


Link Prediction in Social Networks using Vertex Entropy



Shubham, Rajeev Kumar, Naveen Chauhan

Abstract: Many link prediction methods have been put out and tested on several actual networks. The weights of linkages are rarely considered in these studies. Taking both the network's structure and link weight into account is required for link prediction. Previous researchers mostly overlooked the topological structure of data in favour of the naturally occurring link weight. With the use of the concept of entropy, a new link prediction algorithm has been put forth in this paper. When applied in real-time social networks, this algorithm outperforms industry-standard techniques. This paper focuses on both topological structural information, which involves calculating the vertex entropy of each vertex and the link weight in the proposed method. Both weighted and unweighted networks can benefit from the proposed method. Unipartite and bipartite networks can also use the suggested methods. Furthermore, the results demonstrate that the proposed method outperforms competing and traditional strategies, particularly when targeted social networks are sufficiently dense.

Keywords: Ego Network, Social Network, Link Prediction, Sociogram.

I. INTRODUCTION

Social networks play a crucial role in understanding the nature of human behaviour as well as the behaviour of entities. With millions of users actively participating in one or more online social networking sites, research into social networks has garnered considerable attention. Social networks are a rich source of data that can be mined or examined to get practical conclusions. Researchers from various fields, including biology, anthropology, and data science, among others, are exploring the study of social networks as a significant scientific subject. Scientists from various professions have shown a great deal of interest in social link network analysis. Many sorts of interactions connect nodes (individuals or organizations) in a social network. A social network consists of various social actors, or nodes (such as individuals or organizations), and various dyadic links that link these nodes together.

Manuscript received on 07 June 2023 | Revised Manuscript received on 19 June 2023 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 July 2023 | Manuscript published on 30 July 2023.

*Correspondence Author(s)

Shubham*, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, NIT Hamirpur, Hamirpur, (Himachal Pradesh), India. E-mail: 21msc122@nith.ac.in, ORCID ID: [0009-0009-0021-3579](https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0021-3579)

Dr. Rajeev Kumar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, NIT Hamirpur, Hamirpur, (Himachal Pradesh), India. E-mail: rajeev@nith.ac.in, ORCID ID: [0000-0001-6134-5369](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6134-5369)

Dr. Naveen Chauhan, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, NIT Hamirpur, Hamirpur, (Himachal Pradesh), India. E-mail: naveen@nith.ac.in, ORCID ID: [0000-0001-9347-9345](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9347-9345)

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an [open access](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

For instance, experts in a field of study, employees in a company, and Business executives in major companies can be compared to nodes in a network, and coauthors of papers, people working on a project, and board members can be compared to edges. The purpose of social networks is to provide chances for networking, information sharing, and company promotion.

A. Problem Statement: Social networks are highly dynamic, sparse, and collectively structured, making it difficult to predict their outcomes. The task of accurately predicting the existence of links or connections within a domain is both important and challenging.

So, is it possible to predict more with social media? Because connections from networks, their maintenance, and their quality reflect individuals' social behaviour, their studies provide both quantitative and qualitative insight into human relationships, which are helpful for evaluation. Chain prediction also has broad applications in fields such as bibliography, molecular biology, forensics, and recommendation systems. The topological structure of a social network is represented by an unweighted, undirected graph with "V" representing the vertices and "E" representing the set of edges, where $e = (u, v) \in E$ indicates an interaction between u and v that happened at a specific time $t(e)$.

Input: A graphical structure of the complex social network

Output: Edges with the prediction score are a group. The prediction score displays the likelihood that an edge will occur. A higher prediction score denotes a more substantial probability that an edge exists. Graph G at time "t1" is used as the input for the link prediction algorithm, which then forecasts the potential future connection at a time "t2". The expected linkages are depicted in Graph G' by the dotted line.




Fig. 1. Link prediction in the social network.

Social Network: In a social network graph, the nodes stand in for people, while the edges, or connections between nodes, reflect social ties between them, such as friendship or teamwork on a project.

