

Nano Pre-Regular and Strongly Nano Pre-Regular Spaces.

P. Sathishmohan, V. Rajendran, P. K.Dhanasekaran, C. Vignesh Kumar

Abstract: This paper is committed to induct and investigate the characterizations of nanopre-irresolute, almost nanopre-irresolute, quasi nanopre-irresolute, nanosemi-regular, nanopre-regular, strongly nano regular, almost nanopre-regular and obtain some relationship between the existing sets.

Keywords: nanopre-irresolute, almost nanopre-irresolute, quasi nanopre-irresolute, nanopre-regular, strongly nano regular and almost nanopre-regular

I. INTRODUCTION

Sathishmohan et.al[6] defined nanopre-neighbourhoods, nanopre-interior, nanopre-limit point, nanopre-derived set, nanopre-frontier and nanopre-regular in nanotopological spaces and obtained some of its properties.

And in [7], they introduced and investigated the properties of nanosemipre-neighbourhood, nanosemipre-interior, nanosemipre-frontier, nanosemipre-exterior, nano-dense and nano-submaximal. Further, the authors[5] introduced and investigated the properties of nano-T0 space, nanosemi-T0 space, nanopre-T0 space, nano-T1 space, nanosemi-T1 space, nanopre-T1 space, nano-T2 space, nanosemi-T2 space, nanopre-T2 space and obtain some of its basic results.

In this paper, we study some additional characterizations of nanopre-regularity. Also, we introduce and study the nanopre-irresolute, almost nanopre-irresolute and quasi nanopre-irresolute, strongly nano regular and almost nanopre-regular.

II. NANOPRE-REGULARITY

Definition 2.1. A map $f: (\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R'(Y))$ can be said as nanopre-irresolute if the inverse of the image $f(A)$, $f^{-1}(A)$ of each nanopre-open set A in V is nanopre-open in \dot{U} .

Definition 2.2. \dot{U} can be said as nanosemi-regular, if for each nanosemi-closed set \hat{F} of \dot{U} and each point $x \notin \hat{F}$, \exists disjoint nanosemi-open sets X and $Y \ni \hat{F} \subset X$, $x \in Y$.

Definition 2.3. \dot{U} can be said as nanopre-regular if for every nanopre-closed set \hat{F} of \dot{U} , every point $x \notin \hat{F}$, \exists disjoint nanopre-open sets X , $Y \ni \hat{F} \subset X$ and $x \in Y$.

Note 2.4. Clearly every nanopre-regular space is nanopre-regular, but the converse of this is not true.

Revised Version Manuscript Received on January 19 2019.

P. Sathishmohan, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India-641 029.

V. Rajendran, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India-641 029.

P. K. Dhanasekaran, Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India-641029.

C. Vignesh Kumar, Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India-641 029.

Example 2.5. Let $\dot{U} = \{\hat{a}, b, \hat{c}, d\}$, $\dot{U}/R = \{\{\hat{a}\}, \{b, d\}, \{\hat{c}\}\}$, $X = \{\hat{a}, b\}$ and $\tau_R(X) = \{\dot{U}, \emptyset, \{\hat{a}\}, \{\hat{a}, b, d\}, \{b, d\}\}$ be a nanotopology on \dot{U} . Let $x = \{\hat{a}, \hat{c}, d\}$, be a nanopre-regular space but not nano-regular space.

Lemma 2.6. Let $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ is a nanotopological space. Then NSPO $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)) =$ NPO $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ iff $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ is nanoextremely disconnected.

Theorem 2.7. If $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)^a)$ is nanopre-regular, $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ is nanopre-regular.

Proof: Let $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)^a)$ is nanopre-regular, \dot{U} is a closed set in $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ and $x \in \dot{U} - \hat{F}$. Since $\tau_R(X) \subset \tau_R(X)^a$, \hat{F} is nanoclosed set in $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)^a)$ and $x \notin \hat{F}$. Since $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)^a)$ is nanopre-regular, \exists disjoint nanopre-open sets X, Y in $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)^a) \ni x \in Y$ and $\hat{F} \subset X$. But by [2], $X, Y \in$ NPO $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$. Thus, \exists disjoint nanopre-open sets X and Y in $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ separating \hat{F} and x respectively. Therefore $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ is nanopre-regular.

Theorem 2.8. In a nano extremely disconnected space $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$, then following are equal.

(1) $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ is nanopre-regular.

