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Abstract: One of the serious environmental problems of the oil and gas industry is handling oil spills. Oil spills can be managed by using bioremediation in the form of biosurfactants. In this research, biosurfactants called U-Champ were used which can impact in remediation of oil spills. The research conducted was testing the effectiveness of biosurfactants on Cepu Field contaminated soil in reducing TPH and heavy metals. The method is done by stirring the contaminated soil with various concentrations of biosurfactant product in an artificial tank. Based on the test results, a decline in TPH and TCLP along with the increase in U-Champ biosurfactant concentration was used. The addition of 6 Liters of biosurfactant gave the most significant reduction. Some of the heavy metals in the soil decrease, but some also have increased. As an example, it can be seen in Ba metal, the addition of U-Champ biosurfactant as much as 1.5 L (0.3% v / v) resulted in a decrease in TCLP of 11.085%. The qualitative result is evidenced by the growth of various plants in each artificial tank.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Petroleum has the role as one of the most important sources of raw material and energy for industry nowadays. Dependence on petroleum as an energy source such as fuel has influenced the development of the economic sector. Although the petroleum industry is very beneficial to society, this industry also produces a lot of hazardous waste. Furthermore, one of the liquid waste such as oil spills caused environmental problems during the transportation, exploration, and refining processes (Silva et al., 2014). The characteristics of crude oil in KW-58 well Cepu, Blora Regency, Central Java Province are that it has a high viscosity and low density. According to the author's data, the density of Wonocolo crude oil is 0.90323 g/mL at a temperature of 60°C and the viscosity of the oil is 8.23 cp at a temperature of 35.3°C.

The oil is only found on the soil surface due to poor infiltration power. This can be due to high oil viscosity and high soil porosity. Previous research (Bada et al., 2012) explained the dangers of soil contamination by oil spills on abiotic and biotic components. TPH levels in the soil can affect soil fertility and affect vegetation in the long term. This statement frustrates the requirement for oil-contaminated remediation in the soil contaminated to remove the oil content. U-champ is a biosurfactant that can reduce TPH levels from polluted soil. U-champ can work as a soil washer which will dissolve TPH in polluted soil and carried away as leachate. U-champ is extracted from microorganisms that live in the digestive system of cows. Based on the previous study (Sulistyarso et al., 2019), U-Champ is proved to be effective to reduce TPH and heavy metals in the soil. This study aims to test a new formula in the use of U-champ and test its bioavailability by evaluating the density parameters of the growing weeds.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The environment itself can degrade the pollutants that enter it, but often the load pollution in the environment is greater than the speed of the natural pollutant degradation process. As a result, pollutants will accumulate so that human intervention with existing technology is needed to overcome this pollution. Bioremediation becomes one of the technologies to restore contaminated earth soil petroleum hydrocarbons. In terms of cost and environmental sustainability, bioremediation is cheaper and environmentally friendly compared to the other environmental restoration methods (Kusumawati et al., 2015). The concept of bioremediation is to use living microorganisms to decrease or remove environmental hazards due to the accumulation of toxic material such as toxic wastes. Bioremediation gives an option to offers possibility to eliminate or create a variety of harmless contaminants using natural biological activities. Furthermore, to increase the effectiveness in bioremediation, it can also be done by conjunct it with various physical and chemical technologies to give a better result. Bioremediation functions on biodegradation, which functioning by complete demineralization of complex organic contaminants into a simpler compound as CO₂, H₂O, inorganic compounds, and cell proteins that are harmless for the environment (Speight & Arjoon, 2012). This makes bioremediation the best choice for dealing with organic waste such as petroleum waste (Balba et al., 1998). Surfactants are a product of microorganisms that have an amphiphilic molecule.
It means that surfactants possess both hydrophilic and lipophilic properties that are composed of a hydrophilic head and lipophilic tail. It has the ability to separate oily materials from certain media by attracting oily and non-oily materials with its dual properties (amphiphilic). (Urum & Pekdemir, 2004). This characteristic makes biosurfactant is widely used for cleaning substances like detergent and soap. Biosurfactants are surfactant substances that are synthesized by living organisms and have the properties like an active surfactant, but generally non-toxic, and biodegradable (Banat et al., 2000). Biosurfactants are important biotechnology inventions with plentiful functions in many industries. Some of the interesting properties of biosurfactants including changing the active surface such as reducing the IFT (interface tension); moisturizes and penetrates the media; spread; hydrophobic and hydrophilic; a catalyst for microbial development; absorb metal; anti-microbial. Some of the advantages of biosurfactants compared to other synthetic chemical products are biodegradable; have a low level of toxicity; biocompatible and digestible; can be obtained from cheap materials; and are economical (Kosaric, 2001).