A. *Social Network Analysis*: A social network is made up of a group of people and the connections between them. These connections are examined by social network analysis to define people and groups as elements of a social structure [12]. People communicate with one another, and the patterns of these interactions provide information about the individuals involved. Relationships allow knowledge to spread throughout a network, allowing one person to have an impact on another. The key distinction between social network analysis and other methodologies is the significance of the related information. The study unit is a dyad comprising two people and their relationships, as opposed to focusing on each individual independently. **Sociograms (A sociogram is a graph database that shows connections between group members to represent the group's social network)**, in which nodes are represented as points and links are depicted as lines, are frequently used to display these networks. By modifying the visual portrayal of a network's nodes and edges, these visualisations provide a means to analyse networks qualitatively.

B. *Types of Social Networks*: There are two broad categories for online social networks: 1) specific or 2) uncertain. In an uncertain network, link prediction probabilities are connected to node-node interactions; however, in some types, there are no probabilities associated with node-node interactions, which is the link between the nodes. These online social networks are further divided into static and dynamic social networks, with dynamic social networks subdivided into incremental, decremental, and mixed social networks.

1. **Static Network**: The networks are depicted by nodes that are linked together by edges. Static network nodes never change their position or fail. The sides or links continuously maintain their operating status [15]. The network's overall structure will not change. For instance, a social network instance at a particular point in time will be a type of static network. In contrast to specific static networks, uncertain static networks have edges that are likely connections between nodes.
2. **Dynamic Network**: Online dynamic social networks [16] alter their structure over time.
 - a. There might be more or fewer nodes in the network over time, making them visible or invisible (also known as a stochastic network).
 - b. The overall Node count is fixed, only the edges experience crashes and recover appropriately.
 - c. The network has a constant total number of nodes, but when new links are established between them

over time, the network develops, and the nodes' positions change as a result.

C. *Introduction to proposed Method*: Entropy [13] is a tool that most researchers use to study complex networks. This paper proposes an algorithm (SVE) that calculates the vertex entropy of every vertex in real-world networks.

Ego network: Ego networks consist of the focal node known as the "ego," the nodes it is directly connected to (known as the "alters"), and any connections that may exist between the alters [14].

II. CHARACTERISTICS OF SOCIAL NETWORK

- *Small world effect*: This phenomenon occurs when a network's average distance is exceptionally modest compared to its overall size. This means that in a network, every pair of nodes can be connected by a path of length one. In his well-known studies, Stanley Milgram asked participants to send postcards only to a predetermined recipient through their close friends. Depending on the sample size, Milgram discovered that there were often between 4.4 and 5.7 intermediaries in the course taken by the postcards. Facebook has today released the findings of its first global social network graph distance computation, which was conducted using the complete Facebook network of active members (721 million users, 69 billion friendship links).
- *Scale-free effect*: Most of the nodes have very few links in the network, and only some of the nodes have lots of links. In such a type of network, nodes having lots of links are referred to as "Hubs" This node controls the functionality of the network. This effect demonstrates that the degree distribution of nodes in the large-scale network is substantially unbalanced.
- *Clustering effect*: This effect is described by the concept that there are a lot of fully connected subgraphs in a graph of a social network.

III. MOTIVATION

The number of common neighbours between nodes represents the similarity between them and indicates a link between the nodes. Examining the common neighbours is a simple method, but on the other hand, this method suffers from low accuracy. After surveying various articles that rely on first-order neighbours, the following points were observed:

1. The nodes connected by a substantial portion of links in real-world networks might not have any neighbours in common. This could be a significant amount, as indicated by a cursory analysis of the nine networks represented graphically.




Fig. II. Analysis of networks might not have any neighbours in common.

2. There is a significant overlap between the nodes when all existing links are sorted. Moreover, with the same number of neighbours, all speculative ties that might form between nodes without links are ordered by frequency. The points mentioned above drive the study in this paper.

