

A Discussion of FSB - Hausdorff Property on FS- Cartesian Product Topological Space

Vaddiparthi Yogeswara, K.V.Umakameswari, D.Raghu Ram, Ch. Ramasanyasi Rao,

K. Aruna kumari

Abstract: For any nonempty family $\{(B_i, \mathfrak{I}_i)\}$ of FSB-Hausdorff Spaces. The FS-Cartesian product topological space is also an FSB-Hausdorff Space.

Index Terms: Fs-Set, Fs-Subset, (b, β) object, Fs-Point, FSB-Topological Space.

I. INTRODUCTION

Axiom choice is not true in the theory of L-Fuzzy sets. Nistla V.E.S Murthy [10] proved Axiom Choice of fuzzy sets in his theory of F-sets. VaddiparthiYogeswara[2] etc ... developed the theory of Fs-sets with the goal of introducing the complement of a fuzzy set which was not satisfactorily explained by previous relevant theories. Also VaddiparthiYogeswara, BiswaitRath, Ch.RamaSanyaasiRao, K.V.UmaKameswari, D.Raghu Ram introduced the concept of FSB-topological Space on a given Fs-subset of an Fs-set and also they introduced FSB-subspace in the same paper. Fs-points and Fs-point set $FSP(\mathcal{W})$ are introduced by that VaddiparthiYogeswara etc...[2] and based on Fs-set theory they defined a pair of relations between $P(FSP(\mathcal{W}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W})$. Here $FSP(\mathcal{W})$ stands for Fs-Point set of \mathcal{W} , $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W})$ stands for collection of all Fs-subsets of \mathcal{W} and $P(FSP(\mathcal{W}))$ is power set of $FSP(\mathcal{W})$ and proved one of them is a 'Λ'-complete homomorphism and other is 'V'-complete homomorphism and searched some properties of these relations between complemented constructed crisp sets and Fs-complemented sets through these homomorphism and ultimately they proved a representation theorem connecting Fs-subsets of \mathcal{A} to crisp subsets of $FSP(\mathcal{A})$ via homomorphisms. In this paper we introduce the concepts of T₁-Space and Hausdorff Space on an Fs-B topological Space via these representation theorems and we give an example. For a given non-empty family of compact

Fs-topological spaces, we prove in this paper their FS-Cartesian Product space is also compact. Fs-Sets, Fs-Set functions etc... in brief are explained in first four sections of this paper. 'U' and '∩' stands for natural set union and Fs-union and Similarly '∪'. M_A or 1_A stands for largest element of a given complete Boolean Algebra L_A . For all lattice theoretic and relevant Properties one can refer [5],[8],[15],[16],[17].

SECTION-1

1.1 Fs-set: A four tuple of the form $\mathcal{W} = (W_1, W, \bar{W}(\mu_{1W_1}, \mu_{2W}), L_W)$ is an Fs-set iff, $W \subseteq W_1 \subseteq U$

- (1) L_W is a complete Boolean Algebra
 - (2) $\mu_{1W_1}: W_1 \rightarrow L_W, \mu_{2W}: W \rightarrow L_W$ are mappings such
 - (3) $\bar{W}: W \rightarrow L_W$ is defined by $\bar{W}x = \mu_{1W_1}x \wedge (\mu_{2W}x)^c$ for each $x \in W$
- Where W is a non-void subset of some universal set U

1.2 Fs-subset: Suppose $\mathcal{W} = (W_1, W, \bar{W}(\mu_{1W_1}, \mu_{2W}), L_W)$ and $\mathcal{U} = (U_1, U, \bar{U}(\mu_{1U_1}, \mu_{2U}), L_U)$ are two Fs-sets.

We say \mathcal{U} is an Fs-subset of \mathcal{W} , in symbol,

We write $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, iff

- (1) $U_1 \subseteq W_1, U \subseteq W$
- (2) L_U is a complete subalgebra of L_W or $L_U \leq L_W$
- (3) $\mu_{1U_1} \leq \mu_{1W_1}|_{U_1}$, and $\mu_{2U}|_W \geq \mu_{2W}$

1.2 Fs-union: Let $\mathcal{U} = (U_1, U, \bar{U}(\mu_{1U_1}, \mu_{2U}), L_U)$, $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V, \bar{V}(\mu_{1V_1}, \mu_{2V}), L_V) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

