HL_t\) - Daily high-low range variation at time t
- \(RV_t\) - Daily realized volatility at time t
- \(St\) - Closing price on trading date t
- \(St+i\) - Closing price on the previous trading day
- \(H_t\) - Highest price on date t
- \(L_t\) - Lowest price on date t
- \(St+i\) - Intra-day index level of the ith interval on trading day t
- \(St+n\) - Closing price on day t
- \(i = 0, \ldots, n\)
- \(n\) - Number of time intervals in each day

IV. MEASURES FOR INVESTOR SENTIMENT

A. Arms index
   “ARMS” is the ratio of the number of advances to the number of declines standardized by their perspective volumes. The measurement formula is:
where #Advancing, #Declining, #Advancing Volume and #Declining Volume respectively represents the number of advancing and declining issues, the trading volume of advancing and declining issues. Its originator Richard Arms in the year 1967 claimed that, if the average volume in declining or rising stocks far outweighs the average volume in rising or falling stocks, then the market is oversold or overbought and this is treated as a bullish or bearish sign.

V. RESULTS

A. Descriptive Statistics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ARMS</th>
<th>HV</th>
<th>NYSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.14815</td>
<td>0.08960</td>
<td>0.000205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.05830</td>
<td>0.05816</td>
<td>0.000345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>31.94422</td>
<td>0.69785</td>
<td>0.02909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0.001380</td>
<td>1.22E-05</td>
<td>-0.043961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>1.210230</td>
<td>0.092379</td>
<td>0.008107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>20.84832</td>
<td>2.128163</td>
<td>-0.703683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>522.5867</td>
<td>9.745625</td>
<td>6.584668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarque-Bera</td>
<td>9136212</td>
<td>2139219</td>
<td>498.6763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>926.5579</td>
<td>72.30696</td>
<td>0.160520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum sq.dev</td>
<td>1180.513</td>
<td>6.878268</td>
<td>0.025970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Interpretation:

A correlogram is a graphical interpretation of autocorrelation coefficients in which $r_k$ is plotted against the $\log k$, which is very helpful for visual scrutiny. Here all the days the stocks are performing well and it can be identified by seeing that in all cases the stocks come within the spikes. The probability value should be less than 0.05, then only data is taken to be significant. All the variables ARMS, HV and NYSE are having both significant and non-significant data.

C. Regression:

Regression analysis helps in identifying the relationship between dependent and independent variables. In this analysis the Independent variable is the American stock exchange index (NYSE index) and the dependent variable is the historical volatility (HV) and ARMS Index. The analysis is carried on the data set for the time span of 807 working days between the period of 2015 to 2018.
From the analysis, we could find that the t-statistics value for HV is negative whereas for ARMS, it is normal (as the value is positive). When the probability value is less than 0.05, it is considered to be significant, with which from the above data, we could say that the data values for Historical Volatility are significant. R-squared value indicates that every independent variable (NYSE index) illuminates 2% of the deviation from the dependent variable Historical Volatility (HV) and ARMS Index. There shows negative value for all the three criterions: Akaike Info Criterion (AIC), Hannan-Quinn Criterion and Schwarz Criterion. Durbin-Watson stat is used to identify the existence of autocorrelation between residuals. The standards should lie between 0 and 4, where a value approaching the least value is positive and the higher value indicates negative autocorrelation. Here, the value is approaching towards 1.99 which means there is a positive autocorrelation between the past and present relationship between independent and dependent variables.

### D. Unit Root Test

Probability value should be less than 0.05, all the values in from the analysis among 2415 observations values are below 0.05. Hence, the null hypothesis is rejected and it is significant.
In the residual plot is nothing but the difference between the actual and predicted value and in this study, it is identified that performance of the selected companies was good on the whole year except 22 odd days starting from 02nd January 2015 – 31st December 2015 and in 2016 the performance was not good on 24 working days among which the performance on June 24th was really bad. 2017 was a good year where the overall performance was good except 3 days and in 2018 the performance was not good for 6 days dated till 16th March 2018 (3 months).

F. Granger Causality Test

Granger causality Test is used to test the causality between two variables. Causality is closely associated to the idea of cause and effect, though it isn’t the same. For instance, there are two variables X and Y. Either one can be a causal variable. X is causal to Y or Y is causal to X. However, with Granger causality you are not testing a true cause and effect relationship. The probability value should be greater than 0.01, then null hypothesis is accepted. In this analysis the probability value should be less than 0.05, then only we can reject null hypothesis. In this analysis we cannot reject HV does not cause ARMS, NYSE does not cause ARMS and NYSE does not cause HV as they show significant value, hence it is accepted.

1. Interpretation

Granger causality Test is used to test the causality between two variables. Causality is closely associated to the idea of cause and effect, though it isn’t the same. For instance, there are two variables X and Y. Either one can be a causal variable. X is causal to Y or Y is causal to X. However, with Granger causality you are not testing a true cause and effect relationship. The probability value should be greater than 0.01, then null hypothesis is accepted. In this analysis the probability value should be less than 0.05, then only we can reject null hypothesis. In this analysis we cannot reject HV does not cause ARMS, NYSE does not cause ARMS and NYSE does not cause HV as they show significant value, hence it is accepted.

VI. FINDINGS

1. The analysis helps in testing the validity and reliability of the data set used in the study saying the data are distributed normally and it also has both significant and non-significant values.
2. We can also say that the historical volatility and ARMS index helps in determining the future value of NYSE index as both the actual and predicted values are similar.
3. It is also proven that both the dependent variables act as independent variable for the other in determining the price range fluctuations of the market.

**VII. CONCLUSION**

There are various methods and models used in earlier studies for analyzing the current market fluctuations and predicting their future movements, some studies concentrate on short run and some on long run. The method of analysis projected in the study is to verify the use of historical volatility (HV) and ARMS index (whose values are computed from NYSE index) in determining present and future movement of the market in short run and also their impact on one another in order to analyze its flexibility, reliability and accuracy. We can conclude by saying that apart from unpredictable or any sudden event change in the market, the method of analysis proposed in this study will help in determining the price range fluctuations of the market.
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