IV. TRADITIONAL ALGORITHMS

Similarity-based algorithms have gained popularity in the field of link prediction in recent years due to their straightforward structure. A score is given based on how similar two unconnected nodes are, and a higher score denotes a higher possibility of their existence. Most of the similarity indices, including Common Neighbours and Shortest Path Distance, are derived from network structure. In surveys of link prediction, there are at least 20 similarity indices. A standard metric for link prediction in social networks is the similarity-based index derived from network topology, despite some criticism of its drawbacks. Further in this section, some simple and commonly used topological measures are discussed.

1. Neighbourhood-based measures (Local similarity-based metrics)

A. **Common Neighbours (CN):** The most basic and widely used metric measures the neighbourhood overlapping between the two nodes x and y [1]. The mathematical definition of common neighbours $CN(x,y)$ is given as:

$$CN(x,y) = |\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)|$$

Where $\Gamma(x)$ is the set of neighbours of x and $\Gamma(y)$ is the set of neighbours of y .

Applications:

B. **Jaccard Coefficient (JC):** By taking into account the total number of shared and non-shared neighbours, the Jaccard index [2] normalises the size of common neighbours. The value of the Jaccard Coefficient (JC) is:

$$JC(x,y) = \frac{|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)|}{|\Gamma(x) \cup \Gamma(y)|}$$

Where $\Gamma(x)$ are x 's neighbours and $\Gamma(y)$ are y 's neighbours.

C. **Adamic Adar (AA):** The Adamic Adar [3] Common neighbours with a low degree are given more importance by this measure. It is calculated as the combined inverse logarithmic degree centrality of the two nodes' neighbours. Adamic Adar is given as:

$$AA(x,y) = \sum_{z \in \Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)} \frac{1}{\log(|\Gamma(z)|)}$$

With neighbours of lower degrees given more weight in the formulation, Adamic Adar connects node pairings that have at least one common neighbour.

D. **Resource Allocation (RA):** Instead of using a logarithmic number, the Resource Allocation employs the degree magnitude. The shared neighbours of x and y are also connected by a means that transmits or distributes resources from x to y , and this medium equally distributes resources to all of the neighbours. The similarity between x and y is measured by the quantity of resources y receives from x [4]. Resource Allocation (RA) is given as:

$$RA(x,y) = \sum_{z \in \Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y)} \frac{1}{|\Gamma(z)|}$$

2. Path-based measures (Global similarity-based metrics)

A. **Katz (KZ):** This metric [5] computes the Sum of paths with lengths between 2 and a specified higher path length between nodes x and y . To address and solve the problem of increasing path lengths, which causes weak information flow between nodes. Katz's measure, which employs a damping factor with a value between 0 and 1, is implemented to dampen the longer pathways. Katz is given as:

$$KZ(x,y) = \sum_l \beta^l |Path_l(x,y)|^l$$

Path (x, y) consists of homogeneous edges of length l between x and y .

B. **Sim Rank (SR):** Two nodes are similar if they are related to other similar nodes, according to the Sim Rank [5]. Sim rank is given as:

$$\text{SIM}(x, y) = \beta \frac{\sum_{a \in \Gamma(x)} \sum_{b \in \Gamma(y)} \text{SIM}(a, b)}{|\Gamma(x) \cdot \Gamma(y)|}$$

Where β is damping factor with $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\text{SIM}(x, x) = 1$.

3. Random-Walk base measure

A. *Hitting Time (HT)*: This is a random walk measure, which starts from a node, let's say x , and recursively moves to a random neighbour of x . Hitting time [6] is the expected number of steps required for a random walk starting from x and ending at y .

B. *Average commute time (CT)*: In Average commute time [7] random walks from x to y and vice versa are added. Average Commute Time is a symmetric metric.

$$\text{CT}(x, y) = -(\text{HT}(x, y) + \text{HT}(y, x))$$

C. *Page Rank*: Based on the importance of nodes that are nearby, Page Rank [8] describes the importance of node x in the network. Page Rank is given as:

$$\text{PR}(x) = \frac{1-\alpha}{M} + \sum_{z \in \Gamma(x)} \frac{\text{PR}(z)}{|\Gamma(z)|}$$

Where M is the total number of edges in G

➤ Additionally, if it is found that none of the single measures work equally well for all the datasets. Therefore, a supervised framework is proposed by Mohammad Al Hasan [9] where the strength of all these measures can be effectively utilized. The supervised framework for link prediction, thus proposed, performs the following steps

1. Extracting the learning instances from the social network.
2. A training set and a test set are then created from the retrieved instances.
3. Features and class labels are computed for training as well as test instances.
4. Further, a classifier is trained on the obtained features, and class labels are processed through the classifier.
5. Labels are predicted from the trained classifier.