1.3 Then,

$\mathcal{U} \sqcup \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{P} = (P_1, P, \bar{P}(\mu_{1P_1}, \mu_{2P}), L_P)$, where

- (1) $P_1 = U_1 \sqcup V_1, P = U \sqcup V$
- (2) $L_P = L_U \vee L_V =$ The complete subalgebra generated
- (3) $y \in L_U \sqcup L_V$

(4) $\mu_{1P_1}: P_1 \rightarrow L_P$ is defined by

$$\mu_{1P_1}x = (\mu_{1U_1} \vee \mu_{1V_1})x$$

$\mu_{2P}: P \rightarrow L_P$ is defined by

$$\mu_{2P}x = \mu_{2U}x \wedge$$

$$\mu_{2V}x \quad \text{and}$$

Revised Manuscript Received on June 01, 2019.

Vaddiparthi Yogeswara, Dept. of Mathematics, GIT, GITAM Deemed to be University,

Visakhapatnam-530045, A.P, India, vaddiparthyy@gmail.com

K.V.Umakameswari, Research Scholar: Dept. of Applied Mathematics, GIS, GITAM Deemed to be University, Visakhapatnam 530045, A.P, India, uma.mathematics@gmail.com

D.Raghu Ram, Research Scholar: Dept. of Applied Mathematics, GIS, GITAM Deemed to be University, Visakhapatnam 530045, A.P, India, draghuram84@gmail.com

Ch. Ramasanyasi Rao, Assistant Professor Dept. of Applied Mathematics, MVR DEGREE &

P.GCollege, Gaiuwaka, Visakhapatnam-530026, A.P,

Indiarams.mahematics@gmail.com

K.Aruna kumara, Assistant Professor Dept. of Mathematics, GIT, GITAM University Visakhapatnam 530045, A.P, arunadevkurra@gmail.com

$\bar{P}: P \rightarrow L_P$ is defined by

$$\bar{P}x = \mu_{1P_1}x \wedge (\mu_{2P}x)^c$$

1.4 F_s-intersection: Let $\mathcal{U} = (U_1, U, \bar{U}(\mu_{1U_1}, \mu_{2U}), L_U)$

and

$\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V, \bar{V}(\mu_{1V_1}, \mu_{2V}), L_V) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ with the properties:

(i) $U_1 \cap V_1 \supseteq U \sqcup V$

(ii) $\mu_{1U_1}x \wedge \mu_{1V_1}x \geq (\mu_{2U} \vee \mu_{2V})x$ for each $x \in W_{\text{said}}$

Then,

$\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{Q} = (Q_1, Q, \bar{Q}(\mu_{1Q_1}, \mu_{2Q}), L_Q)$, where

(1) $Q_1 = U_1 \cap V_1$, $Q = U \sqcup V$

(2) $L_Q = L_U \wedge L_V = L_U \cap L_V$

(3) $\mu_{1Q_1}: Q_1 \rightarrow L_Q$ is defined by

$$\mu_{1Q_1}x = \mu_{1U_1}x \wedge \mu_{1V_1}x$$

$\mu_{2Q}: Q \rightarrow L_Q$ is defined by

$$\mu_{2Q}x = (\mu_{2U} \vee \mu_{2V})x$$

$\bar{Q}: Q \rightarrow L_Q$ is defined by

$$\bar{Q}x = \mu_{1Q_1}x \wedge (\mu_{2Q}x)^c.$$

SECTION-2

2.1 F_sB-Topological Space: Suppose $\mu_{1W_1} =$

$$1, \mu_{2W} = 0$$

in \mathcal{W} . $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{W})$ is said to be F_sB-topology

if, and only if

1) $(\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathfrak{X} \Rightarrow \cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i \in \mathfrak{X}$

2) $(\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in I}$, I is finite set $\Rightarrow \cap_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i \in \mathfrak{X}$.

The pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T})$ is called an F_sB-topological space.

Elements of \mathfrak{X} are called F_sB-open sets or F_sB-open subset of \mathcal{A} .