Soil washing is one of the ex-situ techniques in bioremediation that use physical and chemical procedures to separate metals and other contaminants from soils (Dermont et al., 2008). Soil washing is a potential technology for clearing contaminated soil. There has been much research to investigate the effectiveness of soil washing to remove hydrocarbon from contaminated soil and the cause of leaching on the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon’s biodegradability (Haapea & Tuhkanen, 2006).

III. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The soil used is the soil from the location of petroleum exploitation in Cepu, Blora Regency, Central Java Province. The soil at that location is of the granulose type with a limestone source rock and has a dusty clayey loam texture. At the preparation stage, the soil is treated with crude oil which is taken from the KW-58 well. The sample soil has a volume of 450 L with the addition of 50 L of crude oil. The process of mixing and remediation of this soil is carried out using the ex-situ method which is carried out by making four control tanks. The soil that has been given crude oil is washed with 50 L of water and mixed for 30 minutes. After being mixed, U-Champ biosurfactant was put into each bath with different volumes; 0 L in 1st Tank, 1.5 L in 2nd Tank, 2.25 L in 3rd Tank, and 6 L in 4th Tank, and then mixed until it became homogeneous. The oil and liquid phases (leachate) will separate and be carried together to the leachate channel. The remaining soil will be allowed to dry out for testing with parameters TPH, pH, and TCLP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. U-Champ Dose each tank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-Champ (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second test aims to check the bioavailability of the treated soil. After one month of testing, the soil bioindicator was observed in the form of weeds. The growth of weeds with high density indicates that the processed soil has good bioavailability so that it can be overgrown with vegetation.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The test used for TPH detection is EPA 9071 B Mod, while TCLP testing uses XRF (X-Ray Fluorescence). There was a decrease in TPH and TCLP along with the increase in U-Champ biosurfactant used. The regression line of the decline in TPH can be seen in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. TPH (%) Vs U-Champ Concentration](image_url)

As can be seen in the graph, the addition of U-Champ biosurfactant affected the decrease in TPH. This indicates that the soil washing method using U-Champ biosurfactant can dissolve hydrocarbons in polluted soil. Based on the graph, the addition of 6L U-Champ in the 4th tank gives the best decreasing value of TPH to 0.18% compared to the 1st tank with the addition of 0L U-Champ. The hydrocarbon solution will be transported and carried as leachate which must then be processed. The equation obtained has an R2 value of 0.9817 which indicates that the trendline data on the TPH decline graph is good. So that it can be calculated the efficiency value of the biosurfactant must be used following the applicable regulations. The formula \( y = -2.1659x + 12.767 \) is obtained with the value of y as the percent of TPH and x as the addition of the given U-Champ biosurfactant. The decrease in compound concentration did not only occur in the TPH parameter, but also the heavy metal parameter. Can be seen in Table 2 is a data table for each parameter tested in each tank. The higher the addition of U-Champ biosurfactant, the higher the solubility level of the metal as well. As an example, it can be seen in Ba metal, the addition of U-Champ biosurfactant as much as 1.5 L (0.3% v / v) resulted in a decrease in TCLP of 11.085%. Another example can be seen in the pH of each sample, the higher the addition of U-Champ the more neutral the pH will be. It can be done because the base element in the contaminant has already been washed away with the U-Champ. The effectiveness of biosurfactants U-Champ in reducing the interfacial tension between oil and water and the ability to reduce viscosity can separate oil from soil particulates so that the lifted oil will then float to the surface (Sianipar & Kardena, 2011).
Table 2. U-Champ Application in Bioremediation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>1st Tank</th>
<th>2nd Tank</th>
<th>3rd Tank</th>
<th>4th Tank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPH</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>232.2</td>
<td>206.46</td>
<td>174.78</td>
<td>132.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>42.79</td>
<td>23.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

U-Champ biosurfactant has been shown to be effective in lowering TPH and heavy metals. The addition of 6 L of biosurfactant in the 4th tank gave the most significant reduction. The amount of U-Champ biosurfactant required depends on the quality standard of the desired contamination parameter, which can be calculated from the value of the concentration reduction equation for parameters such as TPH so that the efficient volume of U-Champ biosurfactant can be determined. U-champ has also been shown to increase bioavailability by washing polluted soil. This happens because the levels of toxic waste in the soil have been transported with leachate.
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