➤ *Time Complexity*: These algorithms differ in time complexity.

- a) Common Neighbours (CN) - $O(n^2)$.
- b) Jaccard Coefficient (JC) - $O(2n^2)$.
- c) Adamic Adar (AA) - $O(2n^2)$.
- d) Resource Allocation (RA) - $O(2n^2)$.

V. LINK PREDICTION IN WEIGHTED SOCIAL NETWORK

➤ A network with weights assigned to its links connecting nodes is referred to as a weighted network. In dense social networks as well as open and dynamic online social networks, Murata et al. [10] novel algorithm is particularly useful. The authors proposed weighted graph proximity measurements as novel metrics for network proximity. This variable enhances link prediction performance in complicated networks. This method is predicated on the idea that in a complex network, both the weights of an existing edge and the proximity parameters can improve prediction accuracy. The weight of edges is used to calculate the weighted CN, weighted Adamic/Adar, and weighted preferential attachment score. Here is how the weighted PA score is calculated:

$$\text{Weighted_Score}(i, j) = \sum_{x' \in \Gamma(x)} w(x, x') \cdot \sum_{y' \in \Gamma(y)} w(y, y')$$

The model was tested by the authors on a Question-Answering Bulletin Boards (QABB) dataset and found promising results.

➤ Three similarity metrics were utilised by Lu et al. [11] Common Neighbour, Resource Allocation, and Adamic-Adar score. They considered using the weak ties hypothesis to predict links. The weak tie hypothesis states that networks are dependent on linkages with low weights. The impact of weak ties on link prediction was utilised using a free parameter. Using a free parameter, the effect of the weak relations on link prediction was taken into consideration. The experimental findings demonstrate that weak relationships have a significant impact on link prediction.

VI. RESEARCH GAP

The following study gaps were identified in the field of Link prediction after reviewing the numerous research studies included in the literature review.

1. Link prediction has been the subject of numerous algorithms; however, due to the complexity and diversity of real-world situations, it remains challenging to accurately and effectively predict linkages in complex networks. The algorithm's prediction performance needs significant improvement in terms of AUC and Precision.
2. Many mathematical ideas and network characteristics, such as vertex entropy and the centrality of complex networks, are still not employed to determine whether two nodes are comparable to one another. These ideas are essential and can be used to determine whether two nodes are comparable. Additionally, there are numerous real-world uses for these ideas and similarity scores, including link prediction.
3. Because real-world occurrences are so varied, modelling them requires a variety of networks. One algorithm may not perform well on all types of networks, but numerous methods are typically available to accommodate these various networks. There is no single method that can predict links on all kinds of networks, including unweighted, weighted, unipartite, bipartite, and multiplex networks, effectively.

VII. PROPOSED METHOD

In weighted networks, the proposed algorithm for link prediction is the "sum of vertex entropy". The suggested method primarily focuses on networks composed of a focal node, termed "ego," the nodes it is directly connected to (referred to as "alters"), and any relationships that may exist between the alters and the node. It also considers the node entropy of the graph. The algorithm primarily operates as described below.



1. Recognising ego networks.
2. Calculating the Probability mass function(pmf).
3. Calculation of vertex entropy.
4. Calculation of the SVE score.




Fig. III.. Workflow of the algorithm

- The technique calculates the ego networks for a given graph $G(V, E, W)$ with n nodes.
- The approach calculates the probability mass function (pmf) for each network edge in the second phase.
- The vertex entropy of each vertex in the network is computed using the method in the third phase, as it determines the centrality of each node.
- The approach normalises the outcome based on the shortest distance between the node pairs. When the SVE score increases, the likelihood of a connection between two nodes increases.