SECTION-3

(b, β)- Object

3.1 Definition Let $b \in A, \beta \in L_A$ such that $\beta \leq \bar{A}b$.

we define a (b, β)-object, denoted by (b, β) itself as

follows

for $A \subseteq B \subseteq B_1 \subseteq A_1, L_B \leq L_A$, such that $\mu_{1B_1}x, \mu_{2B}x \in$

$$L_B(b, \beta) = (B_1, B, \bar{B}(\mu_{1B_1}, \mu_{2B}), L_B)$$

$$\mu_{1B_1}x = \begin{cases} \mu_{2A}x, & x \neq b, x \in A \\ \beta \vee \mu_{2A}b, & x = b \end{cases} \text{ And } \mu_{2B}x = \begin{cases} \alpha, & x \notin A, x \in A_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{2A}x, & x \in A \\ \alpha, & x \notin A, x \in B \end{cases}$$

Here $\alpha \in L_A$ is fixed and $\alpha \leq \mu_{1A_1}x, \forall x \in A_1$

3.2 R(b, β) Relation: For any

(b, β)objects $\mathcal{V}_1 = (V_{11}, V_1, \bar{V}_1(\mu_{1V_{11}}, \mu_{2V_1}), L_{V_1})$ and

$\mathcal{V}_2 = (V_{12}, V_2, \bar{V}_2(\mu_{1V_{12}}, \mu_{2V_2}), L_{V_2})$ of \mathcal{W} , we say that

$\mathcal{V}_1 R(b, \beta) \mathcal{V}_2$ if, and only if $\mu_{1V_{11}}x = \mu_{2V_1}x, x \neq$

b and $\forall x \in V_1$ and $\mu_{1V_{12}}x = \mu_{2V_2}x, x \neq b$ and $\forall x \in V_2$ and

$\mu_{1V_{11}}b = \mu_{1V_{12}}b = \beta \vee \mu_{2A}b$ and $\mu_{2V_1}b = \mu_{2V_2}b = \mu_{2W}b$.

We can easily show that $R(b, \beta)$ is an equivalence relation

3.3. F_s-point : The equivalence class

corresponding to (b, β) is denoted by χ_b^β or (b, β).

We define this χ_b^β is an F_s- point of \mathcal{A} . Set of all

F_s-point of \mathcal{A} is denoted by $FSP(\mathcal{W})$.

SECTION-4

4.0 Definition: An F_s-B topological space $(\mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{X})$ is

to be T₁-Space iff $\{\chi_b^\beta\}$ is closed for any $\chi_b^\beta \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$.

4.1 Definition : An F_s-B topological space $(\mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{X})$ is said

to be T₂-Space or F_sB- Hausdorff space or simply

Hausdorff space iff $\chi_{a_1}^{\alpha_1}, \chi_{a_2}^{\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{A}^\sim$ such that $\chi_{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \neq \chi_{a_2}^{\alpha_2}$

then there exists a pair of disjoint F_s-

open sets \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 such that $\chi_{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \in \mathcal{G}_1^\sim, \chi_{a_2}^{\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{G}_2^\sim$.

SECTION-5

5.1 Definition of F_s-Cartesian Product $\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j$ of the

family

$(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in J}$ can be referred from [2] and also note for each

$\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}^I$ where $\mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}, \forall j \in J$

5.2 Theorem: $\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}_j \cap \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}_j = \prod_{j \in J} (\mathcal{H}_j \cap \mathcal{K}_j)$

Proof: $\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}_j = \mathcal{U} = (U_1, U, \bar{U}(\mu_{1U_1}, \mu_{2U}), L_U)$, where

$U_1 = \prod_{j \in J} H_{1j} \ni (\prod_{j \in J} H_{1j}, (P_{1j})_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(H_{1j})_{j \in J}$

$U = \prod_{j \in J} H_j \ni (\prod_{j \in J} H_j, (P_j)_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(H_j)_{j \in J}$

$L_U = \prod_{j \in J} L_{H_j} \ni (\prod_{j \in J} L_{H_j}, (\pi_j)_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(L_{H_j})_{j \in J}$

$\mu_{1U_1} = \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{1H_{1j}}: \prod_{j \in J} H_{1j} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{H_j}$

$$(a_j)_{j \in J} \mapsto (\mu_{1H_{1j}} P_{1j}(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{1H_{1j}} a_j)_{j \in J}$$

$\mu_{2U} = \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{2H_j}: \prod_{j \in J} H_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{H_j}$

$$(a_j)_{j \in J} \mapsto (\mu_{2H_j} P_j(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{2H_j} a_j)_{j \in J}$$