(2) For every nanoclosed set \hat{F} and every point $x \notin \hat{F} \exists$ disjoint nano semipre-open sets X and $Y \ni \hat{F} \subset X$ and $x \in Y$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2), since NPO $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X)) \subset$ NSPO $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$. (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let \hat{F} be a nanoclosed set, each point $x \notin \hat{F}$. By (2) \exists two disjoint nanosemipre-open sets \hat{G} and $\hat{H} \ni \hat{F} \subset \hat{G}$ and $x \in \hat{H}$. But by [5] $\hat{G}, \hat{H} \in$ NPO $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$. Therefore, $(\dot{U}, \tau_R(X))$ is nanopre-regular.

Lemma 2.9. If $Y \in$ NPO (\dot{U}) and $X \in$ NSO (\dot{U}) then $X \cup Y \in$ NPO (X) .

Theorem 2.10. If \dot{U} is nanopre-regular space and V is a nanosemi-open subset of \dot{U} . Then the subspace V is nanopre-regular.

Proof: Let \hat{F} is a nanoclosed set of V , $x \notin \hat{F}$. Then \exists nanoclosed set E of $\dot{U} \ni \hat{F} = E \cap V$ and $x \notin E$. Since \dot{U} is nanopre-regular, $\exists X_x, X_E \in$ NPO $(\dot{U}) \ni x \in X_x, E \subset X_E$ with $X_x \cap X_E = \emptyset$. Now, put $Y_x = X_x \cap V$ and $Y_E = X_E \cap V$. Then by Lemma 2.9, $x \in Y_x \in$ NPO (V) and $\hat{F} \subset Y_E \in$ NPO (V) with $Y_x \cap Y_E = \emptyset$. Therefore V is nanopre-regular.

Definition 2.11. A function $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ can be said as almost nanopre-irresolute if for every $x \in \dot{U}$, for every nanopre-neighbourhood Y of $f(x)$, $(f^{-1}(Y))^*$ is a nanopre-neighbourhood of x .

Clearly all nanopre-irresolute map is almost nanopre-irresolute.



Theorem 2.12. For $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$, the below statements are equal.

- (1) f is almostnanopre-irresolute.
- (2) $f^{-1}(X) \subset ((f^{-1}(X))^*)^*$ for each $X \in NPO(V)$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $X \in NPO(V)$ and $x \in f^{-1}(X)$. Since X be a nanopre-neighbourhood of $f(x)$, $(f^{-1}(X))^*$ is a nanopre-neighbourhood of x , hence $\exists Y \in NPO(x) \ni Y \subset (f^{-1}(X))^*$. Therefore, we have $x \in Y \subset ((f^{-1}(X))^*)^*$. This shows that $f^{-1}(X) \subset ((f^{-1}(X))^*)^*$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $x \in \dot{U}$ and X be any nanopre-neighbourhood of $f(x)$. $\exists \dot{G} \in NPO(f(x))$ contained in X . Hence we obtain that $x \in f^{-1}(\dot{G}) \subset ((f^{-1}(\dot{G}))^*)^* \subset (f^{-1}(\dot{G}))^* \subset (f^{-1}(X))^*$. Therefore $(f^{-1}(X))^*$ is a nanopre-neighbourhood of x . Thus f is almost nanopre-irresolute map.

Theorem 2.13. A function $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ is almost nanopre-irresolute iff $f(\dot{G}^*) \subset (f(\dot{G}))^*$ for each $\dot{G} \in NPO(\dot{U})$.

Definition 2.14. $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ is said quasi nanopre-irresolute if for every $x \in \dot{U}$, $Y \in NPO(f(x))$, $\exists X \in NPO(x) \ni f(X) \subset Y^*$.

Clearly, every nanopre-irresolute map is quasi nanopre-irresolute but converse is not true.

Example 2.15. Let $\dot{U} = \{ \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c}, \dot{d} \}$, $\dot{U}/R = \{ \{ \dot{a} \}, \{ \dot{b}, \dot{c} \}, \{ \dot{d} \} \}$, $X = \{ \dot{a}, \dot{b} \}$ and $\tau_R(X) = \{ \dot{U}, \emptyset, \{ \dot{a} \}, \{ \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c} \}, \{ \dot{b}, \dot{c} \} \}$ are nano topology on \dot{U} . Let $f(\dot{a}) = \dot{b}$, $f(\dot{b}) = \dot{a}$, $f(\dot{c}) = \dot{d}$, $f(\dot{d}) = \dot{c}$. Let $x = \{ \dot{b} \}$ and $Y = \{ \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{d} \}$ and $X = \{ \dot{b}, \dot{c} \}$ is quasi nanopre-irresolute but not nanopre-irresolute.

Lemma 2.16. For $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ the following are equal.