VIII. DATA SETS AND EVALUATION METRICS

- This section provides a summary of the three real-world data sets used to evaluate the algorithm's performance. These datasets originate from various networks and disciplines. Two of the three datasets concern

unweighted networks, whereas the third concerns weighted networks. Table 1 presents the statistics of the datasets used.

Table 1: Dataset

Name of Data Set	No of Nodes	Data Set Type	Network Type
Power grid	4941	Commercial	Unweighted
Email communication network	1133	Commercial	Unweighted
Netscience	379	Co-authorship	Unweighted

➤ *Evaluation Metrics:* The performance of metrics is outlined and used to assess our models in this section.

1. AUC: This can be seen as the likelihood that a randomly chosen test graph edge would receive a higher score than a randomly picked test graph connection that does not exist. As a result, the scores of the connections which are absent and the scores of the links that do not exist are constantly compared.
2. Precision: Precision is the proportion of TP to TP plus FP added together.

IX. RESULTS

This section presents a comparative study of the testing conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the SVE algorithm. As the SVE algorithm falls within the category of similarity-based approaches, the similarity-based methods are taken for comparison. The comparison of the SVE algorithm's output to the other baseline algorithms is shown in the table below.

TABLE 2: AUC Comparison Results

Data Set	Power Grid	Email	Net Science
CN	.626	.855	.626
JC	.603	.861	.968
AA	.624	.859	.969
RA	.625	.858	.981
KZ	.965	.881	.982
SVE	.571	.885	.988




Fig. IV. Performance analysis based on AUC

Link Prediction in Social Networks using Vertex Entropy

The datasets and link prediction techniques used in the experiments are shown in the first row and first column of each table in the results section. The comparative findings for three unweighted networks are shown in Table 2. The innovative approach outperforms the six baseline link prediction algorithms, as shown in Table 2. The SVE approach provides considerably superior AUC values, especially for Net Science. The SVE algorithm returns an AUC value of .988, which is nearly equivalent to 1, for Net Science. The proposed approach here clearly outperforms the second-best baseline algorithms in terms of performance.

TABLE 3: Precision Comparison Results

Data Set	Power Grid	Email	Net Science
CN	.051	.139	.398
JC	.0006	.069	.175
AA	.030	.151	.609
RA	.030	.138	.634
KZ	.058	.131	.642
SVE	.146	.341	.775




Fig. V. Performance analysis based on Precision

The first row and first column of each table in the results section show the datasets and link prediction techniques applied in the experiments. Table 2 displays the comparison findings for three unweighted networks using AUC. According to Table 2, the novel algorithm outperforms the six baseline link prediction algorithms. The SVE method produces noticeably higher AUC values, particularly for Net Science. The SVE algorithm returns an AUC value for Net Science of .988, which is nearly equivalent to 1. The suggested method in this case outperforms the second-best baseline algorithms.

X. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Calculating the vertex entropy and the ego network are two key components of the innovative method. The suggested technique accurately predicts the linkages in both weighted and unweighted networks. Using three real-world datasets, the performance of the SVE algorithm is assessed. Additionally, the SVE algorithm is compared with five benchmark methods. Following the review of the AUC metric's findings in unweighted networks, the paper concluded that the recommended strategies are more effective when the dataset is dense. Nevertheless, if the network density is low, the performance of the algorithms begins to suffer. All networks produced good results; therefore, this technique can also be applied to recommender systems,

transportation network management systems, and e-commerce.

DECLARATION

Funding/ Grants/ Financial Support	No, I did not receive.
Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests	No conflicts of interest to the best of our knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval or consent to participate, as it presents evidence.
Availability of Data and Material/ Data Access Statement	No, it is not relevant to the Availability of Data and Material.
Authors Contributions	All authors have equal participation in this article.