$\bar{U} = \prod_{j \in J} \bar{H}_j: \prod_{j \in J} H \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{H_j}$

$$(a_j)_{j \in J} \mapsto (\bar{H}_j P_j(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\bar{H}_j a_j)_{j \in J} = [\mu_{1H_{1j}} a_j \wedge (\mu_{2j} a_j)^c]_{j \in J}$$

$\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}_j = \mathcal{V} = (V_1, V, \bar{V}(\mu_{1V_1}, \mu_{2V}), L_V)$, where

$V_1 = \prod_{j \in J} K_{1j} \ni (\prod_{j \in J} K_{1j}, (P_{1i})_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(K_{1i})_{j \in J}$

$V = \prod_{j \in J} K_j \ni (\prod_{j \in J} K_j, (P_j)_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(K_j)_{j \in J}$

$L_V = \prod_{j \in J} L_{K_j} \ni (\prod_{j \in J} L_{K_j}, (\pi_j)_{j \in J})$ is the

product of $(L_{K_j})_{j \in J}$

$\mu_{1V_1} = \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{1K_{1j}}: \prod_{j \in J} K_{1j} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{K_j}$

$$(a_j)_{j \in J} \mapsto$$

$$(\mu_{1K_{1j}} P_{1j}(a_i)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{1K_{1j}} a_j)_{j \in J}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{2V} &= \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{2K_j} : \prod_{j \in J} K_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{K_j} \\ (a_i)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\mu_{2K_j} P_j(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{2K_j} a_j)_{j \in J} \\ \bar{V} &= \prod_{j \in J} \bar{K}_j : \prod_{j \in J} K_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{K_j} \\ (a_i)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\bar{K}_j P_j(a_i)_{j \in J}) = (\bar{K}_j a_j)_{j \in J} = [\mu_{1K_{1j}} a_j \wedge \\ & (\mu_{2K_j} a_j)^c]_{j \in J} \end{aligned}$$

L.H.S = $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{Y} = (Y_1, Y, \bar{Y}(\mu_{1Y_1}, \mu_{2Y}), L_Y)$ where
 $Y_1 = U_1 \cap V_1 = \prod_{j \in J} H_{1j} \cap \prod_{j \in J} K_{1j} = \prod_{j \in J} (H_{1j} \cap K_{1j})$
 $Y = U \cup V = (\prod_{j \in J} H_j) \cup (\prod_{j \in J} K_i) = \prod_{j \in J} (H_i \cap K_i)$
 $L_Y = L_U \cap L_V$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{1Y_1} &= \mu_{1U_1} \wedge \mu_{1V_1} = (\mu_{1H_{1j}})_{j \in J} \wedge (\mu_{1K_{1j}})_{j \in J} \\ &= (\mu_{1H_{1j}} \wedge \mu_{1K_{1j}})_{j \in J} \\ \mu_{2Y} &= \mu_{2U} \vee \mu_{2V} = (\mu_{2H_j})_{j \in J} \vee (\mu_{2K_j})_{j \in J} \\ &= (\mu_{2H_j} \vee \mu_{2K_j})_{j \in J} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_j \cap \mathcal{K}_j = \mathcal{W}_j$$

$\mathcal{W}_j = (W_{1j}, W_j, \bar{W}_j(\mu_{1W_{j1}}, \mu_{2W_j}), L_{W_j})$
 $\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{W}_j = \mathcal{X} = (X_1, X, \bar{X}(\mu_{1X_1}, \mu_{2X}), L_X)$, where
 $X_1 = \prod_{j \in J} W_{1j}$ such that $(\prod_{j \in J} W_{1j}, (P_{1j})_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(W_{1j})_{j \in J}$

$X = \prod_{j \in J} W_j$ such that $(\prod_{j \in J} W_j, (P_j)_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(W_j)_{j \in J}$

$L_X = \prod_{j \in J} L_{W_j}$ such that $(\prod_{j \in J} L_{W_j}, (\pi_j)_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(L_{W_j})_{j \in J}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{1X_1} &= \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{1W_{1j}} : \prod_{j \in J} W_{1j} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{W_j} \\ (a_j)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\mu_{1W_{1j}} P_{1j}(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{1W_{1j}} a_j)_{j \in J} \\ \mu_{2X} &= \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{2W_j} : \prod_{j \in J} W_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{W_j} \\ (a_j)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\mu_{2W_j} P_j(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{2W_j} a_j)_{j \in J} \\ \bar{X} &= \prod_{j \in J} \bar{W}_j : \prod_{j \in J} W_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{W_j} \\ (a_j)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\bar{W}_j P_j(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\bar{W}_j a_j)_{j \in J} = [\mu_{1W_{1j}} a_j \wedge \\ & (\mu_{2W_j} a_j)^c]_{j \in J} \end{aligned}$$