- (1) f is nanopre-continuous.
- (2) For every point x in \dot{U} and every nano open set $\dot{G} \in V$ with $f(x) \in \dot{G}$, there is a nanopre-open set $X \subset \dot{U} \ni x \in \dot{U}$ and $f(\dot{U}) \subset \dot{G}$.
- (3) The inverse image of each nanoclosed set of V under mapping f is nanopre-closed.

However we show that every quasi nanopre-irresolute map is nanopre-continuous if the range space is nanopreregular.

Theorem 2.17. Let \dot{U} and V be two spaces. Assume $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ be a map where Y be nanopreregular. Then f be nanopre-continuous whenever it is quasi nanopre-irresolute.

Theorem 2.18. $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ is quasi nanopre-irresolute iff for every nanopre-open set Y of V , $f^{-1}(Y) \subset (f^{-1}(Y^*))^*$.

Lemma 2.19. Let x is a point of \dot{U} . Then $x \in NA^*$ iff $A \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for every $Y \in NPO(x)$.

Theorem 2.20. If $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ is quasi nanopre-irresolute then $(f^{-1}(Y))^* \subset f^{-1}(Y^*)$ for every $Y \in NPO(V)$.

Definition 2.21. \dot{U} can be said as strongly nano-regular if foreach nanopre-closed set \dot{F} & each point $x \notin \dot{F}$, \exists disjoint nanopre-open sets $X, Y \ni x \in X$ and $F \subset Y$.

Clearly, every strongly nano-regular space is nanopreregular.

Example 2.22. Let $\dot{U} = \{ \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{c}, \dot{d} \}$, $\dot{U}/R = \{ \{ \dot{a} \}, \{ \dot{b}, \dot{d} \}, \{ \dot{c} \} \}$, let $X = \{ \dot{a}, \dot{b} \}$, $\tau_R(X) = \{ \{ \dot{U} \}, \{ \emptyset \}, \{ \dot{a} \}, \{ \dot{b}, \dot{d} \}, \{ \dot{a}, \dot{b}, \dot{d} \} \}$, $\dot{F} = \{ \dot{c}, \dot{d} \}$, $X = \{ \dot{b} \}$, $Y = \{ \dot{a}, \dot{c}, \dot{d} \}$. Then $x \in X$ and $F \subset Y$. Therefore it is nanopreregular but not strongly nano regular.

Lemma 2.23. Let A, B are the subsets of \dot{U} . Then the below holds,

- (1) A is nanopre-closed in \dot{U} iff $A = NA^*$.
- (2) $NA^* \subset NB^*$ if $A \subset B$.
- (3) $(NA^*)^* = NA^*$.
- (4) A is nanopre-closed set in \dot{U} .

Theorem 2.24. For \dot{U} , the following are equal,

- a) \dot{U} is strongly nano-regular.
- b) For all point $x \in \dot{U}$ and each nanopre-open set \dot{G} containing $x \ni$ nanopre-open set $\dot{H} \ni x \in \dot{H} \subset \dot{H}^* \subset \dot{G}$.
- c) For each nanopre-closed set \dot{F} , the intersection of all the nanopre-closed nanopre-neighbourhoods of \dot{F} is \dot{F} .
- d) For each set \dot{G} and a nanopre-open set $\dot{H} \ni \dot{G} \cap \dot{H} \neq \emptyset$, \exists a nanopre-open set X with $\dot{G} \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and $X^* \subset \dot{H}$.
- e) For each non-empty set \dot{G} and nanopre-closed set $\dot{F} \ni \dot{G} \cap \dot{F} = \emptyset$, \exists disjoint nanopre-opensets Y and $W \ni \dot{G} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and $\dot{F} \subset W$.

Theorem 2.25. If V is strongly nano-regular space, a function $f: \dot{U} \rightarrow V$ is quasi nanopre-irresolute iff it is nanopre-irresolute.

Proof is similar to Theorem 2.17

III. ALMOST NANOPRE-REGULAR SPACES

Definition 3.1. \dot{U} can said as almost nanopreregular, for every nano regularclosed set \dot{F} and $x \notin \dot{F}$, then \exists disjoint nanopre-& open sets X and $Y \ni x \in \dot{U}$ and $\dot{F} \subset Y$.

Therefore, every almost nanopreregular is almost nanopreregular. And every nanopreregular space is almost nanopreregular.

Theorem 3.2. For \dot{U} , the following are equal.