REFERENCES

1. Daminelli, S., Thomas, J. M., Durán, C., & Cannistraci, C. V. (2015). Common Neighbours and the Local Community Paradigm for Topological Link Prediction in Bipartite Networks. *New Journal of Physics*, 17(11), 113037. [CrossRef]
2. Badiy, M., Amounas, F., & Hajar, M. On Enhancement of Supervised Link Prediction in Social Networks using Topological Features and Node2Vec.
3. Adamic, L. A., & Adar, E. (2003). Friends and neighbours on the web. *Social networks*, 25(3), 211-230 [CrossRef]
4. Lü, L., & Zhou, T. (2010). Link prediction in weighted networks: The role of weak ties. *Europhysics Letters*, 89(1), 18001. [CrossRef]
5. Liben-Nowell, D., & Kleinberg, J. (2003, November). The link prediction problem for social networks. In Proceedings of the twelfth international conference on Information and knowledge management (pp. 556-559). [CrossRef]
6. Hasan, M. A., & Zaki, M. J. (2011). A survey of link prediction in social networks. *Social network data analytics*, 243-275. [CrossRef]
7. Gu, S., Li, K., & Yang, L. (2021). A new perspective on link prediction in complex networks for improving reliability. *International Journal of Modern Physics C*, 32(01), 2150006. [CrossRef]
8. Nassar, H., Benson, A. R., & Gleich, D. F. (2020). Neighbourhood and PageRank methods for pairwise link prediction. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 10, 1-13. [CrossRef]
9. Al Hasan, M., Chaoji, V., Salem, S., & Zaki, M. (2006, April). Link prediction using supervised learning. In *SDM06: workshop on link analysis, counter-terrorism and security* (Vol. 30, pp. 798-805).
10. Murata, T., & Moriyasu, S. (2007, November). Link prediction of social networks based on weighted proximity measures. In *IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence (WI'07)* (pp. 85-88). IEEE. [CrossRef]
11. Lü, L., & Zhou, T. (2009, November). Role of weak ties in link prediction of complex networks. In Proceedings of the 1st ACM international workshop on Complex networks meet information & knowledge management (pp. 55-58). [CrossRef]
12. Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social network analysis: Methods and applications*. [CrossRef]
13. Xu, Z., Pu, C., & Yang, J. (2016). Link prediction based on path entropy. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 456, 294-301. [CrossRef]
14. Arnaboldi, V., Conti, M., Passarella, A., & Dunbar, R. I. (2017). Online social networks and information diffusion: The role of ego networks. *Online Social Networks and Media*, 1, 44-55. [CrossRef]
15. Farine, D. R. (2018). When to choose dynamic vs. static social network analysis. *Journal of animal ecology*, 87(1), 128-138. [CrossRef]
16. Berger-Wolf, T. Y., & Saia, J. (2006, August). A framework for analysis of dynamic social networks. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 523-528). [CrossRef]

AUTHORS PROFILE



Science.

Shubham, Completed MTech from the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Hamirpur (2023). B.Tech in Computer Science and Engineering from Guru Govind Singh Indraprastha University (2021). Areas of interest include Artificial Intelligence, social networks, and Supervised and unsupervised frameworks in the field of Computer



Dr. Rajeev Kumar, PhD, is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at the National Institute of Technology, Hamirpur. Research work includes Fuzzy logic Based Delay efficient Data Collection Techniques for IoT Environment, Cluster Based Routing protocols for Mobile Wireless Sensor Network Through image Fusion, Enhancing the Lifetime of Wireless Multimedia Sensor Network Through Image Fusion, Energy Efficient Entropy Based Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks, Predicting Missing Values in Wireless Sensor Network using Spatial-Temporal Correlation, Adaptive Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks, Energy Map Generation in Wireless Sensor Network using Grey System Theory, An Adaptive Prediction Strategy with Clustering in Wireless Sensor Network pp 575 – 587 and, Selective Forwarding Attack and Its Detection Algorithms: A Review.



Dr. Naveen Chauhan, PhD, is working as an Associate Professor in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at the National Institute of Technology, Hamirpur. Research work includes publications in Wireless Networks, International Journal of Next Generation Computing, International Journal of Grid and Distributed Computing, International Journal of Web Services Research, International Journal of Wireless Information Networks, Journal of Communication Software and Systems and Transactions of Emerging Telecommunications Technologies

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.