So we can proved that $\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}_i \cap \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}_i = \prod_{j \in J} (\mathcal{H}_j \cap \mathcal{K}_j)$

Let $\{(\mathcal{B}_j, \mathfrak{X}_j)\}$ Hausdorff space

$\prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{C} = (C_1, C, \bar{C}(\mu_{1C_1}, \mu_{2C}), L_C)$, where

$C_1 = \prod_{j \in J} B_{1j} \ni (\prod_{j \in J} B_{1j}, (P_{1j})_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(B_{1j})_{j \in J}$

$C = \prod_{j \in J} B_j \ni (\prod_{j \in J} B_j, (P_j)_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(B_j)_{j \in J}$

$L_C = \prod_{j \in J} L_{B_j} \ni (\prod_{j \in J} L_{B_j}, (\pi_j)_{j \in J})$ is the product of $(L_{B_j})_{j \in J}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{1C_1} &= \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{1B_{1j}} : \prod_{j \in J} B_{1j} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{B_j} \\ (a_j)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\mu_{1B_{1j}} P_{1j}(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{1B_{1j}} a_j)_{j \in J} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{2C} &= \prod_{j \in J} \mu_{2B_j} : \prod_{j \in J} B_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{B_j} \\ (a_j)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\mu_{2B_j} P_j(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\mu_{2B_j} a_j)_{j \in J} \\ \bar{C} &= \prod_{j \in J} \bar{B}_j : \prod_{j \in J} B_j \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} L_{B_j} \\ (a_j)_{j \in J} &\mapsto (\bar{B}_j P_j(a_j)_{j \in J}) = (\bar{B}_j a_j)_{j \in J} = [\mu_{1B_{1j}} a_j \wedge \\ & (\mu_{2B_j} a_j)^c]_{j \in J} = (\bar{B}_j)_{j \in J} \end{aligned}$$

5.3 Theorem : $(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}_j) \sim = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}_j \sim$

$\chi_a^\alpha \in \text{L.H.S} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \sim = \{\chi_c^\gamma / c \in \mathcal{C}, \gamma \in L_c, \gamma \leq \bar{C}c\}$

$\{\chi_c^\gamma / c = (C_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} B_i, \gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} L_{B_i},$

$\gamma = (\gamma_j)_{j \in I} \leq \bar{C}c = (\bar{B}_j C_j)_{j \in I}\}$

$\Leftrightarrow \chi_a^\alpha$ where $a = (a_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} B_i, \alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} L_{B_i}$

$, \alpha = (\alpha_j)_{j \in I} \leq \bar{C}a$

$$= (\bar{B}_j a_j)_{j \in I}$$

$\Leftrightarrow a_j \in B_j, \alpha_j \in L_{B_j}, \alpha_j \leq \bar{B}_j a_j$

$$\Leftrightarrow \chi_{a_j}^{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{B}_j \sim \Rightarrow (\chi_{a_j}^{\alpha_j})_{j \in I} \in \prod_{j \in I} \mathcal{B}_j \sim$$

5.4 Definition: Define $\chi_a^\alpha = (\chi_{a_i}^{\alpha_i})_{i \in I}$

where $a = (a_j)_{j \in J}, \alpha = (\alpha_j)_{j \in J}$

5.5 Theorem:

To prove Hausdorff Property of product space

Let $\chi_a^\alpha, \chi_b^\beta \in (\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i) \sim = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i \sim$ and $\chi_a^\alpha \neq \chi_b^\beta$

$\Rightarrow \chi_{a_{j_0}}^{\alpha_{j_0}} \neq \chi_{b_{j_0}}^{\beta_{j_0}}$ for at least one $j_0 \in J$