- a) \dot{U} is almost nanopreregular.
- b) For $x \in \dot{U}$ and a nanopreregular-open set \dot{G} containing x , \exists a nanopre-open set $X \ni x \in X \subset X^* \subset \dot{G}$.
- c) Every nano regular closed set \dot{F} is the intersection of all nanopre-closed nanopre-neighbourhoods of \dot{F} .
- d) For each set A and a nano regular openset $B \ni A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, \exists a nanopre-open set $X \ni A \cap \dot{U} \neq \emptyset$ and $\dot{U}^* \subset B$.
- e) For every non-empty set A and nano regular closedset $B \ni A \cap B = \emptyset$, \exists disjoint nanopre-opensets $\dot{G}, \dot{H} \ni A \cap \dot{G} \neq \emptyset$, $B \subset \dot{H}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let X_0 is a nano regular openset of \dot{U} , A is a subset of X_0 . Then $A \in NRO(\dot{U})$ iff $A \in NRO(X_0)$.

Lemma 3.4. If $A \subset Y \subset X$ and $A \in NPO(X)$, then $A \in NPO(Y)$ whenever Y is nano open in X .

Lemma 3.5. If X_0 is an open subspace of X and $A \subset X_0$. Then $A^*_{X_0} = X_0 \cap A^*_X$.

Theorem 3.6. If \dot{U} is an almost nanopreregular space and X_0 is a nano regularopen set of \dot{U} . Then subspace X_0 is almost nanopreregular.

Proof: Let $x \in X_0$, X is a nano regularopen subset of $X_0 \ni x \in X$. Then by lemma 3.3, $X \in NRO(\dot{U})$ and $x \in X$. Since \dot{U} is almost nanopreregular, \exists a nanopre-open set Y in $\dot{U} \ni x \in Y \subset Y^* \subset X$ by Theorem 3.2.

Since $Y \subset X_0 \subset \dot{U}$ and $Y \in NPO(\dot{U})$ and so by Lemma 3.4, $Y \in NPO(\dot{U})$. Again $X_0 \in NRO(\dot{U}) \subset \tau$ and by Lemma 3.5, $x \in Y \subset X_0 \cap Y^*_{\dot{U}} = Y^*_{X_0} \subset X \cap X_0 = X$.

Therefore, it follows that the subspace X_0 is almost nanopreregular.



IV. RESULT

In the above work we have look into the characterizations of nanopre-irresolute, almost nanopre-irresolute, quasi nanopre-irresolute, nanosemi-regular, nanopre-regular, strongly nano regular, almost nanopre-regular and obtain some relationship between the existing sets

V. CONCLUSION

The characterization of nanopre-irresolute, almost nanopre-irresolute, quasi nanopre-irresolute, nanosemi-regular, nanopre-regular, strongly nano regular, almost nanopre-regular and obtain some relationship between the existing sets.

Ethical clearance: Taken from Research Ethics Committee, Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology & Research.

Source of funding: Self.

Conflict of Interest: All authors certify that they have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content, including participation in the concept, design, analysis, writing, or revision of the manuscript. Furthermore, each author certifies that this material or similar material has not been and will not be submitted to or published in and other publication.

REFERENCES

1. Bhuvaneswari.K and Ezhilarasi.A, Nano semi-generalized irresolute maps in nano topological spaces, *International journal of mathematical archive*, 7(3), 2016, 68-75.
2. Lellis Thivagar and Richard.C, On nano forms of weakly open sets, *International journal of mathematics and statistics invention*, 1(1), 2013, 31-37.
3. Levine.N, Generalized closed sets in topological spaces, *Rend. Circ. Math., Palermo*, (2), 1970, 89-96.
4. Pawalk.Z, Rough sets, Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data, *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Boston, 1991
5. P.Sathishmohan, V.Rajendran and P.K.Dhanasekaran, Further properties of nanopre- T_0 , nanopre- T_1 and nanopre- T_2 spaces, *Malaya Journal of Mathematik*, Vol.7, No.1, 2019, 34-38
6. P.Sathishmohan, V.Rajendran, P.K.Dhanasekaran and S.Brindha, More on preneighbourhoods in nano topological spaces, *Journal of Applied Science and Computations*, 5(10), 2018, 899-907.
7. P.Sathishmohan, V.Rajendran, C.VigneshKumar and P.K.Dhanasekaran, On nano semi pre neighbourhoods on nano topological spaces, *Malaya Journal of Mathematik*, 6(1), 2018, 294-298.

AUTHORS PROFILE

P. Sathishmohan, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore-641 029.

V. Rajendran, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore-641 029.

P.K. Dhanasekaran, Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore-641 029.

C. Vignesh Kumar, Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, KASC, Coimbatore-641 029.