There exist a pair of disjointFs – open sets $\mathcal{G}_{j_{01}}, \mathcal{G}_{j_{02}}$ in

there exist

$\mathcal{G}_{j_{01}} \cap \mathcal{G}_{j_{02}} = \Phi_A$ and $\chi_{a_{j_0}}^{\alpha_{j_0}} \in \mathcal{G}_{j_{01}} \sim, \chi_{b_{j_0}}^{\beta_{j_0}} \in \mathcal{G}_{j_{02}} \sim$

Defined $\mathcal{P} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{V}_i \forall j=j_0, \mathcal{P}_{j_0} = \mathcal{G}_{j_{01}},$

$\mathcal{Q} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{Q}_j, \mathcal{Q}_i = \mathcal{V}_i \forall i=j_0, \mathcal{Q}_{j_0} = \mathcal{G}_{j_{02}}$

Here $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} = \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{P}_j \cap \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{Q}_j$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \prod_{j \in J} (\mathcal{P}_j \cap \mathcal{Q}_j) \\ &= \prod_{j \neq j_0} (\mathcal{P}_j \cap \mathcal{Q}_j) (\mathcal{P}_{j_0} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{j_0}) \\ &= \Phi_A \end{aligned}$$

And observe that $\chi_a^\alpha \in \mathcal{P} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_i$

$\chi_b^\beta \in \mathcal{Q} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{Q}_i$

Here \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} are defining Fs – sub basic open sets in Product topology.

REFERENCES

1. VaddiparthiYogeswara, G.Srinivas and BiswaiitRath ,A Theory of Fs-sets, Fs-Complements andFs-De Morgan Laws,IIARCS,Vol- 4, No. 1Sep-Oct 2013.
2. VaddiparthiYogeswara ,BiswaiitRath, Ch.RamasanyasiRao, K.V. Umakameswari, D. RaghuRamFs-Sets, Fs-Points, and A Representation Theorem, InternationalJournalof Control Theory and Applications (ICTA), Volume 10(07), 2017, pp. 159-170
3. VaddiparthiYogeswara ,Biswaiit Rath, Ch. Ramasanyasi Rao, D.Raghu Ram Some Properties of Associates of Subsets of FSP-PointsTransactions on Machine Learning and ArtificialIntelligence, 2016 ,Volume-4,Issue-6.
4. Vaddiparthi Yogeswara ,Biswaiit Rath, Ch.RamasanyasiRao,K.V.Umakameswari, D.RaghuRam Fs-Setand Theory of FsB-TopologyMathematical Sciences International Research Journal, 2016 ,Volume-5,Issue-1, Page No-113-118
5. G.F.Simmons, Introduction to topology and Modern Analysis, McGraw-Hill international Book Company
6. James Dugundii, Topology, Universal Book Stall, Delhi.
7. George I. Klir and Bo Yuan ,Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Logic, and Fuzzy Systems: Selected PaperbyLotfi A. Zadeh ,Advances in Fuzzy Systems-Applications and Theory, Vol-6,World Scientific
8. Steven Givant• Paul Halmos, Introduction to Boolean algebras,Springer
9. I.A.Goguen ,L-Fuzzy Sets, IMAA,Vol.18, P145-174,1967.
10. Nistala V.E.S. Murthy, Is the Axiom of Choice True for Fuzzy Sets?, IFM, Vol 5(3),P495-523, 1997, U.S.A
11. VaddiparthiYogeswara, BiswaiitRathandS.V.G.Reddy, A Study of Fs-Functions andProperties of Images of Fs-Subsets Under Various Fs-Functions. MS-IRI, Vol-3,Issue-1
12. VaddiparthiYogeswara, BiswaiitRath, Ch.RamaSanyasiRao,K.V.UmaKameswariGeneralized Definition of Image of an Fs-Subset under an Fs-function-Resultant Properties ofImagesMathematical Sciences International Research Journal,2015,Volume -4, Spl Issue, 40-56
13. L.Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control,Vol.8,P338-353,1965
14. Nistala V.E.S. Murthy, f-Topological Spaces Proceedings of The National Seminar on Topology, Category Theory and their applications to Computer Science, P89-119, March 11-13, 2004, Department of Mathematics, St Joseph's College, Irinialaguda, Kerala (organized by the Kerala Mathematical Society. Invited Talk).
15. Szasz, G., An Introduction to Lattice Theory, Academic Press, New York.
16. Garret Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, American Mathematical Society Colloquium publicationsVolume-xxv
17. Thomas Jech ,Set Theory, The Third Millennium Edition revised and expanded, Springer